# Directly Probing the Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

#### Roshan Mammen Abraham<sup>1</sup> With Dorival Gonçalves, Tao Han, Sze Ching Iris Leung and Han Qin [arXiv:2106.00018]

Higgs 2021, Stony Brook & BNL Oct. 20th, 2021



OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

<sup>1</sup>rmammen@okstate.edu

Roshan Mammen Abraham

Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

Higgs 2021

SQA

# Why

Roshan Mammen Abraham Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

Higgs 2021

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲□

### Motivation

Top Yukawa,  $y_t$ 

- (y<sub>t</sub>), at ∼ 1, is the strongest interaction of the Higgs boson in SM and hence most sensitive to BSM physics.
- It is crucial to the stability of SM vacuum during EWSB; has the dominant contribution to quantum corrections to Higgs mass etc.
- Precise measurement of  $y_t$  can be fundamental to pin down possible NP.
- HL-LHC projects measurement of  $y_t$  to an accuracy of  $\delta y_t \leq \mathcal{O}(4)\%$ . Probing at High Scales
  - Current measurements are at EW scale,  $Q \sim v$ .
  - BSM effects scale as  $\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda}\right)^{n>0}$ ;  $\Lambda = NP$  scale.
  - NP effects can be enhanced by exploring top Yukawa at high scales.

э.

SQ (~

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶

# How

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲□

## Direct probe of top Yukawa at high scales

- Recently some proposals were made to study off-shell Higgs in the  $gg \rightarrow h^* \rightarrow VV$  channel to probe Higgs physics at high scales (see talk by Han Qin)<sup>2</sup>.
- In this work we directly probe Higgs-top coupling at high scales using on-shell Higgs production with high  $p_{T,h}$ .
- We look at the  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$  channel, where at high scales we can simultaneously enhance NP effects and suppress backgrounds.
- The new physics sensitivity is parametrized in terms of the effective field theory framework, and a non-local Higgs-top coupling form-factor.

Gonçalves, Han, Leung, Qin (2020)

Roshan Mammen Abraham

Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

1

JQ (~

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Gonçalves, Han, Mukhopadhyay (2018)

## EFT framework

- EFT is usually parameterized as,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^4}\right)$
- Focusing on 2 fermion operators, we study the following operators.
  - $\mathcal{O}_{t\phi} = (H^{\dagger}H)(\bar{Q}t)\tilde{H} + \text{h.c.}$ which simply rescales the SM top Yukawa coupling, and
  - $\mathcal{O}_{tG} = ig_s(\bar{Q}\tau^{\mu\nu}T_At)\tilde{H}G^A_{\mu\nu} + \text{h.c.}$ the **chromo-dipole moment of top quark**. It modifies *gtt* vertex and introduces new vertices *ggtt*, *gtth*, *ggtth*.



P

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

- The top-quark Yukawa coupling has a special role in the naturalness problem, displaying the dominant quantum corrections to the Higgs mass.
- Many well motivated scenarios consider the top quark and Higgs as composite particles arising from strongly interacting new dynamics at a scale Λ.<sup>3</sup>
- In such scenarios, top Yukawa has momentum-dependent form-factor rather than a point-like interaction.
- Motivated by nucleon form-factor we adopt the ansatz.  $\Gamma(Q^2/\Lambda^2) = \frac{1}{(1+Q^2/\Lambda^2)^n}$ , with n=2 the dipole form-factor (corresponding to exponential spatial distribution).

<sup>3</sup>Pomarol, Riva (2012); Panico, Wulzer (2015); Liu, Low, Wagner (2017) etc. Roshan Mammen Abraham Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales Higgs 2021

# New Physics Effects

Ξ

5900

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶



• The K-factor decreases with  $p_{T,h}$  and hence and cannot be captured by a global NLO K-factor.

Roshan Mammen Abraham Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 



• We also see the enhancement arising from  $\mathcal{O}_{tG}$  operator is scale  $(p_{T,h})$  dependent.

Roshan Mammen Abraham Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 



• In the  $t\bar{t}h$  process,  $\mathcal{O}_{t\phi}$  only contributes to a shift of the top Yukawa resulting in a flat rescaling w.r.t the SM cross-section.

SQ P

$$\Gamma(Q^2/\Lambda^2) = \frac{1}{(1+Q^2/\Lambda^2)^n}, \ Q = p_{T,h}$$



• The form-factor scenario displays a depletion in cross-section at higher  $p_{T,h}$ , due to the dipole suppression (n=2).

Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

# Full Analysis

Ð,

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Full Analysis - Using jet substructure

- Our signal is  $pp \to t\bar{t}h$  with the  $h \to b\bar{b}$  and top-quark pair decaying leptonically. Final state is 4 b-tagged jets and 2 opp. sign leptons.
- Leading backgrounds are  $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$  and  $t\bar{t}Z(l^+l^-)$ .
- Use of jet sub-structure techniques can effectively suppress backgrounds<sup>4</sup>.
  - We require at least 1 boosted fat jet (R=1.2) with  $p_{TJ} > 200$  GeV, Higgs tagged with the BDRS algorithm.
  - Outside the fat jet we require 2 b-tagged jets (R=0.4) with  $p_{Tj} > 30$  GeV; and Higgs candidate has a mass close to 125 GeV.

$\operatorname{cuts}$	$t\bar{t}h$	$t \bar{t} b \bar{b}$	$t\bar{t}Z$
BDRS <i>h</i> -tag, $p_{T\ell} > 10$ GeV, $ \eta_{\ell}  < 3$ , $n_{\ell} = 2$	3.32	6.35	1.02
$p_{Tj} > 30 \text{ GeV},  \eta_j  < 3, n_j \ge 2, n_b = 2$	0.72	1.97	0.22
$ m_h^{\rm BDRS} - 125  < 10 { m ~GeV}$	0.15	0.14	0.009

<sup>4</sup>Buckley, Gonçalves (2015)

Roshan Mammen Abraham

3

SQA

#### Full Analysis - EFT and form-factor



E

< □ ▶

E

### Results - Individual



Higgs 2021

æ.

5900

▲□ ▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

### Results - Combined and form-factor



Linear order calculation is robust to quadratic effects.

Higgs 2021

Э

< □ ▶

E

### Results - Combined and form-factor



Roshan Mammen Abraham

Higgs-top Coupling at High Scales

- The HL-LHC promises unprecedented precision in the top Yukawa measurement allowing one to constrain NP.
- Using the boosted Higgs regime (in the  $t\bar{t}h$  channel) and jet sub structure techniques, we show how Higgs-top coupling can be *directly* probed at high scales.
- Sensitivity to new physics is presented within the EFT framework and also the Higgs-top form-factor.

1

SQ (V

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

### Backup Slides - More kinematic distributions, $p_{T,h}$



### Backup Slides - More kinematic distributions, $p_{T,t}$



Ē

### Backup Slides - More kinematic distributions, $m_{tt}$



### Backup Slides - More kinematic distributions, $m_{th}$

