

# Machine Learning the Higgs-top CP Measurement

**Rahool Barman**  
Oklahoma State University

In Collaboration with:  
**Dr. Dorival Gonçalves** (Oklahoma State Univ.), **Dr. Felix Kling** (DESY)

---

based on [arXiv: 2110.07635](#)

Higgs 2021

October 18 - 22, 2021

# CP-violation in Higgs sector

- New sources of CP violating interactions can play a crucial role in explaining the baryon asymmetry.
- CP-violation in the Higgs sector realized through mixing of CP-even and odd states offer one such exciting scenario.
- Pure CP-odd hypothesis excluded at 95% CL at the LHC, however a CP-mixed hypothesis is still allowed.
- CPV in  $hZZ/hWW$  interactions extensively studied at the LHC using  $h \rightarrow Z^{(*)}Z^{(*)}/W^{(*)}W^{(*)}$  decays  $\rightarrow$  loop suppressed since no tree-level coupling between CP-odd Higgs component and gauge bosons.  
[CMS: 1411.3441; Ellis, Fok, Hwang, Sanz, You (2013); Englert, Goncalves, Mawatari, Plehn (2013)]
- Feasible alternative: CPV in  $h\bar{f}f$  couplings can directly manifest at the tree-level  $\rightarrow$  more sensitive probes compared to  $hVV$  interaction.

# CP-violation in Higgs sector

- The largest among the Higgs-to-fermion couplings:  $h\bar{t}t$ , is the most desirable choice.
- Higgs-top interaction can be parametrized as:



$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{m_t}{v}\kappa_t h\bar{t}t(\cos\alpha + i\gamma_5 \sin\alpha)t \quad (1)$$

**SM:**  $(\kappa_t, \alpha) = (1, 0)$ , **pure CP-odd interaction:**  $\alpha = \pm\pi/2$ .

- A precise measurement of the CP-structure of this coupling could unravel clues for new physics.

# Indirect constraints


- Electron Dipole Moment probes can exert strong constraints on CP-violating Higgs-top couplings.
- Assuming  $\kappa_e = 1$ , constrains  $|\kappa_t \sin \alpha| < 0.01$ .
- Very sensitive to minor modifications.



[Brod, Haisch, Zupan (2013)]

# Direct probes

- Although, GF Higgs production at the LHC are sensitive to  $\kappa_t$  and  $\alpha$ , however, loop-induced new physics effects can significantly deteriorate the prospects. [Grojean, Salvioni, Schlaffer, Weiler (2013); Dolan, Harris, Jankowiak, Spannowsky (2014)]
- $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}h$  stands out as the viable direct probe to  $\alpha$  as well as  $\kappa_t$ .
  - **Drawbacks:** Small rate at the current LHC and complex final states.
  - **Silver linings:** Observation for  $t\bar{t}h$  at  $5.2 \sigma$  [ATLAS: 2004.04545] and  $6.6 \sigma$  [CMS: 2003.10866].
  - Current limits:  $|\alpha| < 43^\circ$  (ATLAS) and  $|\alpha| < 55^\circ$  (CMS) at 95% CL
- Improved rates at the HL-LHC coupled with efficient top reconstruction and event information extraction techniques can lead to large sensitivity.



[ATLAS: 2004.04545]



# CP observables

Numerous well-motivated observables have been explored in the literature

- $\theta^*$ : angle between  $t$  and beam direction in the  $t\bar{t}$  CM frame.
- $b_4 = p_t^z p_{\bar{t}}^z / p_t p_{\bar{t}}$
- $m_{t\bar{t}}$ : invariant mass of  $t$  and  $\bar{t}$ .
- $p_{T,h}$ : transverse momentum of  $h$
- $\Delta\eta_{t\bar{t}}$ : pseudorapidity difference between  $t$  and  $\bar{t}$ .
- $m_{th}$ : invariant mass of the  $t$  and  $h$ .

[Gunion, He (1996), Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Zaro (2015), Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Page Zaro (2014), Gonçalves, Kong, Kim (2018)]

Illustrative distributions at parton-level:




# CP observables

Numerous well-motivated observables have been explored in the literature

- $\theta^*$ : angle between  $t$  and beam direction in the  $t\bar{t}$  CM frame.
- $b_4 = p_t^z p_{\bar{t}}^z / p_t p_{\bar{t}}$
- $m_{t\bar{t}}$ : invariant mass of  $t$  and  $\bar{t}$ .
- $p_{T,h}$ : transverse momentum of  $h$
- $\Delta\eta_{t\bar{t}}$ : pseudorapidity difference between  $t$  and  $\bar{t}$ .
- $m_{th}$ : invariant mass of the  $t$  and  $h$ .

[Gunion, He (1996), Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Zaro (2015), Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Page Zaro (2014), Gonçalves, Kong, Kim (2018)]

- Result in distinct profiles for different values of  $\alpha$ .
- Sensitive to non-linear NP effects only  $\propto \cos^2 \alpha$  and  $\sin^2 \alpha$ .



# CP observables

- Observables sensitive to interference terms  $\propto \sin \alpha \cos \alpha$  can be constructed from antisymmetric tensor products involving  $t$ ,  $\bar{t}$  and their decay products  $\{i, j\}$ :  $\epsilon(p_t, p_{\bar{t}}, p_i, p_j) \sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_t^\mu p_{\bar{t}}^\nu p_i^\rho p_j^\sigma$ ,  
[Boudjema, Godbole, Guadagnoli, Mohan (2015), Mileo, Kiers, Szykman, Crane, Gegner (2016), Gonçalves, Kong, Kim (2018)]

$$\simeq E_t \vec{p}_{\bar{t}} \cdot (\vec{p}_i \times \vec{p}_j) - E_{\bar{t}} \vec{p}_i \cdot (\vec{p}_j \times \vec{p}_t) + E_i \vec{p}_j \cdot (\vec{p}_t \times \vec{p}_{\bar{t}}) - E_j \vec{p}_t \cdot (\vec{p}_{\bar{t}} \times \vec{p}_i)$$

- In  $t\bar{t}$  rest frame, it simplifies to  $\propto p_t \cdot (p_i \times p_j)$ .
- Using these relations, genuine CP sensitive observables can be defined:  
[Gonçalves, Kong, Kim (2018)]

$$\Delta\phi_{ij}^{t\bar{t}} = \text{sgn} [\vec{p}_t \cdot (\vec{p}_i \times \vec{p}_j)] \arccos \left[ \frac{\vec{p}_t \times \vec{p}_i}{|\vec{p}_t \times \vec{p}_i|} \cdot \frac{\vec{p}_t \times \vec{p}_j}{|\vec{p}_t \times \vec{p}_j|} \right].$$




# Observable Information

- Due to limited  $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  statistics we expect the non-linear NP effects to dominate over the linear effects at the detector level  $\rightarrow$  **Most of the sensitivity arises from CP-even observables.**
- Relative sensitivity of CP-even observables on non-linear terms could also be quantified through modified Fisher information.

$$I' = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial \log p(x|\kappa_t^2, \alpha^2)}{d\alpha^2} \frac{\partial \log p(x|\kappa_t^2, \alpha^2)}{d\alpha^2} \right]$$

$p(x|\kappa_t, \alpha)$  is the event likelihood,  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$  is the expectation value at SM.

- $\Delta\eta_{t\bar{t}}$  and  $\theta^*$  carry the most information ( $\sim 60\%$ ).
- Most promising pairs:  $\{\Delta\eta_{t\bar{t}}, p_{T,h}\}$  and  $\{\theta^*, m_{t\bar{t}h}\}$



Signal only at parton level

Information increases with successive addition of observables  $\rightarrow$  **illustrates the necessity of a multivariate analysis.**

# Top reconstruction


- Full reconstruction of the  $t\bar{t}$  system is required at the detector level in order to access the full potential of these observables at the LHC.
- **Combinatorial ambiguities** and **presence of neutrinos** makes the reconstruction a challenging task.

## Reconstruction strategy


- ① **Semi-leptonic channel:**  $p_{Z,\nu_l}$  is computed by constraining  $m_{l\nu_l}$  to  $W$  mass.  $(m_{jjb} - m_t)^2 + (m_{l\nu b} - m_t)^2$  is minimized.
- ② **Hadronic channel:** Similar mass minimization.
- ③ **Di-leptonic channel:** **More complex.** Top pairs are reconstructed through Recursive Jigsaw Reconstruction technique. [Jackson, Rogan (2017)]

# Fully reconstructed $t\bar{t}h$


## Detector-level distributions: $\theta^*$



$\mathcal{O}(20\%)$



$\mathcal{O}(40\%)$




$\mathcal{O}(50\%)$

reduction in sensitivity compared to parton-level

The reduction in sensitivity reflects the efficiency of the reconstruction techniques in the respective channels.

# Analysis strategy

- A likelihood-based approach is followed to interpret the results  
→ likelihood ratio  $r(x|\theta, \theta_{SM})$  has been known as an excellent test statistic to discriminate NP effects parameterized by  $\theta = (\kappa_t, \alpha)$  from SM  $\theta_{SM} = (1, 0)$ .
- At detector level,  $r(x|\theta, \theta_{SM})$  cannot be computed directly, however, can be estimated through simulations.
- **MadMiner** resolves this intractability by employing ML based inference techniques. [Brehmer, Kling, Espejo, Craner (2019)]



(taken from [Brehmer, Craner, Louppe, Pavez (2018)])



## MadMiner overview

- Interpolates matrix element (ME) information from MC simulated events as a function of  $\theta$ . (NP effects at production level and  $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay are considered. [Brod, Haisch, Zupan (2013)])
- Uses **reconstructed observables  $x$  + ME information** to train neural network models that estimate  $r(x|\theta, \theta_{SM})$   
→ accounts for parton shower, hadronization and detector effects.
- Estimated  $r(x|\theta, \theta_{SM})$  encodes squared NP effects as well as interference effects.
- Projected sensitivities are then extracted through likelihood ratio tests.

### Network architecture:

- 80 observables are used to describe the signal and background in the multivariate analysis.
- Fully connected NN with 3 hidden layers ( $100 \times 100 \times 100$ ) is trained.
- Training is performed with  $10^6$  signal and background events before event selection.

# Projected reach at 14 TeV LHC ( $\mathcal{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ )



- Higgs-top CP-phase could be probed up to  $|\alpha| \lesssim 15^\circ$  at 68% CL.
- Sensitivity for top Yukawa is  $\kappa_t \lesssim 8\%$  at 68% CL.

# Summary

- The goal is to harness the maximal potential of
- A comprehensive list of well-motivated observables are included to probe the Higgs-top CP-structure.
- The goal was to harness their maximal potential via the full reconstruction of the top and the anti-top, and by using machine learning based inference techniques.
- The observables are found to retain a sizeable fraction of spin correlation information even at the detector level.
- The HL-LHC can directly probe  $\kappa_t$  and  $\alpha$  up to  $\kappa_t \lesssim 8\%$  and  $|\alpha| \lesssim 15^\circ$  respectively at 68% CL through combined searches in the dileptonic, semileptonic and hadronic  $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  channel.



# Short Summary



# Short Summary

- We examine the prospects of directly measuring the Higgs top CP-structure in  $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  channel at the HL-LHC.
- Our goal is to harness the maximal sensitivity through a combination of efficient  $t\bar{t}$  reconstruction techniques and machine learning based inference techniques.
- Several well-motivated observables that utilize the reconstructed  $t\bar{t}$  system are used to describe the signal and the  $t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$  background.
- For analysis, we use the MadMiner toolkit which uses ML based inference techniques to perform a multivariate analysis at the detector level.
  - incorporates observable information + matrix element information.
  - results are sensitive to both linear and non-linear new physics effects.
- We perform a combination in the dileptonic, semileptonic and hadronic  $t\bar{t}(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  channel.

# Short summary



- Higgs-top CP-phase could be probed up to  $|\alpha| \lesssim 15^\circ$  at 68% CL ( $|\alpha| \lesssim 22.5^\circ$  at 95% CL).
- Top Yukawa could be probed up to is  $\kappa_t \lesssim 8\%$  at 68% CL.

**Thank you for your attention!**