

PI Talk

Mike Stanfield OSG Security Team Center for Applied Cybersecurity Research Indiana University April 19th, 2021



Who is actively working on the project from the group and what are they doing?

- Mike Stanfield
 - Information Security Officer
- Zalak Shah
 - Security Analyst
- Josh Drake
 - Security Analyst
- Adrian Crenshaw
 - Security Analyst



What are contributions of those individuals in your group to the various area(s), i.e. AS, IA, DOMA, SSL, SSC, OSG-LHC, MGMT

- As a group we support OSG-LHC, providing operational security support.
 - Vulnerability announcements
 - Vulnerability scanning
 - Application penetration testing
 - Security exercises
 - Architecture review
 - Policy



- We are working with the SLATE team in SSL to provide guidance on security policy and container security.
 - This particular project has a potentially broad impact on the WLCG, so OSG Security collaboration is critical.



What specific collaborations has the group made

Open Science Grid with other groups or individuals external to IRIS-HEP?

- EGI CSIRT and SVG
- U.S. ATLAS and U.S. CMS
- BNL and FNAL
 - coordinating incident response, vulnerability announcements and threat intelligence
 - joint exercises
 - info sharing from external meetings, working group memberships, etc.



Which contributions has the group made to the "Intellectual Hub" aspect of IRIS-HEP? (Including community building activities, training, outreach and broader impact.)

- WLCG Federated Operation Security Working Group
- Cross-membership with EGI CSIRT
- Joint EGI/OSG incident response/communication coordination exercise.



Please list any papers/presentations/software-repositories connected to the above.

- OSG-EGI security drill report (March 2020 GDB meeting)
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/813745/#7-osg-egi-security-drill
 -repor

How is your project managed? How is progress

Open Science Grid measured? How are risks identified and mitigated?

- Managed through OSG-LHC:
 - weekly Security team meetings
 - milestones tracked in JIRA
 - operational tickets in JIRA, customer tickets in FreshDesk
- Progress measurements are fuzzy
 - milestone completion
 - potential for maturity metrics?



Are metrics aligned with the project? Do metrics accurately reflect the progress, success or impact of the project?

- Realigned metrics in Q12020 and again in Q12021 to better fit reality
 - old metric: number of tickets resolved
 - new: number of incidents per quarter, number of hosts scanned for vulnerabilities, number of vulnerabilities detected that require remediation
- New metrics also include clear thresholds for action from the OSG Executive Team