



#### Prioritisation discussion Production solenoid

#### Highest priority!

- Radiation damage on conductor → separate testing possible
- Quench limits
- Magnet engineering issues (feasibility vs. cost)
- Coil protection vs. shielding vs. bore diameter
- Horn(s) backup is to be foreseen
  - Believe that technically feasible, so lower priority should be given to this item – multiple target/horn systems

2



## Prioritisation discussion Target Systems (1/2)

- Graphite
  - Workhorse for Targetry and beam intercepting device
  - Possible solution if beam power up to 1.5/2 MW max
- Packed bed target (also an option for ±2 MW)
- Fluidised tungsten
  - Advanced design, additional offline testing to validate technology
- Liquid metal technologies (pure, eutectics, etc.)
  - Technologies developed already for nuclear industry
  - "Paperwork" + offline testing to be foreseen to validate technology specific to MUC
- Hg target to be considered excluded (at least for a CERN implementation)



## Prioritisation discussion Target Systems (2/2)

- Integration/design of the main beam dump is an important item that must be validated and cross-checked in detail, even with respect to the capture magnets
- Focusing most likely be different depending on whether a 2 MW or 4 MW beam is considered
- Thermal shock on target material critical
- Current accelerator plan for MUC based on 2 ns proton bunch and 5 Hz rep. rate – does it improves for Targetry if rep. rate is increased with less bunch intensity?
  - What is effect on luminosity for the final collider?



# Prioritisation discussion Target Station

- High level concept of the MUC Target Station required for feasibility and cost estimate
- Target system integration (target/magnets/dumps) and target complex design
- High level RP assessment
- Sectorisation confinement of highly radioactive zone should end maximum at the chicane, before cooling section





Thank you

very much for your support

and for your attention