SRF Technology for Muon Colliders

R. Rimmer Jlab

1st Muon Community Meeting 20-21 May 2021

Muon acceleration SRF challenges



- Proton driver e.g. SNS, ESS, PIP-II
- Fast acceleration high gradient
- Large transverse beam size low frequency
 - Depends on 6D cooling
 - 200 MHz >325 MHz, 20 MV/m> 650 MHz 25 MV/m > 975 MHz?
 - Thin film based? > bulk Nb?
- Heavy beam loading in RLA's (few% energy spreadover bunch train?)
- Proximity to high field magnets (solenoids or quads)
- Decay products (~15% muons lost in acceleration)?

Example cavities



Cornell 200 MHz (Nb on Cu)



Eacc [MV/m]



400 MHz bulk Nb





EIC 591 MHz (bulk Nb) PERLE/FCC 800 MHz (bulk Nb)

200 MHz cryomdules R. Geng

200MHz SRF layout for Linac 5000 Focusing Solenoid (2-4 T) 2-cell SRF cavity 8000 9000

6/11/03

R.L. Geng, NuFact03

Thin film technology Development



Good progress with Nb on Cu using HIPIMS at Jlab and CERN Other materials (NbN, Nb3Sn, NbTiN, etc) possible.



Jlab Nb₃Sn Development

Uttar Pudasaini



Twin-axis cavity have been coated

Jefferson Lab

Dual use linac?



c) Layout of a multi-TeV Muon Collider

'The NuMAX Long Baseline Neutrino Factory Concept', J-P. Delahaye, C.M. Ankenbrandt, S.A. Bogacz et al, JINST, **13**, T06003 (2018)

More R&D needed

- Proton drivers
- Accelerating structures
 - 325, 650, 975 MHz? 1.3 GHz?
 - LFD, microphonics
 - High stored energy?
- Efficient cryomodules
 - High packing factor (how close can the magnets be to SRF?)
 - HTS magnets?
 - Low cost construction?
- High power RF sources, modulators, components
- New materials
- Beam tests

Conclusions

- Muon acceleration using SRF looks feasible
- Frequency choice strongly depends on 6D cooling
- Bulk Nb, Nb on Cu or other materials viable
- R&D needed (more on cost reduction than viability)
- Test facilities needed

Thank you for your attention!