

Overview of Magnet Needs for Pulsed Synchrotrons

J. Scott Berg Brookhaven National Laboratory 1st Muon Community Meeting 20 May 2021

- ∙ Acceleration overview
- ∙ Pulsed synchrotrons, including hybrid
- ∙ Sample parameters
- ∙ Pulsed magnets
- ∙ Final thoughts, R&D list

- ∙ Limit decays: muons are difficult and expensive to create
- ∙ Everything happens fast
	- ∘ At lower energies have no time to change magnet fields, RF frequencies, replace RF energy
	- ∘ These become possible at high energy, but parameters beyond conventional
- ∙ RF cavities are expensive: make as many passes through cavities as possible
- RF power is expensive: consider energy efficiency
- ∙ Avoid increasing transverse and longitudinal emittance

∙ Muons decay, rest lifetime 2*.*2 µs

−

- ∙ Large average acceleration gradient (energy gain divided by beam line length) to avoid decays
- ∙ Determine average accelerating gradient from desired transmission for a given energy ratio

$$
\frac{m_{\mu}c^2/e\log[(E_{\rm f}+cp_{\rm f})/(E_{\rm i}+cp_{\rm i})]}{m_{\mu}c^2}
$$

$$
c\tau_{\mu} \qquad \log(N_{\rm f}/N_{\rm i})
$$

∙ Formula involves transmission fraction and energy ratio. Doesn't get relaxed at higher energies. ∙ To get MAP luminosities, we needed 3*.*5 MV∕m

- ∙ RF and machine length drive costs
- ∙ Muons are bendable leptons: multiple (few to low 10s) RF passes

$$
n \sim \frac{\Delta E}{eG_{\text{avg}}L} \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{B_{\text{avg}}c_{\Delta E}}{G_{\text{avg}}} \frac{E}{pc}
$$

- ∘ Small circumference of acceleration stages
- ∘ High fields in dipoles
- ∘ Large dipole packing fraction

- ∙ Accelerate as usual for a synchrotron: magnet fields proportional to momentum
- ∙ Distribute cavities uniformly around ring, as many stations as possible to minimize mismatch
	- ∘ Energy increases discretely
	- ∘ Field varies continuously
- ∙ Maximum field only around 1.5 T: few turns or large number of decays
- ∙ Magnet fields increasing rapidly (1 ms and below)

- ∙ Increase average bend field: interleave fixed superconducting dipoles and bipolar pulsed warm dipoles
	- ∘ More RF passes and shorter circumference
- ∙ Larger energy gain factor has significant penalties
	- ∘ Lower average bend field, so longer ring
	- ∘ Wider aperture required in magnets
	- ∘ Energy discretization problems at lower energies
- ∙ Easier at higher energies: more time
- ∙ Adjust orbit position to keep time of flight constant

Hybrid Pulsed Synchrotron

20 May 2021 J. S. Berg — Magnet Needs for Pulsed Synchrotrons 8/15

- ∙ Large longitudinal emittance: 25 meV s
- ∙ Small transverse normalized emittance: 25 µm
- High bunch charge: 2×10^{12} per sign
- ∙ Low repetition rate: 15 Hz
- ∙ Average gradient: 3.5 MV/m ∘ 1.3 GHz cavities at 35 MV/m
- ∙ Pulsed dipole maximum field: 1.5 T
- ∙ Fixed dipole field: 10 T

- ∙ Accelerate from 63 to 1500 GeV
- ∙ Three stages, first two share a tunnel
- ∙ Very hand-waving calculation
- ∙ Dwell times in particular will be somewhat longer

- ∙ Acceleration times 1 ms and below
- ∙ Need to deal with energy losses
	- ∘ Combine high-field pole with 6.5% Si steel back yoke
	- ∘ HTS-based SC solutions
- ∙ Power supply
	- ∘ Need good control of current ramp
	- ∘ Large peak power
	- ∘ High efficiency (below 2% loss per pulse) to keep losses below magnet losses
	- ∘ Keep magnet apertures small to minimize stored energy
- ∙ Losses and power supply capabilities lead to lowest tolerable energy for pulsed synchrotrons

Pulsed Magnet Design

- ∙ 3% Si pole (moderate loss, high field)
- ∙ 6.5% Si back yoke (low loss)
- ∙ Losses dominated by pole
- ∙ Low eddy current loss in coil

- ∙ Stored energy in pulsed magnets of a few to low 10s of MJ per ring
- ∙ Peak power delivered in the GW range per ring (individual supply per magnet?)
- ∙ Must store energy between pulses with decent efficiency
- ∙ Ramp should be linear and well-controlled. Difficult to control acceleration rate (rapid phase change, bucket area).
- ∙ Ramping either from something above zero to maximum, or from negative to positive

- ∙ High field, fixed field magnets for hybrid designs
- ∙ Things get easier, more efficient at higher energies
- ∙ Pulsed power supply is the biggest challenge
	- ∘ High ramp rate, power
	- ∘ Controlling the current pulse
- ∙ Can slow down, but more decays or money
- ∙ If pulsing too scary at low energies, we have other (probably more expensive) options: FFAs
- ∙ Higher field pulsed magnets would be nice
	- ∘ Different pole materials:
		- ∙ Pure iron: higher losses
		- ∙ Fe-Co: activation?
	- ∘ Can SC options (HTS) ramp in these sorts of times?

- ∙ Power supplies
	- ∘ Short pulse times
	- ∘ Efficiency
	- ∘ Tightly controlled linear ramp
- ∙ Higher field pulsed magnets
	- ∘ Higher fields in conventional design
	- ∘ Rapid pulsing of HTS magnets?
- ∙ High field fixed magnets