



Two possible estimators:

1) optimise linearity: best accuracy but not best sensitivity

2) optimise resolution: best sensitivity but not best accuracy

Accuracy (also trueness): how much the mean value is close to true value

Sensitivity (also precision or resolution): how much the measurements are close to the mean value

I don't see any way to guarantee you get both at same time



Possible estimations of χ

1) Optimise accuracy:
$$\left\langle \frac{S - \chi \cdot C}{1 - \chi} \right\rangle = E \iff \chi = \frac{E - \langle S \rangle}{E - \langle C \rangle} = \frac{\langle E - S \rangle}{\langle E - C \rangle}$$

2) Optimise sensitivity (minimise variance):

$$\sigma_{DR}^{2} = \langle E_{DR}^{2} \rangle - \langle E_{DR} \rangle^{2} = \langle \left(\frac{S - \chi \cdot C}{1 - \chi} \right)^{2} \rangle - \langle \frac{S - \chi \cdot C}{1 - \chi} \rangle^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_{S}^{2} - 2 \cdot \chi \cdot cov(S, C) + \chi^{2} \cdot \sigma_{C}^{2}}{(1 - \chi)^{2}}$$

The first one contains E, the second one nothing knows about E ...

continuing ...



possible χ estimations (2)

Requiring
$$\frac{d}{d\chi}\sigma_{DR}^2 = 0$$
 and defining $\rho_{S,C} \equiv corr(S,C) \equiv \frac{cov(S,C)}{\sigma_S \cdot \sigma_C}$
you obtain: $\chi_{min} = \frac{\frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_C} \cdot (\rho_{S,C} - \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_C})}{1 - \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_C} \cdot \rho_{S,C}}$, positive for $\frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_C} < \rho_{S,C} < \frac{\sigma_C}{\sigma_S}$

Hard to believe may knows something about method-1 result!

Resolution becomes:
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{DRmin}^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_S^2} - 2 \cdot \frac{\rho_{S,C}}{\sigma_S \cdot \sigma_C} + \frac{1}{\sigma_C^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \rho_{S,C}^2}$$

making explicit the limit for *em* showers (for which corr(S,C) = 0):

$$\lim_{\rho_{s,c} \to 0} \qquad \chi_{min} \to -\frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma_c^2} \qquad , \qquad \frac{1}{\sigma_{DRmin}^2} \to \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_c^2}$$







1) optimising resolution has many drawbacks for getting X value:

- \rightarrow it may even become negative
- \rightarrow it should depend on E and $< f_{em} >$
- \rightarrow it may also depend on particle type
- \rightarrow it may give unpredictable results

2) only sensible way:

 $X = \langle E-S \rangle / \langle E-C \rangle \rightarrow \text{matches definition} = (1-h/e_s)/(1-h/e_c)$

- \rightarrow it should be stable
- \rightarrow it should be independent of E and $< f_{em} >$
- \rightarrow it should be independent of particle type

••• TO BE ASSESSED •••