

# Extending QED to Interactions of the Full Eight-Component Vacuum Wavefunction of the Geometric Representation of Clifford Algebra

DPF annual meeting  
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/1034469/>

Jul 14, 2021, 4:45 PM **previous talk**

talk

Beyond Standard M...

Beyond Standard Model

15m

youtube link to dry run

Track L (Zoom) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q1bNkXmfUq8>

- Clifford algebra in the **geometric representation** – vacuum wavefunction and geometric quantization
- wavefunction interactions – the geometric product
- the ‘geometric S-matrix’
- physical manifestation – coupling constant and electromagnetic quantization
- the ‘electromagnetic S-matrix’

a ten degree-of-freedom abstraction

# QED Model of Massless Neutrino Oscillation in the Geometric Representation of Clifford Algebra



Jul 14, 2021, 5:00 PM **this talk**

talk

Beyond Standard M...

Beyond Standard Model

15m

Track L (Zoom)

- **all experimental data are consistent with massless neutrino oscillation**
- **quantized impedance networks** of wavefunction interactions – **the connection to physical reality**
- suspension of disbelief – BSM examples
- **massless neutrino oscillation**

lost in physics




[Naturalness begets Naturalness: An Emergent Definition](#)  
[Naturalness Revisited: not Spacetime, Spacephase](#)

# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - – theoretical minimum
  - – geometric product
    - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
    - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief – an essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy

# The Theoretical Minimum


## Three assumptions – geometry, fields, and ‘mass gap’




$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \approx 0.0073$$
$$1/\alpha \approx 137$$

**no free parameters  
- emergence**

# “Geometric Algebra is the universal language for mathematical physics”



The 2002 Oersted Medal was awarded to David Hestenes by the American Physical Society for “Reforming the mathematical language of physics”



Given two vector bosons  $W$  and  $Z$ , the product  $WZ$  changes grades. In the product  $WZ = W \cdot Z + W \wedge Z$ , two grade 1 vector bosons transform to grade 0 scalar boson and grade 2 bivector fermion  $WZ = \text{Higgs} + \text{top}$

Taken together, the four superheavies comprise a minimally complete 2D Clifford algebra – one scalar, two vectors, and one bivector

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum mode } m_Z + m_W &= m_{\text{top}} \\ \text{difference mode } m_Z - m_W &= m_{\text{bottomonium}} \end{aligned}$$

*no Higgs mass here?*

# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - – electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - – unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy

	electric charge $e$ scalar	elec dipole moment 1 $d_{E1}$ vector	elec dipole moment 2 $d_{E2}$ vector	mag flux quantum $\phi_B$ vector	elec flux quantum 1 $\phi_{E1}$ bivector	elec flux quantum 2 $\phi_{E2}$ bivector	magnetic moment $\mu_{Bohr}$ bivector	magnetic charge $g$ trivector
$e$	$ee$ ■ scalar	$ed_{E1}$	$ed_{E2}$ vector	$e\phi_B$ ●	$e\phi_{E1}$ ▲	$e\phi_{E2}$ ▲ bivector	$e\mu_B$	$eg$ trivector
$d_{E1}$	$d_{E1}e$	$d_{E1}d_{E1}$ ◆	$d_{E1}d_{E2}$	$d_{E1}\phi_B$	$d_{E1}\phi_{E1}$	$d_{E1}\phi_{E2}$	$d_{E1}\mu_B$	$d_{E1}g$
$d_{E2}$	$d_{E2}e$	$d_{E2}d_{E1}$	$d_{E2}d_{E2}$ ◆	$d_{E2}\phi_B$	$d_{E2}\phi_{E1}$	$d_{E2}\phi_{E2}$	$d_{E2}\mu_B$	$d_{E2}g$
$\phi_B$	$\phi_B e$ ● vector	$\phi_B d_{E1}$	$\phi_B d_{E2}$ scalar + bivector	$\phi_B \phi_B$	photon $\phi_B \phi_{E1}$ γ	$\phi_B \phi_{E2}$ vector + trivector	$\phi_B \mu_B$	$\phi_B g$ ▲ scalar Lorentz bv + qv
$\phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} e$ ▲	$\phi_{E1} d_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} d_{E2}$	$\phi_{E1} \phi_B$ γ	$\phi_{E1} \phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} \phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E1} \mu_B$	$\phi_{E1} g$ ● vector Lorentz
$\phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} e$ ▲	$\phi_{E2} d_{E1}$	$\phi_{E2} d_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_B$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} \mu_B$	$\phi_{E2} g$ ●
$\mu_B$	$\mu_B e$ bivector	$\mu_B d_{E1}$	$\mu_B d_{E2}$ vector + trivector	$\mu_B \phi_B$	$\mu_B \phi_{E1}$	$\mu_B \phi_{E2}$ scalar + quadvector	$\mu_B \mu_B$ ◆	$\mu_B g$ vector + pv
$g$	$ge$ trivector	$gd_{E1}$	$gd_{E2}$ bivector + quadvector	$g\phi_B$ ▲	$g\phi_{E1}$ ●	$g\phi_{E2}$ ● vector + pentavector	$g\mu_B$	$gg$ ■ scalar + sv

neutrino wavefunction is 3-body  
impedance is scale invariant  
**topological**

$$g\phi_B\phi_{E1} \delta_{cp} ?$$

off diagonal  
2-body modes


$\phi_B\phi_{E1}$   
 $g\phi_B$   
 $g\phi_{E1}$  photon – topological and geometric  
scalar Lorentz – geometric  
vector Lorentz – topological  
for muon collider? Proton EDM ring?

on diagonal 2-body modes  
couple to antiparticle/vacuum

$\phi_B\phi_B$  null  
 $\phi_{E1}\phi_{E1}$  null  
 $gg$  scalar + pscalar  
entangled


# Correlation of unstable lifetimes with nodes of impedance network

# topological duality/inversion



# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - – historical perspective on impedance matching
  - – how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
    - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\pi$ / $\eta$ / $\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy



## Impedance History

color code


white – classical  
yellow – theory  
gold – experiment  
green - BSM

# How Impedance matching was lost in QM

1. Topological inversion – units of mechanical impedance are [kg/s]. Intuitively one might expect that more [kg/s] would mean more mass flow. However more impedance means less flow. Thwarted Bjorken, Feynman,...
2. concept of **exact** impedance quantization did not exist until vonKlitzing et.al discovered QHE in 1980.
3. QHE was easy – scale invariant!
4. habit of setting fundamental constants to dimensionless unity  $h = c = G = Z = \dots = 1$  let Z slip over the horizon.

electric charge

magnetic charge



Mismatches are Feynman's regularization parameters of QED.

Inclusion renders QED finite. This is what Bjorken discovered back in 1959, anticipated it would be a powerful tool, was led astray by the inversion of SI units. Feynman had a student do a thesis on impedance matching to the maser.


Bjorken was perhaps not familiar with their work when writing his 1959 thesis[46]. In that thesis is an approach summarized[47] as "...an analogy between Feynman diagrams and electrical circuits, with Feynman parameters playing the role of *resistance*, external momenta as current sources, and coordinate differences as voltage drops. Some of that found its way into section 18.4 of..." the canonical text[48]. As presented there, the units of the Feynman parameter are [sec/kg], the units of mechanical *conductance*[5].

One of the black hole event horizon impedances is the 25812 ohm quantum Hall – scale invariant, topological, communicates phase only, can do no work.

J. Bjorken, "Experimental tests of Quantum electrodynamics and spectral representations of Green's functions in perturbation theory", Thesis, Stanford (1959) <http://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/2001021>

J. Bjorken, private communication (2014)


J. Bjorken, and S. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields*, McGraw-Hill, section 18.4 (1965)



# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - – Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy

## Mechanical analog of the Dirac equation



Synchronous counter-rotating eccentrics transform 2D rotation to 1D translations, are an analog to electron and positron spinors of Dirac equation counter-rotating in phase space.

A typical vibratory piledriver generates a sinusoidal inertial force of many tens or hundreds of tons, might be thought of as an 'inertia wave generator'. Given equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass, it might also be called a gravitational wave generator.

The extent to which such a toy model might ultimately prove useful remains to be seen. For now it seems clear that it provides a simple shortcut to calculating quantized electromagnetic impedances

*this is important – impedance matching governs amplitude and phase of energy transmission*

THE TWO BODY PROBLEM AND MACH'S PRINCIPLE

Peter Cameron

2210 Water Street

Port Huron, Michigan 48060

The classical analysis of the two-body problem is frequently complicated by the introduction of a system of co-ordinates which is independent of either of the bodies. The validity of such an analysis rests upon the premise that the co-ordinate frame does not interact with the physical system via any known physical laws, and that one is therefore free to choose whatever reference frame seems most useful.

A strong epistemological argument might be advanced against this reasoning. If sufficiently rigorous constraints are placed upon the spatial properties of the interacting bodies, the introduction of an independent observer will have a radical effect upon the form of the equations which describe the interaction, to the extent that strongly differing concepts might be developed regarding such fundamental things as space, time, and matter. Newton

submitted to Am.J.Phys 1975

referees: 'No new physics here'

Published 2011 as an appendix to the Electron Impedances paper.

<http://redshift.vif.com/JournalFiles/V18NO2PDF/V18N2CAM.pdf>

Mass is quantized. All rest mass particles have quantized mechanical impedances. EM conversion factor is squared inverse of line charge density  $[m/coul]^2$  Resulting model has correct amplitudes and some phase information, but mass is single field, EM is two fields – orientational information needed to apply Maxwell's eqns is lacking.

matching to the 'mass gap'

## Photon Impedance Match to a Single Free Electron

Peter Cameron  
Brookhaven National Laboratory  
Upton, NY 11973  
[cameron@bnl.gov](mailto:cameron@bnl.gov)

It is not surprising that consideration of impedance matching the photon to the electron, or more specifically to the quantum of resistance at the length scale defined by the mass and angular momentum of the electron, has been long ignored in quantum electrodynamics. Conceptually the development of QED preceded the discovery of 'exact quantization' and the associated von Klitzing constant by many decades. Additionally, the relevance of the resistance quantum to photon interactions with a single free electron has only recently begun to be appreciated. In this note we offer a simple presentation of such an impedance match, briefly discuss the unexpected emergence of the fine structure constant from these simple first principles, and suggest how the procedure can be inverted to deliver a first principles calculation of the mass of the electron.

impedance network of the 'mass gap'

## Electron Impedances

Peter Cameron  
Brookhaven National Laboratory  
Upton, NY 11973  
[cameron@bnl.gov](mailto:cameron@bnl.gov)

It is only recently, and particularly with the quantum Hall effect and the development of nanoelectronics, that impedances on the scale of molecules, atoms and single electrons have gained attention. In what follows the possibility that characteristic impedances might be defined for the photon and the single free electron is explored in some detail, the premise being that the concepts of electrical and mechanical impedances are relevant to the elementary particle. The scale invariant quantum Hall impedance is pivotal in this exploration, as is the two body problem and Mach's principle.

*To understand the electron would be enough - Einstein*



# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - – unstable particle spectrum
  - – impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - – impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - – chiral anomaly and  $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy


# Correlation of unstable lifetimes with nodes of impedance network

# topological duality/inversion


$\pi_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$	98.8%
$\pi_0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$	1.2%
$\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$	39.3%
$\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	32.6%
$\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	22.7%
$\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	4.6%
$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	39.3%
$\eta' \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma$	
incl. $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	29.3%
$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$	21.6%
$\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma$	2.8%
$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.2%





- Horizontal axis in both plots is logarithmic
- Length scales are the same for both plots, and they are properly aligned
- Upper plot shows particle lifetimes multiplied by the speed of light, the coherence lengths (adapted from *The Power of Alpha* by Malcolm MacGregor)
- Plot at left is electron impedances, details at <http://redshift.vif.com/JournalFiles/V18NO2PDF/V18N2CAM.pdf>
- Alpha-spaced coherence lengths of  $\eta'$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\pi_0$  are at conjunctions of mode impedances, can couple to the photon for fast EM decay



# BSM example 2 – origin of gravitational mass, inflation, chirality, baryon asymmetry,...



BSM example 3 mismatch attenuated Hawking photon ('graviton' is full 8-component wavefunction?)



Where in this network do we want to match for SRGW? How?



# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\pi^0/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- • massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy

	electric charge $e$ scalar	elec dipole moment 1 $d_{E1}$ vector	elec dipole moment 2 $d_{E2}$ vector	mag flux quantum $\phi_B$ vector	elec flux quantum 1 $\phi_{E1}$ bivector	elec flux quantum 2 $\phi_{E2}$ bivector	magnetic moment $\mu_{Bohr}$ bivector	magnetic charge $g$ trivector
$e$	$ee$ ■ scalar	$ed_{E1}$	$ed_{E2}$ vector	$e\phi_B$ ●	$e\phi_{E1}$ ▲	$e\phi_{E2}$ ▲ bivector	$e\mu_B$	$eg$ trivector
$d_{E1}$	$d_{E1}e$	$d_{E1}d_{E1}$ ◆	$d_{E1}d_{E2}$	$d_{E1}\phi_B$	$d_{E1}\phi_{E1}$	$d_{E1}\phi_{E2}$	$d_{E1}\mu_B$	$d_{E1}g$
$d_{E2}$	$d_{E2}e$	$d_{E2}d_{E1}$	$d_{E2}d_{E2}$ ◆	$d_{E2}\phi_B$	$d_{E2}\phi_{E1}$	$d_{E2}\phi_{E2}$	$d_{E2}\mu_B$	$d_{E2}g$
$\phi_B$	$\phi_B e$ ● vector	$\phi_B d_{E1}$	$\phi_B d_{E2}$ scalar + bivector	$\phi_B \phi_B$	photon $\phi_B \phi_{E1}$ γ	$\phi_B \phi_{E2}$ vector + trivector	$\phi_B \mu_B$	$\phi_B g$ ▲ scalar Lorentz bv + qv
$\phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} e$ ▲	$\phi_{E1} d_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} d_{E2}$	$\phi_{E1} \phi_B$ γ	$\phi_{E1} \phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E1} \phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E1} \mu_B$	$\phi_{E1} g$ ● vector Lorentz
$\phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} e$ ▲	$\phi_{E2} d_{E1}$	$\phi_{E2} d_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_B$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_{E1}$	$\phi_{E2} \phi_{E2}$	$\phi_{E2} \mu_B$	$\phi_{E2} g$ ●
$\mu_B$	$\mu_B e$ bivector	$\mu_B d_{E1}$	$\mu_B d_{E2}$ vector + trivector	$\mu_B \phi_B$	$\mu_B \phi_{E1}$	$\mu_B \phi_{E2}$ scalar + quadvector	$\mu_B \mu_B$ ◆	$\mu_B g$ vector + pv
$g$	$ge$ trivector	$gd_{E1}$	$gd_{E2}$ bivector + quadvector	$g\phi_B$ ▲	$g\phi_{E1}$ ●	$g\phi_{E2}$ ● vector + pentavector	$g\mu_B$	$gg$ ■ scalar + sv

neutrino wavefunction is 3-body  
impedance is scale invariant  
**topological**

$$g\phi_B\phi_{E1} \delta_{cp} ?$$

off diagonal  
2-body modes

$\phi_B\phi_{E1}$   
 $g\phi_B$   
 $g\phi_{E1}$  photon – topological and geometric  
scalar Lorentz – geometric  
vector Lorentz – topological  
for muon collider? Proton EDM ring?

on diagonal 2-body modes  
couple to antiparticle/vacuum

$\phi_B\phi_B$  null  
 $\phi_{E1}\phi_{E1}$  null  
 $gg$  scalar + pscalar  
entangled

# Neutrino modes as PMNS 'mass states'

Magnetic charge is 'topological dual' of electric  
 Magnetic charge (trivector) and flux quantum (vector) are  
 numerically equal (SI units) but topologically distinct.  
 Adding magnetic charge to photon to comprise the neutrino is  
 topological. Photon is topologically protected.

Absence of right handed neutrino follows from the math -  
 octonion algebra of eight-component wavefunction  
 is not three-component associative.


$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} .82 & .36 & .4 \\ .55 & .5 & .6 \\ .15 & .7 & .7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi = \phi_B \\ g\phi \\ g\phi_B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nu_e = \begin{matrix} \phi = \phi_B & g\phi & g\phi_B \\ .82^2 \sim 2/3 & .36^2 \sim 1/6 & .4^2 \sim 1/6 \end{matrix}$$

$$\nu_\mu = \begin{matrix} .55^2 \sim 1/3 & .5^2 \sim 1/3 & .6^2 \sim 1/3 \end{matrix}$$

$$\nu_\tau = \begin{matrix} .15^2 \sim .02 & .7^2 \sim 1/2 & .7^2 \sim 1/2 \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} \phi = \phi_B & g\phi & g\phi_B \\ \nu_e = & 2/3 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ \nu_\mu = & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ \nu_\tau = & .02 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{matrix}$$



How to calculate?

[The quantum vacuum at the foundations of classical electrodynamics](#)

[The quantum vacuum as the origin of the speed of light](#)

These two papers show how to calculate free space impedance of vacuum fermions when excited by the photon.

Next step is to include magnetic charge, the vacuum impedance structure excited by the neutrino.

This should permit to calculate the PMNS matrix.

# Outline

- summary – four slides from the previous talk
  - theoretical minimum
  - geometric product
  - electromagnetic scattering matrix,
  - unstable particle spectrum
- quantization of wavefunction interaction impedances
  - historical perspective on impedance matching
  - how impedance matching was lost in quantum mechanics
  - Mach's principle and mechanical impedances
- suspension of disbelief - essential property of good fiction
  - unstable particle spectrum
  - impedance matching to the Planck length (and beyond)
  - impedance matching to boundary of the observable universe (and beyond)
  - chiral anomaly and  $\rho/\eta/\eta'$  branching ratios
- massless oscillation and the mixing matrix
- • motivation – muon collider topological lifetime enhancement at low energy

# Sustaining Wavefunction Coherence via Topological Impedance Matching: Stable Polarized Muon Beams at 255 x 255 GeV/c?


Peter Cameron

*Brookhaven National Laboratory - retired*


(Dated: January 1, 2020)

“What the Hell is Going On?” is Peter Woit’s ‘Not Even Wrong’ blog comment on Nima Arkani-Hamed’s view of the barren state of LHC physics, the long-dreaded Desert[1].

Two essential indispensables - geometric wavefunctions and quantized impedances of wavefunction interactions - are absent from particle theory, the community oblivious, mired in the consequent four decades of stagnation. Synthesis of the two offers a complementary Standard Model perspective, examining not conservation of energy and its flow between kinetic and potential of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian, but rather what governs amplitude and phase of that flow, quantum impedance matching of geometric wavefunction interactions. Applied to muon decay, the model suggests that translation gauge fields (RF cavities) of relativistic lifetime enhancement might be augmented by introducing rotation gauge fields of carefully chosen topological impedances to an accelerator.



[Terrell rotation on the light cone](#)





**FIG. 9:** Lifetime enhancement of special relativity (blue diagonal) and phase shifts of Terrell rotation (left axis) as a function of  $\gamma$ .


# Correlation of unstable lifetimes with nodes of impedance network

# topological duality/inversion

$\pi_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$	98.8%
$\pi_0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$	1.2%
$\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$	39.3%
$\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	32.6%
$\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	22.7%
$\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	4.6%
$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	39.3%
$\eta' \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma$	
incl. $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	29.3%
$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$	21.6%
$\eta' \rightarrow \omega\gamma$	2.8%
$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.2%



- Horizontal axis in both plots is logarithmic
- Length scales are the same for both plots, and they are properly aligned
- Upper plot shows particle lifetimes multiplied by the speed of light, the coherence lengths (adapted from *The Power of Alpha* by Malcolm MacGregor)
- Plot at left is electron impedances, details at <http://redshift.vif.com/JournalFiles/V18NO2PDF/V18N2CAM.pdf>
- Alpha-spaced coherence lengths of  $\eta'$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\pi_0$  are at conjunctions of mode impedances, can couple to the photon for fast EM decay



backup

Overview

Contribution List

## Massless Neutrino Oscillation via Maximally Natural Vacuum Wavefunction



Two essential conceptual structures - geometric representation of Clifford algebra wavefunctions and quantized impedances of wavefunction interactions - are absent from theorists' toolkits. Their synthesis offers complementary Standard Model perspectives, focusing not on Lagrangian flow of energy and information between kinetic and potential, but rather what governs amplitude and phase of that flow - impedance matching of wavefunction interactions. Photon excitation of two-component Dirac spinor vacuum wavefunctions permits calculation of permittivity  $\epsilon_0$  and permeability  $\mu_0$ , and from these the scale-invariant 377 ohm far-field vacuum impedance seen by the photon. This suggests extending the method to near-field of the full eight-component vacuum wavefunction of geometric Clifford algebra. Such a model offers maximally natural three-component massless neutrino oscillation via the additional vacuum impedance phase shifts, with absence of right-handed neutrinos required by failure of three-component associativity in the eight-component Clifford algebra octonion.

### Mini-abstract


Muon collider lifetime enhancement requires understanding vacuum and neutrino wavefunctions

[Terrell rotation on the light cone](#)

LaGrangian permits one to write and solve differential equations for wavefunction energy eigenstates  
Impedance analysis starts with the vacuum wavefunction of Clifford algebra (the math language of QM),  
in these talks uses simple algebra to study energy flow between eigenstates.

A new window on quantum physics. More user friendly LaGrangian once up on the short learning curve.

# QED Model of Massless Neutrino Oscillation in the Geometric Representation of Clifford Algebra



Jul 14, 2021, 5:00 PM

15m

Track L (Zoom)

talk

Beyond Standard M...

Beyond Standard Model

$$\psi_\nu = \phi_B \phi_E 1g.$$

## Speaker

peter cameron (Brookhaven Lab (ret...))

proton stabilizes neutron

## Description

All experimental data is consistent with massless neutrinos. There exist possibilities other than rest mass differences to explain oscillation. The two-component photon wavefunction is comprised of electric and magnetic flux quanta, coupled by Maxwell's equations. In the basic photon-electron interaction of QED, opposing phase shifts of the electron's inductive and capacitive impedances decouple the photon's flux quanta, breaking Maxwell's equations, transferring energy and momentum. Extending the two-component Dirac wavefunction (scalar charge and bivector magnetic moment) to the full eight-component vacuum wavefunction in the geometric representation of Clifford algebra permits assigning topological magnetic charge to the spin 1 3D pseudoscalar. A simple three-component neutrino wavefunction model might then be comprised of the two photon components, topologically protected by magnetic charge. Curiously, in SI units 1D vector magnetic flux quantum and 3D trivector magnetic charge quantum are numerically identical yet geometrically and topologically distinct. We discuss the mixing matrix that results from such a model.

<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/19348/contributions/186426/>

black = proton  
red = electron


97

## Mechanical Impedance Time Derivatives

from a 1982 notebook

Motivation for derivative was to avoid velocity-dependent dissipative impedances. Not so much a concern in QM, where such impedances are not dissipative, but rather topological, scale invariant.

three potentials –  $1/r$ ,  $1/r^2$ , and  $1/r^3$  - are shown here for proton and electron





	GRAV	Inertia	Centrip	Elect Mono	Mag Mono	Mag Dip	Lorentz	Thermal
$\rightarrow$	$G \frac{m^2}{r^2}$	$\ddot{m}r$ $\dot{m}\dot{r}$ $\ddot{m}r$	$m\omega^2 r$ $= m\dot{r}^2/r$ $= h^2/mr^3$	$\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{r^2}$	$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{r^2}$	$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mu^2}{r^3}$	$eB \frac{h}{mr}$ $eBr$	
G	$\circ$	$Gm^2/r^3$ (F/r)	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{h^2}{mr^4}$ ( $\frac{2}{3} F/r$ )	$\left[ \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G} \right]^{1/2} \ddot{e}$	$\left[ \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi G} \right]^{1/2} \ddot{g}$			
I	$\frac{Gm^3}{hr} F/r$	$\circ$	$\frac{h^2}{mr^4}$	$\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{r^3}$	$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{r^3}$	$\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mu^2}{r^4}$	$eB \frac{h}{mr^2}$	
C	$-\frac{1}{3} \frac{h}{r^2}$	$\frac{h}{r^2}$	$\circ$	$2 \left[ \frac{h^2/mr^4}{-\frac{h}{r^2} \frac{\dot{e}}{\dot{e}} + m \left( \frac{\dot{e}_1^2}{e^2} + \frac{\dot{e}_2^2}{e^2} \right)} \right]$		$\frac{2m}{\mu^2} (\dot{\mu}^2 + \mu\ddot{\mu})$	$eB = \frac{h}{r^2}$	
E	$\left[ \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 G} \right]^{1/2} \ddot{e}$	$\frac{e^2 m}{4\pi\epsilon_0 h r}$	$2m \frac{\dot{e}}{e} - \frac{h}{r^2}$	$\circ$	$e^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 g^2$	$e^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \mu^2/r$		
MM	$\left[ \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi G} \right]^{1/2} \ddot{g}$	$\frac{\mu_0 g^2 m}{4\pi h r}$		$e^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 g^2$	$\circ$	$g^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{r}$		
MD	$\left[ \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi G r} \right]^{1/2} \dot{\mu} - \frac{h}{2r}$	$\frac{\mu_0 \mu^2 m}{4\pi h r^2}$	$-2m \frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu}$	$e^2 = \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \mu^2/r$	$g^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{r}$	$\circ$		
		$eB$	$eB = \frac{h}{r^2}$	$hB \left( \frac{e\dot{r} - \dot{e}r}{e^2} \right)$		$\frac{h}{\mu} \left( \frac{r\ddot{\mu} - 2e\dot{r}\dot{\mu}}{r^4} \right)$	$\circ$	$-\frac{2kTh}{mr^3}$
							$\frac{2bT}{r}$	$\circ$

matrix used (in part) for previous slide  
 upper right of main diagonal is impedances  
 lower left is impedance time derivatives


# Mechanical Impedances

all rise at shorter length scales – inductive (advances phase)





# ionization of the Hydrogen atom (where is the proton?)



Photon near-field impedance is not to be found in physics textbooks, curriculum, or journals. What governs amplitude and phase of energy/information transmission in QED is absent from formal education of the physicist

