# Massless Preheating and Electroweak Vacuum Metastability

#### Jeff Kost [University of Sussex]

#### [arXiv:2105.06939]

collaborators on this work: Chang Sub Shin [IBS-CTPU] Takahiro Terada [IBS-CTPU]

2021 APS Divison of Particles and Fields Meeting

Monday, July 12th, 2021



Massless Preheating and Electroweak Vacuum Metastability

• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs *negative* at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, \text{GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h(\mu)h^4$$





[arXiv:1809.06923] • Current measurements of SM parameters 0.14  $M_{t0} \pm 3\sigma_M$ suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs  $M_{t0} \pm 2\sigma_M$  $M_{t0} \pm \sigma_{M_t}$  $-M_{b0} \pm 3\sigma_{M_b}$ *negative* at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \,\text{GeV}$  $\alpha e \pm 3\sigma_{\alpha e}$ 0.1  $M_{40} = 173.1 \text{ GeV}, M_{10} = 125.18 \text{ GeV}$ 0.08  $V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$ 0.06  $\lambda(\mu)$ 0.04 0.02 -0.02 -0.04 10<sup>10</sup> 105 1015  $\mu/Ge$ 

• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.





• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.





V(h)

א ל

• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.





SEX Jeff Kost

V(h)

• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.



Jeff Kost



• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.





• Timescale for vacuum decay today is much longer than age of the universe, **BUT** dynamics earlier in cosmological history would have *significantly* threatened **destabilization**.

Jeff Kost

• Current measurements of SM parameters suggest the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda_h(\mu)$  runs negative at energy scales  $\mu \gtrsim 10^{10} \, {\rm GeV}$ 

$$V(h) \supset \frac{1}{4} \lambda_h(\mu) h^4$$

i.e., electroweak vacuum is metastable.





• Timescale for vacuum decay today is much longer than age of the universe, **BUT** dynamics earlier in cosmological history would have *significantly* threatened **destabilization**.

The fact that false vacuum has persisted may provide *window* into early-universe dynamics involving the Higgs field.



Massless Preheating and Electroweak Vacuum Metastability

#### **During inflation:**

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.



#### **During inflation:**

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation



#### **During inflation:**

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation

• Minimal such extensions involve scalar curvature R and/or inflaton  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}\xi_h h^2 R - \frac{1}{2}g^2 h^2 \phi^2$$



#### During inflation:

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation

• Minimal such extensions involve scalar curvature R and/or inflaton  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{2}\xi_h h^2 R - rac{1}{2}g^2 h^2 \phi^2$$

#### HOWEVER, after inflation:

• These interactions tend to *destabilize* the Higgs after inflation due to non-perturbative preheating dynamics, recreating our metastability problem



#### During inflation:

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation

• Minimal such extensions involve scalar curvature R and/or inflaton  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{2}\xi_h h^2 R - rac{1}{2}g^2 h^2 \phi^2$$

#### HOWEVER, after inflation:

• These interactions tend to *destabilize* the Higgs after inflation due to non-perturbative preheating dynamics, recreating our metastability problem



Specifically,  $\phi$  gives Higgs fluctuations  $h_k$  time-dependent, oscillatory effective masses:

$$\omega_{h_k}^2 = \frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2 + \xi_h R$$



#### During inflation:

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation

 $\bullet$  Minimal such extensions involve scalar curvature R and/or inflaton  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{2}\xi_h h^2 R - rac{1}{2}g^2 h^2 \phi^2$$

#### HOWEVER, after inflation:

• These interactions tend to *destabilize* the Higgs after inflation due to non-perturbative preheating dynamics, recreating our metastability problem



#### **During inflation:**

 $\bullet$  Light scalar fields generically develop fluctuations proportional to Hubble scale H. For the Higgs, this could trigger decay of the vacuum.

 $\Rightarrow$  need interactions that stabilize Higgs during inflation

 $\bullet$  Minimal such extensions involve scalar curvature R and/or inflaton  $\phi$ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{2}\xi_h h^2 R - rac{1}{2}g^2 h^2 \phi^2$$

#### HOWEVER, after inflation:

• These interactions tend to *destabilize* the Higgs after inflation due to non-perturbative preheating dynamics, recreating our metastability problem





Massless Preheating and Electroweak Vacuum Metastability

 $\Rightarrow$  models usually viable for some range of couplings



 $\Rightarrow$  models usually viable for some range of couplings

**important exception:** models which exhibit scale invariance, since dynamical properties are *independent* of expansion.

i.e., models of "massless preheating"



 $\Rightarrow$  models usually viable for some range of couplings

**important exception:** models which exhibit scale invariance, since dynamical properties are *independent* of expansion.

i.e., models of "massless preheating"

Under minimal assumptions, this corresponds to (Jordan-frame) potential

$$V_{\rm J}(\phi,h) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^4 + \frac{1}{2}g^2h^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_hh^4$$



 $\Rightarrow$  models usually viable for some range of couplings

**important exception:** models which exhibit scale invariance, since dynamical properties are *independent* of expansion.

i.e., models of "massless preheating"

Under minimal assumptions, this corresponds to (Jordan-frame) potential

$$V_{\rm J}(\phi,h) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^4 + \frac{1}{2}g^2h^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_hh^4$$

 $\Rightarrow$  background inflaton evolution:

$${d^2 arphi \over d\eta^2} + \lambda_\phi arphi^3 ~=~ 0$$

for conformal time  $\eta$  and  $\varphi \equiv a \phi$ 

$$\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi} \operatorname{cn}\left(x - x_0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

with rescaled time  $x \equiv \sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}\overline{\varphi}\eta$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  models usually viable for some range of couplings

**important exception:** models which exhibit scale invariance, since dynamical properties are *independent* of expansion.

i.e., models of "massless preheating"

Under minimal assumptions, this corresponds to (Jordan-frame) potential

$$V_{\rm J}(\phi,h) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^4 + \frac{1}{2}g^2h^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_hh^4$$

Similarly, Higgs fluctuations grow *steadily* and *uninterrupted*—appears catastrophic for EW metastability.

on closer inspection, is there a **regime of viability** for massless preheating?

• Our preheating study is relatively independent of the inflationary model.



- Our preheating study is relatively independent of the inflationary model.
- Nevertheless, a viable inflationary regime is realized by allowing a **non-minimal coupling** for the inflaton



- Our preheating study is relatively independent of the inflationary model.
- Nevertheless, a viable inflationary regime is realized by allowing a **non-minimal coupling** for the inflaton

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}\xi_{\phi}\phi^2 R.$$

• For generality, we also consider both the metric  $(\theta = 1)$  and Palatini formulations  $(\theta = 0)$  of gravity.





- Our preheating study is relatively independent of the inflationary model.
- Nevertheless, a viable inflationary regime is realized by allowing a non-minimal coupling for the inflaton

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}\xi_{\phi}\phi^{2}R.$$
• For generality, we also consider  
both the metric ( $\theta = 1$ ) and Palatini  
formulations ( $\theta = 0$ ) of gravity.  
• Overall, we have the (Einstein-frame)  
potential in the inflationary regime  
$$V_{\rm E}(\tilde{\phi}) = \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4\xi_{\phi}^{2}} \begin{cases} \tanh^{4}(\sqrt{-\xi_{\phi}}\tilde{\phi}) \text{ for } \theta = 0\\(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\tilde{\phi}})^{2} \text{ for } \theta = 1\end{cases}$$

where  $\phi$  is the canonical inflaton field.



p

V

• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_{k}}^{2} = k^{2} + g^{2} \varphi^{2} \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) + \frac{\xi a^{2}R}{\xi a^{2} l a^{2}} \xi \equiv \xi_{h} + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_{h} \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_{k}}^{2} = k^{2} + g^{2} \varphi^{2} \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) + \xi a^{2} R$$
falls as  $1/a^{2}$ 

$$\xi \equiv \xi_{h} + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_{h} \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$
falls as  $1/a^{2}$ 



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = k^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^2}{a^2}\right) + \xi a^2 R$$
no dissipation
$$\xi \equiv \xi_h + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_h \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$
falls as  $1/a^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  In general, Higgs has two dynamical phases of evolution:



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = k^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^2}{a^2}\right) + \xi a^2 R$$
no dissipation
$$\xi \equiv \xi_h + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_h \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$
falls as  $1/a^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  In general, Higgs has two dynamical phases of evolution:

1) tachyonic production driven by curvature interactions [relatively short lived since terms dissipate as  $1/a^2$ ]

$$n_h \simeq \frac{1}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}} \overline{\varphi} \right)^3 \left( \frac{3^{9/4} \sqrt{\xi}}{2\pi x^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^{4\sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{3\sqrt{3}}}} \quad \text{for } x \lesssim \sqrt{6\xi}$$
$$(\text{and } \xi > 0)$$



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = k^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^2}{a^2}\right) + \xi a^2 R$$
no dissipation
$$\xi \equiv \xi_h + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_h \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$
falls as  $1/a^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  In general, Higgs has **two dynamical phases** of evolution:

1) tachyonic production driven by curvature interactions [relatively short lived since terms dissipate as  $1/a^2$ ]

$$n_h \simeq \frac{1}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_\phi} \overline{\varphi} \right)^3 \left( \frac{3^{9/4} \sqrt{\xi}}{2\pi x^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^{4\sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{3\sqrt{3}}}} \quad \text{for } x \lesssim \sqrt{6\xi}$$
$$(\text{and } \xi > 0)$$

) **parametric resonance** driven by quartic interaction [*terms do not dissipate* due to scale invariance ⇒ unimpeded Higgs production]

$$n_h \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\phi} \overline{\varphi}}{2\pi} \right)^3 \left( \frac{g^2}{2\lambda_\phi} \right)^{3/4} \frac{e^{2\mu_{\max}x}}{\sqrt{\mu_{\max}x}}$$



• The effective masses of Higgs fluctuations (in Einstein frame) are

$$\omega_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = k^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 \left(1 + \xi_{\phi} \frac{\varphi^2}{a^2}\right) + \xi a^2 R$$
no dissipation
$$\xi \equiv \xi_h + \xi_{\phi} - 6\theta \xi_h \xi_{\phi} - \frac{1}{6}$$
falls as  $1/a^2$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  In general, Higgs has **two dynamical phases** of evolution:

1) tachyonic production driven by curvature interactions [relatively short lived since terms dissipate as  $1/a^2$ ]

$$n_h \simeq \frac{1}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_\phi} \overline{\varphi} \right)^3 \left( \frac{3^{9/4} \sqrt{\xi}}{2\pi x^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^{4\sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{3\sqrt{3}}}} \quad \text{for } x \lesssim \sqrt{6\xi}$$
$$(\text{and } \xi > 0)$$

**parametric resonance** driven by quartic interaction [*terms do not dissipate* due to scale invariance  $\Rightarrow$  unimpeded Higgs production]

$$n_h \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_\phi} \overline{\varphi}}{2\pi} \right)^3 \left( \frac{g^2}{2\lambda_\phi} \right)^{3/4} \underbrace{e^{2\mu_{\max}x}}_{\sqrt{\mu_{\max}x}} \mathbf{f}$$
 growth rate  $\mu_{\max}$  has non-trivial dependence on coupling  $g^2/\lambda_\phi$ 





Two important effects neglected thus far:

perturbative decays of produced Higgs particles
 backreaction of particle production on the system





9

70

60

 $x \equiv \sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}\overline{\varphi}\eta$ 

## 1) Perturbative Higgs Decays

• A crucial effect we have ignored thus far is that the Higgs undergoes **perturbative decays** to SM particles.

• The dominant decay channel is into top quarks with the (rest-frame) decay rate

$$\Gamma_h = \frac{3y_t^2 m_h}{16\pi}$$

and effective mass  $m_h \equiv \sqrt{g^2 \phi^2 + \xi R}$ .





# 1) Perturbative Higgs Decays

• A crucial effect we have ignored thus far is that the Higgs undergoes **perturbative decays** to SM particles.

• The dominant decay channel is into top quarks with the (rest-frame) decay rate

$$\Gamma_h = \frac{3y_t^2 m_h}{16\pi}$$

and effective mass  $m_h \equiv \sqrt{g^2 \phi^2 + \xi R}$ .

 $\bullet$  As  $\xi R \to 0,$  the effect on the Higgs phase-space density  $n_{h_k}$  is to dissipate it as

$$\log n_{h_k} \propto -\int dx \frac{a\Gamma_h}{\sqrt{\lambda_\phi}\overline{\varphi}} \approx -0.036y_t^2 \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{\lambda_\phi}x}$$





# 1) Perturbative Higgs Decays

• A crucial effect we have ignored thus far is that the Higgs undergoes **perturbative decays** to SM particles.

• The dominant decay channel is into top quarks with the (rest-frame) decay rate

$$\Gamma_h = \frac{3y_t^2 m_h}{16\pi}$$

and effective mass  $m_h \equiv \sqrt{g^2 \phi^2 + \xi R}$ .

 $\bullet$  As  $\xi R \to 0,$  the effect on the Higgs phase-space density  $n_{h_k}$  is to dissipate it as

$$\log n_{h_k} \propto -\int dx \frac{a\Gamma_h}{\sqrt{\lambda_\phi}\overline{\varphi}} \approx -0.036 y_t^2 \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{\lambda_\phi}} x_{t_{\phi}}$$

The decay exponent depends linearly on time, same as growth exponents  $2\mu_k x$ .  $\Rightarrow$  decays could *entirely* suppress production of Higgs particles.

does not occur in massive preheating



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background

- variance of fluctuations

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + (3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background variance of fluctuations

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + \left(3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle\right)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton

 $\Rightarrow$  backreaction *terminates* (linear stage of) preheating, ending the dangerous particle production processes



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background variance of fluctuations

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + (3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton

 $\Rightarrow$  backreaction *terminates* (linear stage of) preheating, ending the dangerous particle production processes

Ultimately due to  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ , ending linear stage at  $x_{\rm NL} \approx 405$  (with  $\lambda_{\phi} = 10^{-10}$ ).



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + \left(3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle\right)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton

 $\Rightarrow$  backreaction *terminates* (linear stage of) preheating, ending the dangerous particle production processes

Ultimately due to  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ , ending linear stage at  $x_{\rm NL} \approx 405$  (with  $\lambda_{\phi} = 10^{-10}$ ).

• Also modifies the effective masses of the Higgs fluctuations

$$\omega_{h_k}^2 = \frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2 + \xi_h R + g^2 \langle \phi^2 \rangle + 3\lambda_h \langle h^2 \rangle$$



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + (3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton

 $\Rightarrow$  backreaction *terminates* (linear stage of) preheating, ending the dangerous particle production processes

Ultimately due to  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ , ending linear stage at  $x_{\rm NL} \approx 405$  (with  $\lambda_{\phi} = 10^{-10}$ ).

• Also modifies the effective masses of the Higgs fluctuations

$$\omega_{h_k}^2 = \frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2 + \xi_h R + g^2 \langle \phi^2 \rangle + \frac{3\lambda_h \langle h^2 \rangle}{a^2}$$

source of destabilization



• Although fluctuations grow unimpeded, eventually their energy density will be comprable to inflaton background variance of fluctuations

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda_{\phi}\phi^{3} + \left(3\lambda_{\phi}\langle\phi^{2}\rangle + g^{2}\langle h^{2}\rangle\right)\phi = 0$$

backreaction on inflaton

 $\Rightarrow$  backreaction *terminates* (linear stage of) preheating, ending the dangerous particle production processes

Ultimately due to  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle$ , ending linear stage at  $x_{\rm NL} \approx 405$  (with  $\lambda_{\phi} = 10^{-10}$ ).

• Also modifies the effective masses of the Higgs fluctuations

$$\omega_{h_k}^2 = \frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2 + \xi_h R + g^2 \langle \phi^2 \rangle + \frac{3\lambda_h \langle h^2 \rangle}{source of destabilization}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  tachyonic contribution  $(3\lambda_h \langle h^2 \rangle < 0)$  can destabilize Higgs prior to  $x_{\rm NL}$ .











Jeff Kost

Massless Preheating and Electroweak Vacuum Metastability

Calculate vacuum decay time  $x_{\rm dec}$ numerically over parameter space of  $\{g^2/\lambda_{\phi},\xi\}$  Higgs couplings.





Calculate vacuum decay time  $x_{\rm dec}$  numerically over parameter space of  $\{g^2\!/\!\lambda_\phi,\xi\}$  Higgs couplings.

#### SOME OBSERVATIONS:





Calculate vacuum decay time  $x_{\rm dec}$  numerically over parameter space of  $\{g^2\!/\!\lambda_\phi,\xi\}$  Higgs couplings.

#### SOME OBSERVATIONS:

• large number of disjoint metastable regions distributed throughout parameter space





Calculate vacuum decay time  $x_{\rm dec}$  numerically over parameter space of  $\{g^2\!/\!\lambda_\phi,\xi\}$  Higgs couplings.

#### SOME OBSERVATIONS:

- large number of disjoint metastable regions distributed throughout parameter space
- due to perturbative decays, a contiguous metastable region emerges at  $g^2/\lambda_\phi\gtrsim 2\times 10^3$





Calculate vacuum decay time  $x_{\rm dec}$  numerically over parameter space of  $\{g^2\!/\!\lambda_\phi,\xi\}$  Higgs couplings.

#### SOME OBSERVATIONS:

- large number of disjoint metastable regions distributed throughout parameter space
- due to perturbative decays, a contiguous metastable region emerges at  $g^2/\lambda_\phi\gtrsim 2\times 10^3$
- curvature coupling imposes envelope over metastable regions at  $\xi \lesssim g^2 / \lambda_{\phi}$ —*i.e.*, large  $\xi$  viable as long as  $g^2 / \lambda_{\phi}$  is similarly large. –





#### TAKE-HOME MESSAGE:

• Although models that lead to massless preheating appear catastrophic for electroweak vacuum metastability, fully accounting for backreaction and perturbative decays reveals a large number of disjoint (meta)stable regions.

• In contrast to other (massive) preheating scenarios, the Higgs-inflaton coupling is ultimately bounded *from below* to ensure viability.





#### TAKE-HOME MESSAGE:

• Although models that lead to massless preheating appear catastrophic for electroweak vacuum metastability, fully accounting for backreaction and perturbative decays reveals a large number of disjoint (meta)stable regions.

• In contrast to other (massive) preheating scenarios, the Higgs-inflaton coupling is ultimately bounded *from below* to ensure viability.

#### FUTURE WORK/DIRECTIONS:

- Inclusion of terms in inflaton potential, *e.g.*, small mass terms, that break scale invariance—new phases of evolution would be considered.
- $\bullet$  The effect of spectator fields on the dynamics and non-linear onset  $x_{\rm NL}.$
- Extensions to multi-inflaton models with significant angular velocity
- Further extension of gravity formulation, e.g., to Einstein-Cartan gravity.



#### TAKE-HOME MESSAGE:

• Although models that lead to massless preheating appear catastrophic for electroweak vacuum metastability, fully accounting for backreaction and perturbative decays reveals a large number of disjoint (meta)stable regions.

• In contrast to other (massive) preheating scenarios, the Higgs-inflaton coupling is ultimately bounded *from below* to ensure viability.

#### FUTURE WORK/DIRECTIONS:

- Inclusion of terms in inflaton potential, *e.g.*, small mass terms, that break scale invariance—new phases of evolution would be considered.
- $\bullet$  The effect of spectator fields on the dynamics and non-linear onset  $x_{\rm NL}.$
- Extensions to multi-inflaton models with significant angular velocity
- Further extension of gravity formulation, e.g., to Einstein-Cartan gravity.

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

