Public results in flavour physics

Nazila Mahmoudi

Towards a white paper on public likelihoods -3- May 12, 2021

Results are published in different forms:

<u>Upper limits</u> (CL_s, Feldman-Cousins,...)

Publication of only an upper limit is not enough for reinterpretation

More and more the **full p-value scans** are also published

- plots are available in digital format
- calculate the χ^2
- translate to log-likelihood via Wilks theorem





LHCb, arXiv:1905.06614

Measurements with symmetric or asymmetric errors

- Single measurements: calculate the χ^2 and the log-likelihood
- Important: not to symmetrise the errors!
- More and more multiple measurements of correlated quantities

-> correlation matrices are usually provided

-> very important for reinterpretation



Likelihood functions

Advantage: Completeness

The likelihood curve contains all the information on the non-Gaussian effects and includes the systematic uncertainties

- One dimensional log-likelihood function



- Two dimensional log-likelihood function



Risk (often stated): Misinterpretation or misuse of data by theorists

- providing less information increases the risk of misinterpretation!
 - Errors get symmetrised
 - Correlations neglected
 - Interpolation of results,...
- Important to encourage systematic publication of likelihood functions
- In flavour physics, this is sometimes not enough

LHCb provides also efficiency corrected, background subtracted data -> very useful to try other parameterizations, modeling,... -> Also useful for experimentalists

- See talk of P. Owen at the last (Re)interpreting workshop for a few concrete examples
- Public software: HEPLike by J. Bhom and M. Chrzaszcs