Models for Sgr A* flares: from a general analytical to magnetic reconnection model

HEASA 2021 – September 17, 2021

A. Dmytriiev, N. Aimar, F. Vincent, A. Zech



l'Observatoire

A. Dmytriiev (North-West University)











2 Previous models of Sgr A* flares





Sgr A*: SMBH at the center of the Milky Way

- $d \approx 8$ kpc
- $M_{\rm SMBH} pprox 4 imes 10^6 \, M_{\odot}$
- Radiatively-inefficient accretion flow (high temperature, low density)



Figure: IR view of Sgr A* and zoom in X-rays into the core region. Source: NASA

Sgr A*: flaring activity at the heart of the Milky Way

- Flaring activity in sub-millimeter, infrared, and X-ray bands



Figure:

(*left*) Chandra detection of a bright X-ray flare from Sgr A* (2013) (source: NASA). (*right*) Example of a Sgr A* flare light curve (L-band) (Hamaus et al. 2009)

Questions to be addressed

- ? What is the origin of Sgr A* flaring behavior ?
- ? Which physical processes launch the "fireworks" ?





?? What is going on in the vicinity of supermassive black holes ??

GRAVITY instrument

- Operates in K band (near-infrared): 2 2.4 μm
- Performs precision *narrow-angle astrometry* and *interferometric imaging* by phase referencing
- Combines near-infrared light from four VLTI telescopes
- Astrometry precision of $\sim 10\,\mu{
 m as}$
- Imaging resolution of \sim 4 mas





Figure: (*left*) The Very Large Telescope Interferometer (VLTI) in Chile (credit: ESO). (*right*) GRAVITY instrument at VLTI (credit: MPE/GRAVITY team)

Sgr A* flares observed with GRAVITY

GRAVITY resolved locations of three bright flares in 2018:

- A bright spot moving around the SMBH very close to it with *elliptical* trajectory, however having an *offset* relative to the location of the SMBH
- $t_{\text{flare}} \sim 40 \text{ min corresponds to orbit at } 3.5 R_s$, while the observed ellipse axis is $\sim 100 \ \mu as \rightarrow 10 R_s \implies$ SUPER-KEPLERIAN MOTION
- Flare light curves have very different shapes (single- and double-peaked).



Figure: (*left*) Apparent motions of flaring region during three Sgr A* 2018 flares seen by GRAVITY (Ball et al. 2020). (*right*) Light curves of the three flares (Gravity Coll. et al. 2020)





3 Our model



Example of the simplest model: "hot-spot"

The model was considered by various authors. A generic "hot-spot" model includes:

LOW STATE: (Vincent et al. 2019)

- Magnetized compact torus
 - Thermal synchrotron emission
- Extended jet
 - κ synchrotron emission

FLARING STATE:

- A **blob** rotating around the central SMBH in equatorial plane
 - κ synchrotron emission

Not very physically motivated scenario



Figure: Hot-spot model for Sgr A* flaring activity (credit: N. Aimar) **Magnetic reconnection** and **plasmoid formation** are assumed to be the key processes launching the flare in this model



Figure: A scheme of magnetic reconnection process (source: Zweibel & Yamada 2009)



Figure: Plasmoid formation during magnetic reconnection (source: Cerutti et al. 2013)

The plasmoid model by Ball et al. 2020

Heating phase:

- Magnetic reconnection:
 - Plasmoid formed close to the SMBH and ejected into coronal region
 - Relativistic *thermal* e^- distribution with linearly $\nearrow n_{\rm e}$, $\theta_{\rm e} = {\rm const}$

Cooling phase:

• $n_{\rm e} = {\rm const}$, temperature is \searrow

$$\gamma(t)=\gamma_0(1+A\gamma_0t)^{-1}$$
, $heta_{ extsf{e}}=\gamma/3$

Valid only for an individual e^- and not for a distribution !

Explains well the observed offset in astrometry and shape of light curves



Figure: Plasmoid model for Sgr A* flaring activity (credit: N. Aimar)



2 Previous models of Sgr A* flares





Our flare model: assumptions and approach

- >> We extend the Sgr A* flare model by Ball et al. 2020
 - Emitting region: plasmoid filled with electron-proton plasma
 - Synchrotron radiation produced by HE particles
 - Heating phase: Magnetic reconnection
 - Many small plasmoids are ejected into coronal region and merge together:

R \nearrow linearly with time from $R_{\rm min}=0.2 {\it GM}_{\rm BH}/c^2$ to $R_{\rm max}={\it GM}_{\rm BH}/c^2$



Figure: GRMHD simulations of a growing plasmoid (Ripperda et al. 2020)

Our flare model: assumptions and approach

- Plasmoid orbits: equatorial and helical motion
- **Injection** of κ distribution at a *constant* rate (\Rightarrow $n_e \nearrow$ linearly) during $t_{inj} \sim L/v_{rec} \sim L/(0.1v_A)$ (Ball et al. 2018), $t_{inj} \sim 15$ min

$$\kappa(\gamma, heta,k) = rac{N}{4\pi}\gamma(\gamma^2-1)^{1/2}\,\left(1+rac{\gamma-1}{k heta}
ight)^{-(k+1)}$$

• Cooling phase:

$$t_{
m cool}(\gamma)$$
 \simeq $(b_{
m cool}\gamma)^{-1}$, $t_{
m cool}\sim$ 30 min (at $\gamma\sim$ 10³)

\rightarrow We treat the evolution of e^- spectrum with kinetic approach

$$\frac{\partial N_{\rm e}(\gamma,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left[b_{\rm cool} \gamma^2 N_{\rm e}(\gamma,t) \right] + Q_{\rm inj}(\gamma,t)$$

We compute **electron spectrum** and associated **synchrotron SED** numerically using EMBLEM time-dependent radiative code (Dmytriiev et al. 2021)

Cooling phase: approximation vs full calculation

We compare the e^- spectrum evolution computed using simplified cooling description (Ball et al. 2020), and using the EMBLEM code.



⇒ Approximation by Ball et al. 2020 is too simplistic. A full simulation is required for adequate flare description

Results: time evolution of the electron spectrum and SED



Results: infrared light curve

We compare the *model light curve* at 2.2 μ m to unfolded *GRAVITY data* of two Sgr A* 2018 flares (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2020)



VERY PRELIMINARY

- Need to adjust the physical parameters of the source !

Effects of GR

We take into account the effects of general relativity (GR):

- $\bullet~{\rm Take}~\alpha_{\nu}~{\rm and}~j_{\nu}~{\rm computed}$ with the radiative EMBLEM code
- Give as an input to the ray-tracing GYOTO code (Vincent et al. 2011)
 - Performs backward ray tracing along null geodesics (from the observer towards the BH)
 - Integrates the radiative transfer equation





Figure: Light propagation near a BH: formation of multiple images from a distant source in a curved space-time (credit: N. Aimar, modified: A. Dmytriiev)

Effects of GR on the light curve

- Helical motion of the plasmoid near the SMBH
- Strong lensing: inclination $\approx \theta_0$ (plasmoid behind the SMBH)
- Multiple peaks in the LC: primary and secondary images, and strong lensing



VERY PRELIMINARY

Figure: Observed centroid position of the plasmoid (left) and light curve (right) during the flare taking into account GR effects (*for a very specific set of orbital parameters*!) (credit: N. Aimar)



2 Previous models of Sgr A* flares

3 Our model



Summary

- We have developed a physical model for Sgr A* flares by extending the existing models in the literature
- The flares are triggered by magnetic reconnection
- We calculate the evolution of *electron spectrum* in the plasmoid and the associated broad-band *synchrotron emission* (source frame)
- We include the **effects of GR** on the light propagation near the SMBH and hence compute the *IR light curve* and *astrometry* of the flare as seen by a distant observer
- A large variety of light curves and astrometry can be produced by the model by considering different sets of parameters
- The model is able to provide a qualitative representation of the Sgr A* flare light curves measured by GRAVITY in 2018, as well as naturally explains the observed offset of the orbit

This is work in progress, but current results look promising!

- Include SSC emission in the model (already handled by EMBLEM code) for a better treatment of X-rays
- Fit the physical parameters of the source: B, θ, k, n_{e,max}, etc
- Adjust the parameters of the plasmoid orbit



enhances further

