

## Muon Collider Status

**Daniel Schulte** 

#### **Proton-driven Muon Collider Concept** MAP collaboration Acceleration Proton Driver Front Fnd Cooling **Collider Ring** ECOM Higgs Factory nitial 6D Cooling Charge Separato Decay Channe Phase Rotator Bunche Final Cooling to SC Linac Buncher 6D Cooling 5D Cooling Combiner Accumulato ~10 TeV Merge Bunch -Class Accelerators: Linacs, RLA or FFAG, RCS Collision Acceleration to Short, intense proton collision energy Muon are captured, bunched bunches to produce and then cooled by hadronic showers ionisation cooling in matter Pions decay into muons Work has been mainly performed in US (MAP Collaboration), that can be captured test of muon cooling in UK Some effort mainly in INFN on alternative No CDR exists, no coherent baseline of machine, no cost estimate US activity very much reduced after last P5 But many parts and no showstoppers

# Comparing Luminosity in MAP vs. CLIC 🧼

Linear colliders: Luminosity per beam power is independent of collision energy for same technology

CLIC is at the limit of what one can do (decades of R&D)

No obvious way to improve

$$\mathcal{L} \propto \frac{N}{\sqrt{\beta_x \epsilon_x}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_y \epsilon_y}} P_{beam}$$

Note: normalised emittances used, they do not decrease with energy

Muon collider: Luminosity per beam power can increase with energy



 $\mathcal{L} \propto \gamma \langle B 
angle \sigma_{\delta} rac{N_0}{\epsilon \epsilon_L} f_r N_0 \gamma$ 

Potential for high energies



D. Schulte

### International Muon Collider Collaboration

### MInternational VON Collider Collaboration

### Objective:

In time for the next European Strategy for Particle Physics Update, the study aims to **establish whether the investment into a full CDR and a demonstrator is scientifically justified**.

It will provide a baseline concept, well-supported performance expectations and assess the associated key risks as well as cost and power consumption drivers. It will also identify an R&D path to demonstrate the feasibility of the collider.

#### Scope:

D. Schulte

- Focus on two energy ranges:
  - **3 TeV**, if possible with technology ready for construction in 10-20 years
  - 10+ TeV, with more advanced technology, the reason to do muon colliders
- Explore synergy with other options (neutrino/higgs factory)
- Define **R&D path**



## Technically Limited Long-Term Timeline



## Luminosity Goals



**Comparison:** 

| raiget integrated furnitosities |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| $\sqrt{s}$                      | $\int \mathcal{L} dt$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3 { m TeV}$                    | $1 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$    |  |  |  |  |
| $10 { m TeV}$                   | $10 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$   |  |  |  |  |
| $14 { m TeV}$                   | $20 {\rm ~ab^{-1}}$   |  |  |  |  |

Target integrated luminosities

### Note: currently no staging Would only do 10 or 14 TeV

- Tentative parameters achieve goal in 5 years
- FCC-hh to operate for 25 years
- Might integrate some margins
- Aim to have two detectors

Now study if these parameters lead to realistic design with acceptable cost and power Tentative target parameters Scaled from MAP parameters

| Scaled norm WAI parameters |                          |   | CLIC at 3 TeV: 28 MW |        |        |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|--------|--------|
|                            | Parameter                | Unit  | 3 TeV                | 10 TeV | 14 TeV |
|                            | L                        | 10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> | 1.8                  | 20     | 40     |
|                            | Ν                        | <b>10</b> <sup>12</sup>                           | 2.2                  | 1.8    | 1.8    |
|                            | f <sub>r</sub>           | Hz  | 5                    | 5      | 5      |
|                            | <b>P</b> <sub>beam</sub> | MW  | 5.3                  | 14.4   | 20     |
|                            | С                        | km  | 4.5                  | 10     | 14     |
|                            | <b></b>                  | т   | 7                    | 10.5   | 10.5   |
|                            | ε                        | MeV m   | 7.5                  | 7.5    | 7.5    |
|                            | $\sigma_{_{\rm E}}$ / E  | %   | 0.1                  | 0.1    | 0.1    |
|                            | σ <sub>z</sub>           | mm  | 5                    | 1.5    | 1.07   |
|                            | β                        | mm  | 5                    | 1.5    | 1.07   |
|                            | 3                        | μm  | 25                   | 25     | 25     |
|                            | σ <sub>x,y</sub>         | μm  | 3.0                  | 0.9    | 0.63   |





### **Council** charged LDG to deliver European **Accelerator R&D Roadmap**

Panels

- Magnets: P. Vedrine
- Plasma: R. Assmann
- RF: S. Bousson
- Muons: D. Schulte
- ERL: M. Klein



Muon Beam Panel members: Daniel Schulte (CERN, chair), Mark Palmer (BNL, co-chair), Tabea Arndt (KIT), Antoine Chance (CEA/IRFU) Jean-Pierre Delahaye (retired), Angeles Faus-Golfe (IN2P3/IJClab), Simone Gilardoni (CERN), Philippe Lebrun (European Scientific Institute), Ken Long (Imperial College London), Elias Metral (CERN), Nadia Pastrone (INFN-Torino), Lionel Quettier (CEA/IRFU), Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC), Chris Rogers (STFC-RAL), Mike Seidel (EPFL and PSI), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL), Akira Yamamoto (KEK and CERN)



### **Roadmap Process**



Report to **council** will include

- Potential deliverables and **demonstrators** for the next decade
- A **prioritised work plan**, taking into account the capabilities and interests of stakeholders
- A range of scenarios for engagement, ranging from 'minimal investment' to 'maximum possible rate of progress', with a first estimate of resources and timeline.

### Milestones

- March 24+25: Community meeting on Testing Opportunities
- May 20+21: Community meeting to identify R&D issues
- tbd: First Stakeholder Meeting
- Particle Physics Meeting: tbd by LDG
- July 12+13: Community meeting to identify scope of R&D programme for next ESPPU
- End of July: Submission of Interim Report to LDG
- August/September: final R&D list, internal priorities, resources estimates, scenarios, may still answer questions of LDG
- September: LDG submits Interim Report to Council
- December: Final Report submitted to Council

## Community Meeting Working Groups



Working groups and conveners (contact Panel members in blue)

- **RF**: Alexej Grudiev, Derun Li, Jean-Pierre Delahaye, Akira Yamamoto
- Magnets: Lionel Quettier, Soren Prestemon, Sasha Zlobin
- **High-energy complex**: Antoine Chance, Scott Berg, Alex Bogacz, Shinji, Machida, Christian Carli, Eliane Gianfelice-Wendt, Angeles Faus-Golfe
- Muon production and cooling: Chris Rogers, Diktys Stratakis, Marco Calviani, Chris Densham, Katsuya Yonehara
- **Proton complex**: Simone Gilardoni, Frank Gerigk
- Beam Dynamics: Elias Metral, Rob Ryne, Tor Raubenheimer
- Radiation protection and other technologies: Roberto Losito, Claudia Ahdida, Vladimir Shiltsev, Philippe Lebrun, Mike Seidel
- MDI: Donatella Lucchesi, Nicolai Mokhov, Christian Carli, Nadia Pastrone
- Synergy: Kenneth Long

D. Schulte

- Test facility: Roberto Losito
- Parameters etc.: Mark Palmer, Daniel Schulte



## **Key Challenge Areas**



All in the

10+ TeV is uncharted territory

- Physics potential evaluation
- Impact on the environment
  - The **neutrino flux mitigation** and its impact on the site
- The impact of **machine induced background** on the detector, as it might limit the physics reach.
- **High-energy systems** after the cooling (acceleration, collision, ...)
  - This can limit the energy reach via cost, power and beam quality
- High-quality beam production of cooled muon beam
  - MAP did study this in detail
  - Need to optimise and prepare test facility
- Integrated Collider Design with choices, parameters, trade-offs
  - need to cover all accelerator areas

## **Neutrino Flux Mitigation**



Legal limit 1 mSv/year MAP goal < 0.1 mSv/year Our goal: arcs below threshold for legal procedure < 10 µSv/year LHC achieved < 5 µSv/year

#### 3 TeV, 200 m deep tunnel is about OK

**Need mitigation of arcs at 10+ TeV**: idea of Mokhov, Ginneken to move beam in aperture our approach: move collider ring components, e.g. vertical bending with 1% of main field



Opening angle ± 1 mradian

14 TeV, in 200 m deep tunnel comparable to LHC case

Need to study mover system, magnet, connections and impact on beam

Working on different approaches for experimental insertion

# Physics, Detector and MDI

Still Important to establish physics potential

• Also need to show that we can indeed expect to extract the physics

Define a forward-looking detector design anticipating techology developments

- Need to refine detector performance specifications for 10+ TeV
  - Starting from initial DELPHES description
  - Iterative process between physics potential, detector and machine

Fully cover the main background sources and mitigation methods

- Physics, muon decay products (40,000 muons/m/crossing at 14 TeV), beambeam background, ...
- Masks, detector granularity, detector timing, solenoid field, event reconstruction strategies, ...

### Aim of this workshop



# **Target Solenoid**



## **Muon Cooling Concept**





### **Component Status**



Cavities with very high accelerating gradient in strong magnetic field

#### Very strong solenoids (> 30 T) for the final cooling

• simplified: Luminosity is proportional to the field

#### Promising performance, try to push further

**MuCool**: >50 MV/ m in 5 T field

Two solutions

- Copper cavities filled with hydrogen
- Be end caps





### NHFML

32 T solenoid with lowtemperature HTS

Different developments towards higher fields, e.g in the US and in France

> MICE (UK)





### **Demonstration Status**



## **High-energy Acceleration**

R

### **Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS)**

- Ramp magnets to follow beam energy
- Combine static and ramping magnets
- need 5 km of 2 T magnets per TeV
- or develop fast HTS dipoles
- **Power converters and energy** efficiency
- **Protection from muon decays**

### **RF** (RCS and FFA):

**High single-bunch charge** (10 x HL-LHC), maintain small longitudinal emittance, high efficiency

### **FFAG** (alternative)

- Fixed (high-field) magnets but large energy acceptance
- **Complex high-field magnets**
- **Challenging beam dynamics**





## **Collider Ring**

**High field dipoles** to minimise collider ring size and maximise luminosity 4.5 km at 3 TeV, 10/14 at 10/14 TeV

Beam loss protection O(500 W/m)

• MAP shielding solution for 3 TeV: 150 mm aperture and 30-50 mm shielding

**Strong focusing** at IP to maximise luminosity Becomes harder with increasing energy Lattice and magnet design challenge

### Lattice design/beam dynamics e.g. Short bunch preservation (1 mm) in large ring

- Careful control of longitudinal motion
- Beam dynamics of frozen beam
- Synergy with light sources might exist



## **High-field Magnet Efforts**



Important progress on high-field magnets for many projects, HL-LHC, FCC, ...

General development of magnets (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and HTS) in all regions

For 3 TeV, consider still using NbTi for mass production and HTS for single systems For 10+ maybe HTS is better than Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn



15 T dipole demonstrator60-mm aperture4-layer graded coil









### Magnet progress is important Need to share magnet work for muon collider



### **Selected Recent Progress**

Ramping **magnet challenge** At 14 TeV, energy in field is O(200 MJ) Need to recover it pulse to pulse Started to develop **powering scheme** with energy recovery



S. Zadeh U. van Rienen H. Demarau I Karpov A. Grudiev



**RF challenge** (also for FFA):

High efficiency for power consumption

High-charge (10 x HL-LHC), short, single-bunch beam

Maintain small longitudinal emittance

Studies on cavity wakefields and longitudinal dynamics started

**Collective effects** might be a bottleneck Revisiting for higher energies Need to develop tools for collective effects in matter



#### Selected Recent Progress, cont. $0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ -0.4$ N Collider boration (mrad) 40 2 -2 4 6 C. Carli $\varphi_H$ (mrad) K. Skoufaris beam direction at IP hottest spot Annual Dose (mGy) 0.04 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.06 **Collider Ring Lattice Design:** Based on MAP design, lattice design for high energy is starting Started production of **radiation maps** and identified hot spots around IP and in arcs Need to include radiation considerations in lattice design Shielding Energy density per bunch crossing (mJ/cm<sup>3</sup>) $10^{0}$ Loss challenge in collider ring: 30 $10^{-1}$ Loss per unit length is constant 20 10<sup>-2</sup> fewer, but higher energy particles 10<sup>-3</sup> 10 Simulations of shielding started $10^{-4}$ y (cm) 10<sup>-5</sup> 0 10<sup>-6</sup> -10

-20

-30

-30 -20 -10

Coils

 $10^{-7}$ 

10<sup>-8</sup>

10<sup>-9</sup>

20

30

10

) 0 x (cm)

A. Lechner

D. Calzolari

## **Demonstration Programme**

Core test facility to demonstrate muon cooling

- needs muon production with reasonable intensity but below real collider (e.g. 10 kW target)
- Identify potential sites
  - At least one good candidate at CERN
  - ESS, US labs, other regions?

Models and prototypes of key components

- magnets
- RF systems
- target
- ...

Exploring development of RF test infrastructure to further develop cavities in high field for the cooling cells of test facility



Programme needs to be modular

But not to forget:

• The collider justifies the demonstration programme

D. Schulte

.



## **Global Collaboration**



at is

### We do see this as a global effort

- profit from US expertise
- and new enthusiasm in Europe and revived enthusiasm in the US
- prepare to include the US in the collaboration after P5
  - and before, where possible
- include Asia

Submitted a number of proposals for white papers to Snowmass

- physics potential
- detector
- accelerator

Ideally, we will form a common collaboration with different proposed sites

## Conclusion



at is

- Muon colliders could be a unique opportunity for a high-energy lepton collider
- Need to develop concept to a maturity level that allows to make informed choices by the next ESPPU and other strategy processes
- Collider faces important challenges and opportunities
- Important progress in development of workplan
- Actual studies started, but more is needed
- Let us do a good job