A Large Ion Collider Experiment



# Topological separation of dielectron signals in Pb–Pb collisions with ALICE

20<sup>th</sup> SQM | Busan, South Korea 4–10 Apr 2022



Jerome Jung for the ALICE collaboration



# Dielectron production in Pb–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$



#### Invariant-mass spectrum



Dielectrons are produced at all stages of the collision and leave the system with negligible final-state interactions

→ Ideal probe to study properties of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) created in ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions

The invariant mass of the pair can be utilised to differentiate between early and late contributions:

At intermediate masses (1.1 <  $m_{\rm ee}$  < 2.7 GeV/ $c^2$ ):

- Correlated semi-leptonic decays of heavy-flavor (HF) hadrons
- QGP radiation

At lower masses (  $m_{\rm ee} < 1.1 \ {\rm GeV}/c^2$ ):

- Decays of pseudoscalar and vector mesons  $(\pi^0, \eta, \eta', \rho, \omega, \phi)$
- Contributions from the hadron-gas (HG) phase

# Dielectron production in Pb–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$



#### Excess-yield over hadronic cocktail



Separation of HF and QGP radiation in invariant mass  $m_{ee}$  and pair momentum  $p_{T,ee}$  challenging

HF production expected to be modified
Cold-nuclear matter and hot-medium effects
Indication of HF suppression compared to pp
→ N<sub>coll</sub>-scaled HF measured in pp exceeds the data Phys. Rev. C 102 (2020) 055204

HF modification can be modelled using the measured  $R_{AA}$  of  $c/b \rightarrow e^{\pm}$ Phys. Lett. B 804 (2020) 135377

However: Large uncertainties of the measurements only allow upper limits for thermal contribution of the QGP

→ Cocktail independent method needed to access QGP radiation in the intermediate-mass region (IMR)

### $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DCA}_{ee}}$ analysis



Distance-of-closest approach (DCA):



DCA for pairs taking into account the DCA resolution:

$$DCA_{ee} = \sqrt{\frac{(DCA_1/\sigma_1)^2 + (DCA_2/\sigma_2)^2}{2}}$$

Already applied in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV: JHEP 09 (2018) 064

Separation of prompt and non-prompt sources based on their decay topology:

→ Decay length of D- and B-mesons much larger than that of prompt sources

 $\rightarrow$  DCA<sub>ee</sub>(thermal) < DCA<sub>ee</sub>(HF)

prompt non-prompt

Gives access to measurements of:

- $\rightarrow$  Thermal radiation at low DCA<sub>ee</sub>
- $\rightarrow$  Suppression of HF production at high DCA<sub>ee</sub>

### Cocktail-scaled $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DCA}}_{ee}$ templates

#### $J/\psi$ -mass region



Well suited as a control region:

- Mixture of prompt & non-prompt sources
- J/ $\psi$  production well constrained by measurements
  - → Data well described by  $DCA_{ee}$  templates scaled with the hadronic cocktail



Comparison to  $HF-N_{coll}$  scaled cocktail:

- Beauty dominates the spectrum at high  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DCA}_{ee}}$
- Charm more prominent at low  $DCA_{ee}$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  Data below HF expectation
    - $\rightarrow$  Clear indication of HF suppression



SOM2022

#### Intermediate-mass region



Extraction of prompt thermal signal via template fits:

Beauty contribution fixed via separate fit at high DCA<sub>ee</sub>
 bb: 0.74±0.24(stat.)±0.12(syst.) (w.r.t. N<sub>coll</sub> scaling)



Simultaneous fit of charm and prompt contribution
 cc: 0.43±0.40(stat.)±0.22(syst.) (w.r.t. N<sub>coll</sub> scaling)
 prompt: 2.64±3.18(stat.)±0.29(syst.) (w.r.t. R. Rapp)

First DCA<sub>ee</sub> analysis in central Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV to separate thermal radiation & HF background Method independent of hadronic cocktail but currently limited by statistics  $\rightarrow$  Run 3 and beyond with better DCA<sub>ee</sub> resolution (x3-6) and much more statistics (x100)