Charm production: constraint to transport models and charm diffusion coefficient with ALICE

Martin Völkl for the ALICE Collaboration

Universität Heidelberg 2022-06-14



FSPALICE Erforschung von Universum und Materie





UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG ZUKUNFT SEIT 1386

tin Völkl Heidelberg University

ALICE Charm transport measurements

2022-06-14 1 / 16

ALICE

Modeling of quark-medium interactions









[∾] 0.45

04

0.35F

0.3

0.25

0.15E

0.1

0.05

IQCD IQCDx5

IOCDx10

Andrea Beraudo, HP2020 talk

- Interaction with medium often modeled as scatterings
- Can be with quarks and gluons or effective scattering centers
- Can distinguish elastic and radiative processes

- Typically, collisional processes more important at low *p*_T
- Coherence between interactions can modify path length dependence
- Measurements at different p_T, masses and path lengths to disentangle

- Propagation of quarks via Boltzmann-, Fokker-Planck, or Langevin-equation
- Can transform parameters to get spatial diffusion coefficient $D_{\rm s}$; $\langle \vec{r}^2 \rangle = (2d)D_st$
- Characteristic of the medium

p_ (GeV/c)

Heavy quarks throughout a heavy-ion collision





Initial hard scatterings \rightarrow Pre-equilibrium \rightarrow QGP evolution \rightarrow Freeze-out \rightarrow Hadronic phase

- Important measurements: nuclear modification factors R_{AA} and flow coefficients v_n
- Typically: Suppression at high $p_{\rm T}$ from energy loss; peak at low $p_{\rm T}$ from radial flow
- Affected by transport, but also nPDFs, shadowing and hadronization
- Flow coefficients: compares measurement to itself; low systematic uncertainties

Beauty results: Stefano Politanò 14.6., 14:00

The ALICE detector



Measurements at midrapidity $(|\eta| < 0.8)$:

- Inner Tracking System: Tracking and reconstruction of primary vertex and track impact parameter
- Time Projection Chamber: Tracking and particle identification via dE/dx
- Time-Of-Flight Detector: Particle Identification



• Muon spectrometer: Triggering and tracking

ITS TP(TOF Muon Arm

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hadronic channels} \\ D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \\ D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \\ D^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \\ D^+_s \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+ \\ \Lambda^+_c \rightarrow p K^0_s \rightarrow p \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array}$

Semileptonic channels: c, b \rightarrow X + μ

Nuclear modification factor of D mesons





- $R_{\rm AA}$ increases for more central collisions
- $\bullet~{\rm D}^0$ mesons measured down to $p_{\rm T}=0$ can integrate without model uncertainty
- Compared to two sets of nPDFs with shadowing effects included
- $R_{\rm AA}$ can also change due to different charm quark distribution among hadrons



 $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+:$ arXiv:2112.08156; $\rm D_{s}^+:$ Phys. Lett. B 827 (2022) 136986

• Indication of mass ordering of R_{AA} peak, possibly due to participation in flow or hadronization mechanisms

- $\bullet\,$ Some extrapolation needed for total yield of $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$
- Baryon fraction larger than Monash tunes; but no strong dependence on system
- $\bullet~$ Thermalized $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$ yield a factor 2 below data

Martin Völkl Heidelberg University

Jinjoo Seo, 14.6., 12:10

D^+_s measurement compared with models



• Fair description of the $D_s^+ R_{AA}$ by models including enhanced strange quark content of the QGP and coalescence effects

Martin Völkl Heidelberg University

ALICE Charm transport measurements

$\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$ measurement compared with models





- Reasonable description of the Λ_c^+ by TAMU
- Catania off at low p_{T} , assumes QGP also in pp

- SHMc (thermal+pp-like) yield underpredicts yield
- Models include coalescence effects

Comparison to thermal model





- Expected charmed hadron yields assuming statistical hadronization
- $\bullet\,$ Good agreement with measurements, apart from $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$
- Would be explained by additional charmed baryon resonances

Martin Völkl Heidelberg University

ALICE Charm transport measurements

Flow coefficients of non strange D mesons





- Substantial elliptic flow coefficient for non-strange D mesons
- Initial state density fluctuations impart v_3 in D mesons

Elliptic flow of strange charmed hadrons



Phys. Lett. B 827 (2022) 136986

- Significant elliptic flow of strange charmed hadrons; 6.4 σ for 2 \leq $p_{\rm T}$ \leq 8 GeV/c
- Can give additional constraints for hadronization mechanism
- No difference to v_2 of non-strange D mesons observed
- Good model description from models including coalescence effects

Martin Völkl Heidelberg University



Contribution of radiative energy loss





- Comparison of models with radiative interactions turned off
- Particularly important for description at high $p_{\rm T}$
- $\bullet\,$ Models without radiative processes typically in limited $p_{\rm T}\text{-}{\rm range}\,$

Martin Völkl Heidelberg University

ALICE Charm transport measurements

Contribution of recombination





- Recombination of quarks in the medium to form hadrons
- With only fragmentation, model description of data deteriorates

Path length dependence from Xe-Xe measurements





- Xe-Xe: different relation of system size, anisotropy and particle production compared to Pb-Pb
- Information about interaction scaling with path length from interference of radiative interactions
- Different scaling of models; but no simple conclusion on path length dependence
- PHSD does not include radiative interactions

Evaluating model description of D meson measurements





- Different $p_{\rm T}$ reach: Compare for $p_{\rm T} < 8~{\rm GeV}/c$
- Choose models with $\chi^2/ndf < 5$ for R_{AA} and $\chi^2/ndf < 2$ for the v_2
- TAMU, MC@sHQ, LIDO, LGR, and Catania provide reasonable description
- Includes experimental and model uncertainties



Conclusion



- Models with reasonable description have $1.5 < 2\pi D_s T_c < 4.5 -$ corresponds to relaxation time $\tau_c \approx 3 - 8 \text{ fm}/c$
- Models need radiative and collisional interactions to describe data over large *p*_T range
- Recombination effects important in the charm sector
- Measurements with high accuracy over large p_T range and for different hadron species give strong constraints to models



Appendix

Yield extraction via invariant mass



Counts / (4 MeV/c²) ALICE 0-10% Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}}$ = 5.02 TeV Counts / (4 MeV/c²) D⁰ \rightarrow K' π^+ and charge conj. 0 < p_{τ} < 1 GeV/c w/o vertexing $D^0 \to K^{\cdot} \pi^*$ and charge conj 2 w/o vertexina $0 < p_{-} < 1 \text{ GeV/}c$ $1867 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ 25 $\sigma = 11 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ fixed to MC Kπ ULS pairs S = 3546114 + 758011 - Ev mix background Event-mixing background subtracted 1 05 1.05 1.9 $M(K\pi)$ (GeV/ c^2) $M(K\pi)$ (GeV/ c^2) $\times 10^3$ Counts / (6 MeV/c²) $D^0 \rightarrow K \pi^*$ and charge conj. Counts - bkg fct. / (6 MeV/c²) $D^0 \rightarrow K \pi^*$ and charge conj. $1 < p_{\pi} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ with vertexing with vertexing $1 < p_{x} < 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ 0.2 1867 ± 2 MeV/c² 11 MeV/c² fixed to MC 0.2 - 13444 + 1955 1.85 1 95 8F $M(K\pi)$ (GeV/ c^2) $M(K\pi)$ (GeV/ c^2) Counts / (6 MeV/c²) $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \rightarrow K^* \pi^+ \pi^+$ 600 and charge conj. 5 < p_ < 5.5 GeV/c Counts / (0.6 MeV/c²) 16 < p_ < 24 GeV/c $D^+ \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$ and charge coni $\begin{array}{l} \mu = 145.49 \pm 0.04 \; \text{MeV}/c^2 \\ \sigma = 0.69 \pm 0.04 \; \text{MeV}/c^2 \end{array}$ 10 400 $S = 981 \pm 52$ $\mu = 1872 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ $\sigma = 9 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ 200 $S = 5476 \pm 369$ 18 1.85 1.95 0 14 0 142 0 144 0 146 0 148 0 15 0 152 0 154 1.9 M(Kππ) (GeV/c²) M(Kππ) - M(Kπ) (GeV/c²)

AT.T-PIIB-501888

JHEP 01 (2022) 174

D Meson species R_{AA} comparison





v_2 as function of eccentricity



8:0
6:0
0.30
0.25
dS]² 0.20
Λ³ 0.15 0.30 ALICE Centrality 0-10% Pb–Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ Syst. from data Prompt D⁰, D⁺, D⁺⁺ average Syst. from B feed-down 0.20 - |v| < 0.80.10 0.05 0.00 -0.05 = 20% small- $q_{o}(\varepsilon_{2})$ unbiased 20% large- $q_{o}(\varepsilon_{2})$ 6:06:00.25ν0.20ν0.15 POWLANG HTL POWLANG IQCD DAB-MOD M&T Centrality 30-50% DAB-MOD E_{loss} Catania 0.10 0.05 See. 0.00 -0.05 = 20% small- $q_{a}(\varepsilon_{2})$ 20% large- $q_0(\varepsilon_2)$ unbiased 10 12 14 16 6 8 10 12 14 162 4 6 12 14 16 2 10 4 6 8 8 p_{τ} (GeV/c) p_ (GeV/c) p_ (GeV/c) ALI-PUB-490285

PLB 813 (2021) 136054