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Stable hadron yields versus centrality

Strangeness enhancement
see talk by Livio Bianchi

- high multiplicity – equilibrated strangeness, grand-canonical statistical model describes the data

- Canonical strangeness suppression: good description, except $\phi$ [1807.11321]

- Thermalized core and pp corona interplay [Kanakubo et al, 1910.10556, 2108.07943]
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In (mid-)central PbPb: stable hadron to pion ratio stays $\sim$ flat
Not the case for resonances
Suppression of resonances in high-multiplicity collisions

What do we know about this phenomenon?
What can we learn from it?
Suppression of resonances in central collisions II

Suppression occurs across large range of energies
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Suppression occurs at low $p_T$
Origin of suppression: late stage hadronic interactions

Knospe et al, 1509.07895, 2102.06797; DO, Shen, 2105.07539
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Need afterburner to explain resonance yields
Suppression of low $p_T$: slow resonance decay products have high chance to scatter $\implies$ resonance is not detected

Enhancement at higher $p_T$: “radial flow”, “pion wind”, resonance gets kicked to higher $p_T$ by pions

$\pi R \rightarrow \pi R$ or $\pi R \rightarrow R^* \rightarrow \pi R$
• Suppression of low $p_T$: slow resonance decay products have high chance to scatter $\implies$ resonance is not detected

• Enhancement at higher $p_T$: “radial flow”, “pion wind”, resonance gets kicked to higher $p_T$ by pions

$\pi R \rightarrow \pi R$ or $\pi R \rightarrow R^* \rightarrow \pi R$
Afterburner suppresses flow $v_2$ of resonances at small $\langle p_T \rangle$
General understanding of resonance production

- Resonances in full equilibrium at chemical freeze-out
- Hadronic stage (dense mesonic medium):
  - Rescattering of decay products, resonance cannot be detected
    \[ K^* \rightarrow K\pi, \pi\pi \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \pi\pi \]
  - Rescattering of resonance itself with excitation or without
    \[ K^*\pi \rightarrow K\rho, K^*\rho \rightarrow K\pi, K^*\pi \rightarrow K(1270) \rightarrow \rho K \]
    \[ \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \pi\Sigma^* \rightarrow K\rho \]
  - Regeneration from decay products
    \[ \pi\pi \rightarrow \rho, \Lambda\pi\pi \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \]
- Kinetic freeze-out: resonance yields stop changing
  Kinetic freeze-out may be not unique for all resonances
Vacuum lifetime ordering conjecture

“Shorter vacuum lifetime $\implies$ more suppression”
Fails with $\Lambda(1520)$, $\Sigma^*$, $\rho$

“Vacuum lifetime $\geq$ hadronic stage duration $\implies$ no suppression”
What about $\Xi(1530)$?

Vacuum lifetime is not enough
Resonance mass, decay channels, cross section with pions matter
Intermediate summary

• Seems that we have some understanding of resonance production, both theoretical and experimental
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- Seems that we have some understanding of resonance production, both theoretical and experimental
- Do we? Not all resonance yields are reproduced by models
- What can we learn from measured resonance production?
MUSIC + SMASH: better $\Lambda(1520)$ description.

What is the difference between MUSIC + SMASH and EPOS + UrQMD?

Same class of models, hydro + transport.

Conjecture: larger branching ratios of $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$ in SMASH

What can we learn: unknown branching ratios
$\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$

- Cross section $\Lambda(1520)\pi \rightarrow \Sigma^*$: $\sigma_{max} \sim \frac{B.R.(\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi)}{m_{\Sigma^*} - (m_{\Lambda(1520)} + m_{\pi})}$
- Huge cross sections $\Lambda(1520)\pi \rightarrow \Sigma(1660), \Sigma(1670)$
  ... or zero depending on unknown $B.R.(\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi)$
- Larger $B.R.(\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi)$ $\implies$
  More $\Lambda(1520)$ suppression due to $\Lambda(1520)\pi \rightarrow \Sigma^* \rightarrow Kp$ chain
  Larger $\Lambda(1520)\langle p_T \rangle$ due to pion wind $\Lambda(1520)\pi \rightarrow \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$

DO, Shen, 2105.07539; Kuznetsova, Rafelski, 0811.1409

- But: such large cross sections mean $l_{mfp} < l_{Compton}$
  Out of transport applicability for $\Lambda(1520)$, need G-matrix approach

Cabrera, 1406.2570; Ilner et al, 1707.00060
“Transport practitioner’s conjecture”
Transport not reproducing resonance suppression
(e.g. $\Xi(1530)$) $\implies$ missing branching ratios
and/or reactions
Duration of hadronic stage

- Assume no regeneration, no excitation
  only rescattering of products
- Fit resonance yield \( \frac{dN}{dy} \big|_{measured} = \frac{dN}{dy} \big|_{HRG} e^{-\Delta\tau/\tau_R} \)
- Unrealistic assumptions \( \implies \) large spread of obtained \( \tau \)
Duration of hadronic stage from transport

Stopping the simulation at earlier time $t_{\text{end}}$

DO, Shen, 2105.07539

- hydro + decays
- hydro + afterburner

$0.1 \ e^{-(t-13)/12} + 0.03$

PbPb, 5.02 TeV, 0-10%

ALICE, 2.76 TeV data

Duration of $\Lambda(1520)$ scattering stage $\simeq 12$ fm/c

Times from other resonances can be different. It is ok: kinetic freeze-out of different reactions should not be simultaneous.
Limiting case of transport: Rate equation models

Torrieri, Rafelski, hep-ph/0103149, nucl-th/0608061
Kuznetsova, Rafelski, 0811.1409, 0804.3352; Cho, Lee, 1509.04092; Le Roux et al, 2101.07302

- Start from chemical freeze-out, always in kinetic equilibrium
- Assume some $V(\tau)$ and $T(\tau)$ or get $T(\tau)$ by fixing entropy
- Solve coupled rate equations of type

\[
\frac{dN_R}{d\tau} = \sum_{a,b} \langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle_{ab \rightarrow R} n_a N_b - \langle \Gamma_R \rangle N_R + \]

\[
\sum_{a,b,c} \langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle_{ab \rightarrow cR} n_a N_b - \sum_{a,b,c} \langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle_{cR \rightarrow ab} n_c N_R
\]

- End at fixed $T$ or $V_{kin}/V_{ch}$

What one learns: relative importance of reactions, $T_{kin}$, $V_{kin}/V_{ch}$, hadronic phase lifetime $\Delta \tau_{kin}$

Caveats: $\Delta \tau_{kin}$ is determined by $V(\tau)$, no way to get suppression only at low momenta, momentum distribution is assumed always thermal
Partial Chemical Equilibrium limit

- Assume reaction rates much faster than expansion rate
- Expansion conserving entropy and stable particle yields
- Variables: $T$, stable hadron fugacities
- Stop at temperature $T_{kin}$ same for all species
- What one learns: $T_{kin}$, $V_{kin}/V_{ch}$

Motornenko et al, 1908.11730
Conclusions

- Resonances production is sensitive to hadronic stage
  For some resonances in central collisions yield is suppressed at low $p_T$, so $\langle p_T \rangle$ is enhanced, $v_2$ is suppressed
  For $\Lambda(1520)$ these effects are particularly strong.
- Vacuum lifetime ordering conjecture fails
  because excitations $R_\pi \rightarrow R^*$ matter
- What can one learn from resonances?
  - Infer existence of unknown resonances, e.g. $\Xi^*$ tower
  - Constrain unknown branching ratios
  - Kinetic freeze-out temperature $T_{kin}$
  - Volume ratio $V_{kin}/V_{ch}$
  - Maybe hadronic stage duration time using $V(\tau)$ parametrization
  - Infer resonance nature (e.g. does $f_0(980)$ contain s-quarks) see talk by Junlee Kim
  - (not in this talk) Spin effects, chiral symmetry restoration see talk by Jihye Song
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Backup
Reaction rates from SMASH, $\Lambda(1520)$

$\Sigma(1660) \leftrightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$
$\Sigma(1670) \leftrightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$
$\Sigma(1775) \leftrightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$
$\Lambda(1520) \leftrightarrow KN$
$\Lambda(1520) \leftrightarrow \Sigma\pi$
$\Lambda(1520) \leftrightarrow \Lambda\sigma$

Reactions [a.u.] (to be normalized)
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Lepton destruction and production occur at rather similar rates
of course in the end destruction wins
What can we learn from $\Lambda(1520)$ suppression?

Measured $\langle p_T \rangle$ of $\Lambda(1520)$ puts (rather weak) constraints on $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\pi$ branching ratios