Muon Anomalies Workshop 2021 2021. 5. 21.

Type-X two Higgs doublet model in light of muon g-2: confronting the Higgs and collider data

Jeonghyeon Song (Konkuk University, Korea)

w/ A.Jueid, J. Kim, S. Lee, arXiv: 2104.10175

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Type-X 2HDM
- 3. Muon g-2 in Type-X
- 4. Other constraints
- 5. Results
- 6. Conclusions

1. Motivation

Very plausible signal of NP in the Fermilab muon g-2

Fermilab National Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL) Muon g-2 experiment

2104.03281, 2104.03247

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 251(59) \times 10^{-11}.$$

For the hadronic vacuum polarization& hadronic light-by-light (HLbL) scattering,data-driven (*R*-ratio) methods.

NP signal at 4.2σ

Active studies of NP effects in a very short time

1. SUSY:

2104.07597, 2104.03839, 2104.03284, 2104.03262, 2104.03245, 2104.03274, 2104.03302, 2104.03491, 2104.03489, 2104.04458, 2104.03691, 2104.03259

- 2. two Higgs doublet model:
 2104.03367, 2104.03227, 2104.03275, 2010.03590, 2103.10632, 2010.02799, 2003.03386,
 2104.03249
- leptophilic boson model: 2104.07680, 2104.03701
- 4. three Higgs doublet model: 2104.07047
- leptoquark model:
 2104.06656, 2104.05685
- 6. $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$ model: 2104.05656, 2104.03340
- 7. B L or B 3L gauge model: 2104.03542, 2103.13991
- flavorful scalar model: 2104.03238
- 2HDM with a singlet scalar model: 1909.03969

Study must go on!

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = 251(59) \times 10^{-11}.$$

Observation → Limiting a NP model

In fact, we are super-rich!

We have a vast amount of experimental data in particle physics.



No assumption but all the constraints for each NP model

Type-X (Lepton-specific 2HDM)

2. Type-X 2HDM

Basic theory setup

2HDM

$$\Phi_i = \left(\frac{w_i^+}{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}\right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246 \text{ GeV}.$

2. Type-X 2HDM

Basic theory setup

2HDM

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} w_i^+ \\ \frac{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246$ GeV.

A discrete Z_2 symmetry for no tree level FCNC:

$$\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2$$

2. Type-X 2HDM

Basic theory setup

2HDM

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} w_i^+ \\ \frac{v_i + h_i + i\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246 \text{ GeV}.$

A discrete Z_2 symmetry for no tree level FCNC:

$$\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2$$

$$\begin{split} V_{\Phi} &= m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{H.c.}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 \left[(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \text{H.c.} \right], \end{split}$$

Higgs precision data require the Higgs alignment limit.

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \Longrightarrow$$
 huge t_{β} & light M_A



ATLAS-CONF-2020-027

Model parameters and basic setup

$$\{m_{\varphi^0}, M_A, M_{H^{\pm}}, M^2, t_{\beta}\}$$



$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1} &= \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left[m_{125}^{2} + t_{\beta}^{2} \left(m_{\varphi^{0}}^{2} - M^{2} \right) \right], \\ \lambda_{2} &= \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left[m_{125}^{2} + \frac{1}{t_{\beta}^{2}} \left(m_{\varphi^{0}}^{2} - M^{2} \right) \right], \\ \lambda_{3} &= \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left[m_{125}^{2} - m_{\varphi^{0}}^{2} - M^{2} + 2M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} \right], \\ \lambda_{4} &= \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left[M^{2} + M_{A}^{2} - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} \right], \\ \lambda_{5} &= \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left[M^{2} - M_{A}^{2} \right], \end{split}$$

$$M^2 = m_{12}^2 / (s_\beta c_\beta)$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + t_\beta^2 \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + \frac{1}{t_\beta^2} \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 - m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 + 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_4 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 + M_A^2 - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 - M_A^2 \right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + t_\beta^2 \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + \frac{1}{t_\beta^2} \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 - m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 + 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_4 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 + M_A^2 - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 - M_A^2 \right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + t_\beta^2 \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 + \frac{1}{t_\beta^2} \left(m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 \right) \right], \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[m_{125}^2 - m_{\varphi^0}^2 - M^2 + 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_4 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 + M_A^2 - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right], \\ \lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M^2 - M_A^2 \right], \end{split}$$

$$M_A \sim M_{H^{\pm}} \sim M \approx m_{\varphi^0}.$$

3. Muon g-2 in Type-X 2HDM

Two kinds of contributions





1-loop

Barr-Zee 2-loop

Very large $tan\beta$ is required. And CP-even scalar cannot explain the observed muon g-2.



A light pseudo scalar A are required.



4. Other constraints

Scan strategy in 3 steps

Step I: Δa_{μ} at 2σ .

Step II: Theory+EWPD after Step I

1. Theoretical stabilities:

Higgs potential being bounded from below, unitarity of scalar-scalar scatterings, perturbativity, vacuum stability.

- 2. Peskin-Takeuchi electroweak oblique parameters.
- Step III: Collider bounds after Step II
 - 1. Higgs precision data by using HiggsSignals.
 - 2. Direct searches for new scalars at the LEP, Tevatron, and LHC, by using HiggsBounds.

4. Other constraints

Scan strategy in 3 steps

Step I: Δa_{μ} at 2σ .

Step II: Theory+EWPD after Step I

1. Theoretical stabilities:

Higgs potential being bounded from below, unitarity of scalar-scalar scatterings, perturbativity, vacuum stability.

- 2. Peskin-Takeuchi electroweak oblique parameters.
- Step III: Collider bounds after Step II
 - 1. Higgs precision data by using HiggsSignals.
 - 2. Direct searches for new scalars at the LEP, Tevatron, and LHC, by using HiggsBounds.

HiggsBounds provide powerful checkup.

HiggsBounds currently incorporates results from LEP [1–15], the Tevatron [16–50], and the ATLAS [51–123] and CMS [124–194] experiments at the LHC.

References

- In: Lepton and photon interactions at high energies. Proceedings, 20th International Symposium, LP 2001, Rome, Italy, July 23-28, 2001, 2001, hep-ex/ 0107034.
- [2] In: Lepton and photon interactions at high energies. Proceedings, 20th International Symposium, LP 2001, Rome, Italy, July 23-28, 2001, 2001, hep-ex/ 0107032.
- [3] In: Lepton and photon interactions at high energies. Proceedings, 20th International Symposium, LP 2001, Rome, Italy, July 23-28, 2001, 2001, hep-ex/ 0107031.
- [4] G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C23 (2002) 397, hep-ex/0111010.
- [5] L. ALEPH and D. OPAL Collaborations, 2002, DELPHI-2002-087 CONF 620, CERN-ALEPH-2002-019, CERN-ALEPH-CONF-2002-008.
- [6] G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C27 (2003) 311, hep-ex/0206022.
- [7] J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C32 (2004) 475, hep-ex/0401022.
- [8] G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C35 (2004) 1, hep-ex/0401026.
- [9] J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C34 (2004) 399, hep-ex/0404012.
- [10] P. Achard et al., Phys. Lett. B609 (2005) 35, hep-ex/0501033.
- [11] J. Abdallah et al., Eur. Phys. J. C38 (2004) 1, hep-ex/0410017.
- [12] S. Schael et al., Eur. Phys. J. C47 (2006) 547, hep-ex/0602042.

- [13] G. Abbiendi et al., Phys. Lett. B682 (2010) 381, 0707.0373.
- [14] G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 2076, 0812.0267.
- [15] G. Abbiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2463, 1301.6065.
- [16] T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 021802, 0809.3930.
- [17] C. Schwanenberger et al., 2008, D0-5739.
- [18] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Lett. B671 (2009) 349, 0806.0611.
- [19] H. Fox et al., 2008, D0-5757.
- [20] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Lett. B682
 (2009) 278, 0908.1811.
- [21] T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 101803, 0907.1269.
- [22] M. Takahashi, L. Bellantoni, and A. Khanov, 2009, D0-5873.
- [23] T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 201801, 0906.1014.
- [24] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 061801, 0905.3381.
- [25] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Lett. B698 (2011) 97, 1011.1931.
- [26] T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 061803, 1001.4468.
- [27] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 061804, 1001.4481.
- [28] D. Benjamin et al., (2010), 1003.3363.
- [29] F. Couderc, 2010, D0-6083.
- [30] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 251801, 1008.3564.

- [31] T. W. Group, in: Proceedings, 21st International Europhysics Conference on High energy physics (EPS-HEP 2011): Grenoble, France, July 21-27, 2011, 2011, 1107.4960.
- [32] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 092002, 1107.1268.
- [33] S. Chakrabarti, K. Tschann-Grimm, and P. Grannis, 2011, D0-6171.
- [34] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Lett. B707 (2012) 323, 1106.4555.
- [35] V. M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 121801, 1106.4885.
- [36] D. Benjamin, in: Proceedings, 21st International Europhysics Conference on High energy physics (EPS-HEP 2011): Grenoble, France, July 21-27, 2011, 2011, 1108.3331.
- [37] T. T. W. Group, 2012, 1203.3774.
- [38] 2012, D0-Note-6304-CONF.
- [39] J. .-.-F. Grivaz, 2012, D0-6340.
- [40] 2012, D0-Note-6301-CONF.
- [41] 2012, D0-Note-6286-CONF.
- [42] 2012, D0-Note-6296-CONF.
- [43] 2012, D0-Note-6309-CONF.
- [44] T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 071804, 1207.6436.
- [45] 2012, D0-Note-6302-CONF.
- [46] 2012, D0-Note-6276-CONF.
- [47] G. Chen et al., 2012, D0-6295.
- [48] T. N. P. H. W. Group, 2012, 1207. 0449.
- [49] CDF, CDF-9999, CDF-10010, CDF-10439, CDF-10500, CDF-10573, CDF-10574.
- [50] D0, D0-5845, D0-6183, D0-6305.
- [51] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 111802, 1112.2577.
- [52] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 221802, 1109.3357.
- [53] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B707 (2012) 27, 1108.5064.

- [54] 2011, ATLAS-CONF-2011-157.
- [55] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B710 (2012) 383, 1202.1415.
- [56] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 111803, 1202.1414.
- [57] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B710 (2012) 49, 1202.1408.
- [58] T. A. collaboration, 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-161.
- [59] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-135.
- [60] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-160.
- [61] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-092.
- [62] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-019.
- [63] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-017.
- [64] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-016.
- [65] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B716 (2012)
 1, 1207.7214.
- [66] G. Aad et al., JHEP 06 (2012) 039, 1204.2760.
- [67] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-078.
- [68] 2012, ATLAS-CONF-2012-168.
- [69] 2013, ATLAS-CONF-2013-013.
- [70] 2013, ATLAS-CONF-2013-010.
- [71] 2013, ATLAS-CONF-2013-030.
- [72] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B732 (2014)
 8, 1402.3051.
- [73] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 201802, 1402.3244.
- [74] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 171801, 1407.6583.
- [75] G. Aad et al., JHEP 11 (2014) 056, 1409.6064.
- [76] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B738 (2014)
 68, 1406.7663.
- [77] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 081802, 1406.5053.
- [78] T. A. collaboration, 2014, ATLAS-CONF-2014-050.
- [79] G. Aad et al., JHEP 01 (2016) 032, 1509.00389.
- [80] G. Aad et al., Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 210, 1509.05051.

- [81] T. A. collaboration, 2015, ATLAS-[101]CONF-2015-012.
- [82] G. Aad et al., Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 45, 1507.05930.
- [83] C. Malone, PhD thesis, Stanford U., [103] Geo. Environ. Sci., 2015-06-26, URL: https://searchworks.stanford. edu/view/11297043, CERN-THESIS-2015-080.
- [84] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 231801, 1503.04233.
- [85] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. **B744** (2015) 163, 1502.04478.
- G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) [86] 092004, 1509.04670.
- [87] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-056.
- [88] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-049.
- [89] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-074.
- [90] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-044.
- [91] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-082.
- [92] M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **09** (2016) 173, 1606.04833.
- [93] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-079.
- M. Aaboud et al., Eur. Phys. J. C76 [115] [94](2016) 605, 1606.08391.
- [95] T. A. collaboration, 2016, ATLAS-CONF-2016-055.
- [96] 1710.07235.
- [97] M. Aaboud et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78 [118] (2018) 24, 1710.01123.
- M. Aaboud et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78 [119] [98] (2018) 293, 1712.06386.
- [99] M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **01** (2018) 055, [120] 1709.07242.
- [100]M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Lett. **B775** [121] (2017) 105, 1707.04147.

- M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 052008, 1808,02380.
- [102]M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **11** (2018) 085, 1808.03599.
 - M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Lett. B783 (2018) 392, 1804.01126.
- [104] M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Lett. B790 (2019) 1, 1807.00539.
- M. Aaboud et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78 [105](2018) 1007, 1807.08567.
- [106] M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **09** (2018) 139, 1807.07915.
- M. Aaboud et al., JHEP 10 (2018) 031, [107]1806.07355.
- M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Rev. D98 [108](2018) 092008, 1807.06573.
- M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **01** (2019) 030, [109]1804.06174.
- 110 M. Aaboud et al., JHEP **05** (2019) 124, 1811.11028.
- [111] M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Lett. B793 (2019) 499, 1809.06682.
- [112] M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 191801, 1808.00336.
- [113] T. A. collaboration, 2018, ATLAS-CONF-2018-025.
- [114] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. **B796** (2019) 68, 1903.06248.
- G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. **B801** (2020) 135148, 1909.10235.
- [116]M. Aaboud et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 231801, 1904.05105.
- M. Aaboud et al., JHEP 03 (2018) 042, [117] M. Aaboud et al., JHEP 07 (2019) 117, 1901.08144.
 - G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. **B800** (2020) 135069, 1907.06131.
 - G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. **B800** (2020) 135103, 1906.02025.
 - G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. **D102** (2020) 032004, 1907.02749.
 - T. A. collaboration, 2020, ATLAS-CONF-2020-039.

- [122] G. Aad et al., JHEP 07 (2020) 108, [143] V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP 01 (2016) 2001.05178.
- (2020) 051801, 2002.12223.
- [124] C. Collaboration, 2012, CMS-PAS- [145] HIG-13-027.
- [125] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. [146] V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP 11 (2015) 108 (2012) 111804, 1202.1997.
- [126] S. Chatrchyan et al., JHEP **03** (2012) [147] 040, 1202.3478.
- [127] S. Chatrchvan et al., JHEP **04** (2012) [148] 036, 1202.1416.
- [128] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Lett. **B710** [149] (2012) 26, 1202.1488.
- [129] C. Collaboration, 2012, CMS-PAS- [150] HIG-12-006.
- [130] C. Collaboration, 2012, CMS-PAS- [151] HIG-12-025. **B749** (2015) 560, 1503.04114.
- [131] C. Collaboration, 2013, CMS-PAS- [152] HIG-13-011.
- [132] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. **D89** [153] (2014) 092007, 1312.5353.
- [133] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Lett. B726 [154] C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-(2013) 587, 1307.5515.
- [134] C. Collaboration, 2013, CMS-PAS- [155] HIG-13-022.
- [135] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. **D89** [156] (2014) 012003, 1310.3687.
- [136] V. Khachatryan et al., Eur. Phys. J. [157] C74 (2014) 3076, 1407.0558.
- [137] S. Chatrchyan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C74 [158] (2014) 2980, 1404, 1344.
- [138] V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP **10** (2015) [159] 144, 1504.00936.
- [139] C. Collaboration, 2015, CMS-PAS- [160] HIG-14-022.
- [140] V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett. [161] B748 (2015) 221, 1504.04710.
- [141] C. Collaboration, 2015, CMS-PAS- [162] HIG-14-031.
- [142] C. Collaboration, 2015, CMS-PAS- [163] HIG-14-029.

- 079.1510.06534.
- [123] G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 [144] C. Collaboration, 2015, CMS-PAS-HIG-14-037.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett. **B750** (2015) 494, 1506.02301.
 - 018, 1508.07774.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett. B755 (2016) 217, 1510.01181.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP **11** (2015) 071, 1506.08329.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP **12** (2015) 178, 1510.04252.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett. B752 (2016) 146, 1506.00424.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Lett. B759 (2016) 369, 1603.02991.
 - C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033.
 - HIG-16-025.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 052012, 1603.06896.
 - C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-031.
 - C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-035.
 - C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-002.
 - C. Collaboration, 2016, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-032.
 - A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B778 (2018) 101, 1707,02909.
 - A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **01** (2018) 054, 1708.04188.
 - V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP **10** (2017) 076, 1701.02032.
 - C. Collaboration, 2017, CMS-PAS-HIG-16-034.

- [164] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **11** (2017) 010, 1707.07283.
- [165] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B793(2019) 320, 1811.08459.
- [166] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **11** (2018) 115, 1808.06575.
- [167] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 012005, 1810.11822.
- [168] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **11** (2018) 018, 1805.04865.
- [169] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B795 (2019) 398, 1812.06359.
- [170] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B793 (2019) 520, 1809.05937.
- [171] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B785(2018) 462, 1805.10191.
- [172] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP 06 (2018) 127, 1804.01939.
- [173] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **08** (2018) 113, 1805.12191.
- [174] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.
 122 (2019) 121803, 1811.09689.
- [175] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **04** (2018) 073, 1802.01122.
- [176] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **09** (2018) 007, 1803.06553.
- [177] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **03** (2020) 051, 1911.04968.

- [178] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B800 (2020) 135087, 1907.07235.
- [179] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **07** (2019) 142, 1903.04560.
- [180] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP 03 (2020) 034, 1912.01594.
- [181] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **03** (2020) 103, 1911.10267.
- [182] C. Collaboration, 2019, CMS-PAS-HIG-18-021.
- [183] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Phys. Lett. B798
 (2019) 134992, 1907.03152.
- [184] C. Collaboration, 2019, CMS-PAS-HIG-18-013.
- [185] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **04** (2020) 171, 1908.01115.
- [186] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP **03** (2020) 055, **1911.03781**.
- [187] A. M. Sirunyan et al., Eur. Phys. J.
 C79 (2019) 564, 1903.00941.
- [188] A. M. Sirunyan et al., JHEP 07 (2020) 126, 2001.07763.
- [189] 2012, CMS-PAS-HIG-12-045.
- [190] 2013, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-003.
- [191] 2012, CMS-PAS-HIG-12-043.
- [192] 2012, CMS-PAS-HIG-12-046.
- [193] 2012, CMS-PAS-HIG-12-051.
- [194] 2013, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-009.

The inverted scenario has much stronger constraints from the collider data.

- For each scenario, we obtained 5×10^5 parameter sets satisfying Step II.
- After Step III,
 - Normal scenario: $\sim 80\%$ survived.
 - Inverted scenario: $\sim 1.8\%$ parameter sets survived.

5. (1) Results in the normal scenario

Other constraints play an important role.



Step I+Theory+EWPD

Step II+Collider



The other parameters are also limited. (i) Not too heavy MH and charged Higgs



Exotic Higgs decay removes light A.





5. (2) Results in the inverted scenario

Slightly stronger constraints for the inverted scenario



The other parameters are also limited. (i) lighter MH and charged Higgs



The other parameters are also limited. (ii) LEP search for Ah was crucial.



5. (3) Electron anomalous magnetic moment

In Type-X, the same contributions to the muon/electron g-2 except for mass.



 Δa_e is sensitive to the value of the fine structure constant α

$$\Delta a_e^{\rm Cs} = -8.8(3.6) \times 10^{-13},$$

Science 360 (2018)

 $\Delta a_e^{\rm Rb} = 4.8(3.0) \times 10^{-13}$. Nature 588 (2020)

The electron g-2 observation is consistent with Type-X.

$$\Delta a_e^{\text{Cs}} = -8.8(3.6) \times 10^{-13},$$
$$\Delta a_e^{\text{Rb}} = 4.8(3.0) \times 10^{-13}.$$



 $\Delta a_e^{
m Rb}$ at 2σ $\Delta a_e^{
m Cs}$ at 3σ

5. (4) hadro-phobic new scalars at the LHC

For the final surviving points, new scalar bosons are hadro-phobic,

$$\mathcal{B}(A/\varphi^0 \to \tau^+ \tau^-) \sim 1$$



Two golden channels at the LHC.

$q\bar{q} \to Z^* \to A\varphi^0 \to \tau^+ \tau^- \tau^+ \tau^-,$ $pp \to H^+ H^- \to \tau^+ \nu \tau^- \nu,$

Four tau lepton channel: very promising



 $\sigma(pp \to ZZ \to 4\tau) \sim 17$ fb at the 13 TeV LHC

1507.06257, 1512.05314

Two tau lepton plus missing ET channel



 $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{SM}}(pp \to W^+W^- \to \tau \nu \tau \nu) \simeq 1.7 \text{ pb} \quad \text{arXiv:1905.04242},$ $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{SM}}(pp \to ZZ \to \tau^+ \tau^- \nu \nu) \simeq 0.1 \text{ pb} \quad \text{arXiv:1507.06257}$

6. Conclusions

- In the normal scenario
 - $- ext{ } ex$
 - $-\ \mathbf{M_{H}} \in [\mathbf{130}, \mathbf{245}] \ \mathrm{GeV} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{M_{H^{\pm}}} \in [\mathbf{95}, \mathbf{285}] \ \mathrm{GeV}.$
- In the inverted scenario
 - $-\mathbf{t}_{eta}\gtrsim \mathbf{120} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{M_A}\in [\mathbf{70},\mathbf{105}] \ \mathrm{GeV};$
 - $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{H}} \in [\mathbf{100}, \mathbf{120}] \; \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{H}^{\pm}} \in [\mathbf{95}, \mathbf{185}] \; \mathrm{GeV}$;
 - $M_A + M_h \gtrsim 190 \ {
 m GeV}.$