# ARES Linac @ DESY

**150 MeV S-Band injector linac with high stability and ultra-short electron bunches 3rd Townhall meeting European Strategy Plasma & Labor Accelerators** 

F. Burkart for the ARES team

with input from R. Assmann, H. Dinter, S. Jaster-Merz, W. Kuropka, F. Mayet, T. Vinatier

Acknowledgements to U. Dorda, B. Marchetti, R. Brinkmann, W. Leemans and the DESY M Technical Groups





#### **SINBAD ARES - Linac**

#### **Short reminder**

- Normal conducting S-band electron linac for the production of **ultra-short bunches**
- Novel acceleration techniques / beam manipulation testbed (DLAs, fibers)
- Accelerator components R&D
- Autonomous accelerator
- Target Parameters:
  - 50 155 MeV,
  - 0.5-200 pC,
  - single pulse @ 50Hz,
  - few fs / sub-fs pulse length,



#### **ARES Layout**



## Beam at the end of the beamline!

With good momentum spread and stability

- Nominal beam momentum of 156 MeV/c reached.
- First studies for velocity bunching.
- Reached the first milestone.





#### **Bunch length measurements**

- ≈ 1 pC; Gun at 70 MV/m; laser at 100 fs rms Gaussian and 320 µm Ø flat-top).
- Measurement performed close to 3-phase method and tomography resolution limit (few tens of fs rms).
- Best measured so far: 85 fs
- Optimization and data analysis ongoing.

### **Unprecedented stability at ARES**

High precision temperature and modulator stabilization has resulted in excellent stability and reproducibility:

- 17  $\mu$ m rms position jitter (5-10% of  $\sigma_{x/y}$ )
- 5.8e-5 rms relative energy stability over 14 hours (63 hours with 2.4e-4) still w.o. LLRF feedback fully operational.



Mean momentum: 156.21 MeV/c Momentum stability: 9.12 keV/c Relative stability: 5.84e-05

#### **Commissioning beam parameters**

Parameter	Design parameters	Actual commissioning parameters
Energy	50 – 155 MeV	50 – 156 MeV
Charge	0.5 – 200 pC	0.1 – 100 pC
Rep. rate	Single pulse @ 50 Hz	50 / 10 Hz
Bunch length	few fs / sub-fs pulse length	85 fs (w/o magnetic bunch compression)
Norm. Emittance	norm. emittance: < 0.8 mm*mrad	< 0.5 mm*mrad

Nominal working points	Description
Working point R1	High-charge bunch: 100 MeV, 100 pC, sub-ps duration, $\leq 2 \pi$ .mm.mrad
Working point R2	Ultrashort bunch: 100 MeV, $\leq$ 1 pC, sub-fs duration, $\leq$ 1 $\pi$ .mm.mrad
Working point R3	High-current (still ultrashort) bunch: 100 MeV, 20 pC, few fs duration, $\leq 1 \pi$ .mm.mrad



- ARES is operational at its first working point
  - Reached design energy
  - Beam characterization and optimization ongoing
  - Excellent stability results.
- First beam tests with **ACHIP collaboration more users to come**.
- Installation of bunch compressor and Xband TDS currently ongoing.
- Next commissioning step towards short pulses in the second half of 2021.
- ARES design can be used as **prototype injector** with
  - short pulses
  - excellent stability and beam quality
  - well known/measured bunch properties.

### **Q&A Part I**

- 1. Where do you see HEP applications of advanced accelerators in 30 years?
- 2. What intermediate physics applications/steps do you see until a HEP linear collider?
  - Beam quality improvement
  - Stability improvement
  - Reproducibility
  - Higher rep. rate
- 3. What is the synergy with related fields
  - Test bed for autonomous accelerator development, applicable to state-of-the-art light sources
- 4. What is the role of your work here?
- R&D to improve beam quality, stability and reproducibility
- R&D on advanced beam diagnostics
- R&D on accelerator components

#### **Q&A Part II**

- 1. What are the important milestones for the next 10 years to get there from today?
  - Stable, high quality beam for injection into novel acceleration schemes.
  - Tools to characterize the phase space of short electron pulses (fully operational XBand TDS).
- 2. What additional support is needed to achieve these?
- 3. What should be proposed as deliverables until 2026? Please list in order of priority.
  - Stable operation of RF-based accelerators with high stability and reproducibility and ultra-short pulses as injectors.
- 4. Is the R&D work for each of those deliverables already funded and, if not, what additional resources / support would be needed?
  - Yes.

### **Q&A Part III**

- 1. What key R&D needs can be achieved in existing R&D facilities?
  - Demonstration of proof-of-principle experiments
  - Training of personnel and students, which will operate future machines
- 2. What is the role of the already planned future facilities in Europe and world-wide?
- 3. What can be done with the existing and planned funding base?
- 4. Is a completely new facility needed?
  - No. (In the case of developing an excellent beam quality from an RF injector.)
- 5. Are additional structures needed beyond existing networks and projects, e.g. a design study for a collider or an advanced accelerator stage?
  - Yes

## **THANK YOU!**

#### Contact

**DESY.** Deutsches Florian Burkart Elektronen-Synchrotron MPY1 www.desy.de

florian.burkart@desy.de +49-40-8998-3039

## **Reinforcement Learning at ARES – regular beam times**

Automation and Optimisation of Accelerator Operation using Artificial Intelligence

- 2-year project funded by Helmholtz AI, collaboration of DESY and KIT
- Proof-of-concept

Autonomously focus and centre beam in the ARES experimental area in a matter of minutes using quadrupole triplet and corrector magnets



