Time-delayed electrons from neutral currents at the LHC

Zeren Simon Wang

(National Tsing Hua University)

arXiv:2107.03203

in collaboration with Kingman Cheung and Kechen Wang

Nov 12th, 2021 Tenth workshop of the LLP Community





伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

• Time-delay feature of the LLP decay products

- Two neutral LLP benchmark scenarios:
 - The lightest neutralinos in the R-parity-violating supersymmetry
 - Heavy neutral leptons (HNLs) in U(1) extensions of the SM
- Similar production and decay topologies

CMS timing detector

- Timing precision upgrades for ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb planned
- Original purpose: reducing pile-up issues at the HL-LHC
- CMS minimum-ionizing-particle (MIP) timing detector (MTD)
- Before ECAL
- Timing resolution: 30 ps

$$\mathsf{RPV}\text{-}\mathsf{MSSM}$$
$$W_{\mathcal{R}_p} = \mu_i H_u \cdot L_i + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_i \cdot L_j \bar{\mathcal{E}}_k + \lambda'_{ijk}L_i \cdot Q_j \bar{\mathcal{D}}_k + \frac{1}{2}\lambda''_{ijk}\bar{U}_i\bar{D}_j\bar{D}_k$$

- Light $(\mathcal{O}(GeV))$ neutralinos (binolike) still allowed with RPV
- Assume $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP and degenerate sfermion masses
- Production: $Z \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ via small Higgsino components
- Decay: $\lambda'_{112} \Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow \nu_e d\bar{s}, \bar{\nu}_e \bar{d}s, e^- u\bar{d}, \text{or } e^+ \bar{u}d$
- Small RPV couplings & $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \rightarrow \text{long-lived } \tilde{\chi}_1^0$'s



Independent parameter: $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}, \, \lambda'_{112}/m_{\tilde{f}}^2, \, \underline{\operatorname{Br}}(Z \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$

$U(1)_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_X$

- SM extended w/ $U(1)_X$, a linear combination of SM $U(1)_Y \& U(1)_{B-L}$
- Predicts a new vector boson Z', a new scalar particle Φ, and three right-handed neutrinos

	<i>SU</i> (3) _c	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
Q_L^i	3	2	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}x_{H} + \frac{1}{3}x_{\Phi}$
u_R^i	3	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}x_{H} + \frac{1}{3}x_{\Phi}$
d_R^i	3	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}x_{H}+\frac{1}{3}x_{\Phi}$
L_L^i	1	2	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}x_H - x_{\Phi}$
e_R^i	1	1	$-\overline{1}$	$-x_H - x_{\Phi}$
Н	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}X_H$
N ⁱ	1	1	ō	$-x_{\Phi}$
Φ	1	1	0	$2x_{\Phi}$

• Fix $x_{\Phi} = 1$, and $x_H = 0 \rightarrow U(1)_{B-L}$ and $x_H = -1.2 \rightarrow \text{call } U(1)_X$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ □ ● ○ ○ ○

HNLs in $U(1)_{B-L}$ and $U(1)_X$

• Production: $Z' \rightarrow NN$, assuming only one kinematically relevant N



Decay via SM weak currents with the active-heavy neutrino mixing (consider only V_{eN} here)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

 10^{-1}

500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000

 m_N [GeV]

 $c\tau_N$ [m]

三日 のへの

Signature and strategy

 Require at least one of the two LLPs to decay into ejj final state with a macroscopic distance from the IP



- $p_T^e > 20$ GeV and $\eta^e < 2.5$ for the leading electron
- LLP decay in the f.v.: 200 < r < 1170 mm & |z| < 3040 mm
- ≥ 1 ISR jet with $p_T^j > 30$ GeV for timestamping the hard collision • $\Delta t = t_{arrival}^e - t_{prompt}^e > 1ns$, $t_{arrival}^e = \frac{l_{LLP}}{\beta_{LLP}} + l_e$ $N_s^{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = N^Z \cdot Br(Z \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \cdot Br(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e^- u\bar{s} \text{ or } e^+ \bar{u}s) \cdot 2 \cdot \epsilon^{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$

$$N_s^N = \sigma^N \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathsf{Br}(N o ejj) \cdot 2 \cdot \epsilon^N$$

Background

- Follow <u>arXiv:1905.07772</u>
- 1) Finite timing resolution (30 ps) → same-vertex (SV) hard collisions of jet and photon production may lead to a fake signal
 - $N_{SV} = 2 \times 10^{11}$ for the inclusive photon production, as well as jet production ($p_T^j > 30$ GeV) with a jet misidentified as a photon
 - ullet Gaussian smearing $\rightarrow \Delta t > 1$ ns removes all these background events
- 2) Pile-up (PU) events estimated to be 10⁷, taking the fraction of jets being trackless as 10⁻³
 - Gaussian smearing of 190 ps $ightarrow \Delta t > 1(2)$ ns leads to 0.7 and 0 background events
 - 190 ps derived by the longitudinal spread of the bunch crossing
- Conclusion: $\Delta t > 1$ ns essentially no background
- Show 3-signal-event isocurves as the 95% C.L. exclusion limits

A 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 三 の Q G

Numerical results: light neutralinos



Image: A image: A

< 行



Z.S. Wang

Numerical results: HNLs



三日 のへの

(日)

Numerical results: HNLs



Z.S. Wang

三日 のへの

Summary

- Upcoming upgrades in the timing precision detectors at the ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, etc.
- Using timing information to probe LLPs
- Studied two scenarios of neutral LLPs with similar signatures
 - $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\rm 0}$ in the RPV-SUSY
 - HNLs in U(1) extensions of the SM
- Strong limits predicted, complementary to other search strategies
- In particular interesting for heavy ($\gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10 \text{ GeV}))$ LLPs

Thank You!

000 EIE 4E + 4E + 4

Back-up slides

Time-delayed e's from NC at the LHC

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

R-parity and the RPV-MSSM

In general, the MSSM superpotential includes the following operators:

$$W_{\mathcal{R}_{p}} = \mu_{i}H_{u} \cdot L_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}L_{i} \cdot L_{j}\bar{E}_{k} + \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}L_{i} \cdot Q_{j}\bar{D}_{k} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ijk}^{\prime\prime}\bar{U}_{i}\bar{D}_{j}\bar{D}_{k}$$

Lepton Number Violation & Baryon Number Violation

- \Rightarrow too fast proton decay rate!
- \Rightarrow An implicit ingredient of the MSSM: R_p conservation (RPC)

$$R_p = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2S}$$

- B: baryon number, L: lepton number, S: spin
 - SM fields: $R_{p} = +1$, superpartners: $R_{p} = -1$
 - Forbids all the terms in $W_{\mathcal{R}_p}$
 - Renders the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) a stable cold DM candidate



Final efficiencies



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □