

POWHEG BOX report for LHCC Review

Emanuele Re

LAPTh Annecy



24 June 2021

The POWHEG BOX framework

- ▶ Main focus: matching of accurate fixed-order predictions with PS for SM processes, mostly for LHC Physics
- ▶ Several BSM applications exist, but not the main focus so far
- ▶ Strategy / Organization:
 - Public to all theorists that want to contribute (~ 100 processes, ~ 100 authors contributed)
 - Some “core” developers, not really a strictly well defined collaboration
 - Fully supported interfaces: `OpenLoops`, `GoSam`, `Madgraph4`, `MG5_aMC@NLO`
- ▶ All publicly available at
powhegbox.mib.infn.it
- ▶ Two main releases
 - distributed through `svn`, webpage with extensive report of bugfixes and revision
 - **POWHEG BOX V2**: main release, almost all processes are here
 - **POWHEG BOX RES**: most recent one, created to deal with processes with resonances

General Q/A

- ▶ Are there plans/funds in place to continue support through HL-LHC?
 - Support and development will continue, currently no dedicated funds.
- ▶ What major physics updates do you foresee for HL-LHC?
 - Main directions: NNLO QCD + PS `MinNLOPS`, NLO EW + PS, interplay with modern parton showers
 - All these points, and the latter in particular, might require major rethinking/recoding of core parts of the software
- ▶ Major software updates foreseen for HL-LHC:
 - Realistically, due to manpower, core of the software likely to remain `fortran` based, with modern `f90` structures
 - interest to start thinking about using GPU for some aspects [\[more later\]](#)
- ▶ Issues or areas of work where help from HSF or from the experiments may be needed:
 - Very useful for us to have contacts in the EXP community, particularly to test new developments, also before public releases.
 - It has worked well so far
 - . Interest from ATLAS and CMS manifest → we help you → we appreciate a lot (and we need) your feedback.
 - . Are there other opportunities from HSF ?

- ▶ What updates in physics precision are foreseen for HL-LHC ?
 - Main direction: LHC Physics.
 - In practice: NNLO QCD + PS (MiNNLO_{PS}), NLO EW + PS, interplay with modern parton showers.
 - Possible that other areas will start to be addressed, difficult to predict (e.g. loop-induced [some results exist], extremely high-multiplicity, e^+e^- , etc...)
- ▶ Current CPU performance bottlenecks / planned improvements in computing performance:
 - So far, even for more complex processes, we managed to deal with them through “reweighting” + “parallelization”.
 - . reweighting: minimize calls to CPU-intensive routines / avoid recomputing
 - . parallelization: so far, no need of particularly complicated arrangements (that we are aware of), just multicore
 - . POWHEG-BOX-RES: driver for running MPI jobs, still trivial parallelization, but synchronization at the end of each parallel stage
 - . plans to explore GPU [more later]
 - Memory: facility to compress I/O (read/write)
 - Negative weights: doesn't seem (?) to be a major issue wrt other generators

▶ GPU/ML:

- No timescale yet, no work really done so far
- considerable and growing interest to explore the use of GPU / ML-inspired techniques
- Efficient PS sampling \leftrightarrow generation of “underlying Born” events according to $\bar{B}(\Phi_B)$ is typically the more computationally delicate aspect of the POWHEG core algorithm, requires large statistics, multidimensional integrals

► NNLO QCD + PS through $MiNNLO_{PS}$: what's available?*

- **V2**: { W , Z , ggH } \rightarrow public
- **V2**: { top-pair } \rightarrow tarball sent to ATLAS/CMS contacts
- **RES**: { WW } \rightarrow public
- **to be expected soon**: other diboson processes (including $Z\gamma$), VH

* V is a shortcut: decays fully implemented.

- ▶ NNLO QCD + PS through $M_{iNNLO_{PS}}$: performances
- ▶ ggH:
 - . step 1+2+3 \sim 1 day
 - . $< 4 - 5$ sec/event
 - . neg. weights: $\sim 15\%$
- ▶ DY:
 - . step 1+2+3 \sim 1 day
 - . $< 4 - 5$ sec/event
 - . neg. weights: $\sim 15\%$
- ▶ top-pair:
 - . step 1+2+3 \sim 1 day
 - . $< 4 - 5$ sec/event (possibly less)
 - . neg. weights: $\sim 15\%$

▶ NNLO QCD + PS through $MiNNLO_{PS}$: performances

▶ $Z\gamma$:

- . slow convergence (EW/QCD singularity structure), large neg. weight fraction, needed $\sim 100M$ events
- . step 1+2+3 ~ 3 day
- . < 14 sec/event
- . neg. weights: $\sim 28\%$

▶ WW :

- . 2-loop amplitudes very slow, approximated via interpolation grid \rightarrow its evaluation time subleading
- . step 1+2+3 ~ 3 day
- . < 17 sec/event
- . neg. weights: $\sim 20\%$

▶ ZZ :

- . 2-loop amplitudes very slow, included via reweighting at stage 4 with caching and reweighting in batches of 1 event
- . step 1+2+3 ~ 3 day
- . TBC
- . TBC

Other considerations

- ▶ Very major changes in the code ($V2 \rightarrow RES$) when needed (“driven by Physics”).
 - difficult to imagine all processes to be migrated from $V2$ to RES .
- ▶ Tentative “future strategy” reported in this presentation
- ▶ Is there anything likely to be a **major bottleneck/problem** from the point of view of **users / future computing facilities** ?

[Please let us know!](#)