

# FCCee Higgs Recoil

Jan Eysermans, Markus Klute, Tianyu Justin Yang Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Case study working meeting - Higgs recoil - May 26 2021

### Overview



### Study of the Higgs mass and cross section measurements at FCCee in the ZH production process

#### Preliminary work and results

- Getting familiar with FCC framework for slimming/baseline analysis
- Sample production and validation
- Baseline implementation of analysis and cuts
- Setting up statistical analysis for cross section and mass measurement with proper uncertainties
- Focus on machine parameters (e.g. BES) and detector parameters (reconstruction eff) as useful feedback

Statistical analysis performed using the CMS statistical tool "Combine" (RooFit based)

- Building likelihood model based on signal and background templates
- Including uncertainties

### MC Samples overview



#### All samples for now privately produced, in order to be consistent with the studies presented (and not all necessary

samples are/were ready yet):

- Pythia8+Delphes using latest Delphes card to simulate IDEA detector
- Cross checks performed with central samples, all looks good
- ISR/FSR/BES enabled (BES @ 198 MeV)
- Analysis performed with FCC framework based on RDataFrame (except the usage of the json files, own mcdb)

#### Signal samples:

- Nominal 125 GeV
- Off-mass samples: +/- 50, 100 MeV (as central)

#### **Background samples:**

- WW (exclusive, see next slide), ZZ

#### Systematic uncertainty samples to infer shape uncertainty (see later):

- Variation of BES parameter with +/- 6%
- Applied to backgrounds and nominal 125 GeV signal sample (assume similar uncertainty for other mass points)

### Baseline analysis





- $\rightarrow$  Muon p<sub>T</sub> > 10 GeV
- $\rightarrow$  One resonance pair 80 < m( $\mu$ , $\mu$ ) < 100 GeV
- $\rightarrow$  Recoil mass within [120, 140] GeV

Process	Generated	Events	Uncertainty	sqrt(evts)
ZH (inclusive)	10M	22813.41	47.99	151.04
WW (exclusive*)	10M	38874.94	184.16	197.17
ZZ (inclusive)	10M	27297.35	136.19	165.22

(\*) Let W's decay exclusively to leptons (e, mu, tau): **stat uncertainty reduction with factor of 3** (note: central samples done with  $W \rightarrow mu$  or  $W \rightarrow tau$  with tau $\rightarrow mu$ )

- $\rightarrow$  Events normalized to 5 /ab luminosity
- $\rightarrow$  Sample statics below expected data statistics for all processes
- $\rightarrow$  Based on preliminary cuts, to be optimized (numbers not "final")

### **Background parameterization**

### Polynomial approximation in recoil mass range of [120,140] GeV

Usage of BernStein polynomials

- Positive defined between [0,1]
- Analytical integration in Combine (faster, more stable)

Merge WW and ZZ backgrounds

- Difficult to constrain them separately using the recoil mass distribution only
- One can define control regions to constrain ZZ and/or WW backgrounds
- Depends on the impact of the ZZ/WW yields on the fit (to be studied)





### Signal parameterization (1)

### Double-sided Crystal Ball (DSCB)

- 6 free parameters + 1 normalization
- Implementation as analytical integration in Combine (faster, more stable)
- DSCB trend observed in exponential tails as well as at peak
  - Need to optimize the fit

 $\rightarrow$  Plots of other signals (124.9. 124.95, 125.05 and 125.10 GeV in backup)



### Signal parameterization (2)



DSCB fit repeated for all signals, and parameterize the fit parameters as function of mH using Spline



#### **Yields - normalization**

### Signal parameterization (3)



DSCB fit repeated for all signals, and parameterize the fit parameters as function of mH using Spline



### Statistical analysis



### Fits performed using Combine by injecting 1 "unit" of signal at 125.00 GeV, corresponding to 0.201868 pb

- Fit to Asimov dataset, let signal and background normalizations float, as well as the Higgs mass parameter mH
- Likelihood scans to extract cross sections and Higgs mass and uncertainties
- No experimental uncertainties accounted for so far  $\rightarrow$  stat-only result



### Beam Energy Spread (BES)

#### BES can be a dominant systematic uncertainty as it directly alters the recoil mass distribution

- BES set to 0.165% according to CDR  $\rightarrow$  per beam 120 +/- 0.198 GeV
- Uncertainty on BES estimated  $6\% \rightarrow +210/-187$  MeV additional smearing
- New samples generated with this variation (WW, ZZ and ZH at 125.00 GeV)

#### Signal:

- Mainly affects mass peak and normalization for DSCB
- Up and Down variations symmetrized
- 125.00 GeV generated only, assume identical uncertainty for other masses

#### Backgrounds:

- Only normalization effect, symmetrized
- WW+ZZ: 66172.3 +/- 250.7 events







### Statistical analysis wit BES



### Fits performed using Combine by injecting 1 "unit" of signal at 125.00 GeV, corresponding to 0.201868 pb

- Fit to Asimov dataset, let signal and background normalizations float, as well as the Higgs mass parameter mH
- Multiplicative Gaussian constraint term in likelihood (can float freely between +/- 1o)
- Likelihood scans to extract cross sections and Higgs mass and uncertainties



### Summary and outlook

### Analysis framework and fitting model setup and validated

#### **Optimization:**

- Signal DSCB functional form: remove biases
- Finetune cuts
- Optimization of lepton pair selection (suppress the wrong pairs)
- Include electron channel

#### Fitting model:

- Add and study relevant systematic uncertainties
- Study dependency of xsec/mH on background normalization
- Study the dominant systematic uncertainties
- Control regions to constrain relevant uncertainties (?)



## Backup

Signal DSCB fit







14

Signal DSCB fit







15

### Signal parameterization

DSCB fit repeated for all signals, and parameterize the fit parameters as function of mH using Spline



### Signal parameterization



DSCB fit repeated for all signals, and parameterize the fit parameters as function of mH using Spline

