



# System size dependence of pre-equilibrium and applicability of hydrodynamics in heavy-ion collisions

Victor E. Ambruș<sup>1</sup>, Sören Schlichting<sup>2</sup>, <u>Clemens Werthmann</u><sup>2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>West University of Timișoara, Romania<sup>2</sup> Bielefeld University, Germany<sup>3</sup> University of Wrocław, Poland

## 1 Introduction

- hydrodynamics often is central part of simulation frameworks of hadronic collisions <u>but</u>: applicability to early times or small systems is questionable
- Kinetic theory is more accurate in both of these limits

 $\Rightarrow$  <u>Our aim</u>: employ simplified kinetic theory description of transverse flow observables; compare to hydrodynamics to assess regime of applicability [1, 2]

### 5 Comparison of final state observables



### 2 Kinetic theory setup

we describe the system by a phase space distribution f of massless on-shell bosons

boost invariance; initially vanishing longitudinal momentum and transverse anisotropy

time evolution described by Boltzmann equation in conformal relaxation time approximation

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f = C_{\text{RTA}}[f] = -\frac{p^{\mu}u_{\mu}}{\tau_R}(f - f_{\text{eq}}) , \quad \tau_R = 5\frac{\eta}{s}T^{-1}$$
 (1)

• advantage: results depend only on transverse initial state geometry and a single dimensionless parameter [3]: opacity  $\hat{\gamma} \sim$  "total interaction rate"

-collects dependencies on viscosity, transverse size and energy scale

$$\hat{\gamma} = \left(5\frac{\eta}{s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{a\pi} R \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\perp}^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\right)^{1/4} \tag{2}$$

• Our initial conditions: averages of the centrality classes of Pb+Pb at 5.02 TeV [4]

-fixes R and  $\frac{dE_{\perp}^{(0)}}{d\eta}$ , so we vary  $\hat{\gamma}$  by changing  $\frac{\eta}{s}$ <u>but</u>: this is equivalent to varying system size!



**3** Equilibration & development of transverse flow



Naive hydrodynamics initialized at τ<sub>0</sub> = 0.4 − 1 fm underestimates elliptic flow ε<sub>p</sub>, vastly overestimates transverse energy dE<sub>tr</sub>/dη
scaled hydro: perfect agreement with kinetic theory at large opacities – holds down to γ̂ ≥ 4, which includes the physically relevant regime of QCD
hybrid results in good agreement at large γ̂; improve on scaled hydro at intermediate γ̂ – as seen before: switching at smaller Re<sup>-1</sup> ⇒ better agreement – requiring at most 5% disagreement: hydrodynamics applicable for ⟨Re<sup>-1</sup>⟩<sub>ε</sub> ≤ 0.75.

# 6 Regime of applicability of hydrodynamics

- timescale of onset of transverse expansion: defined by first time transverse flow velocity reaches the value  $\langle u_{\perp} \rangle_{\epsilon} = 0.1$
- -mostly independent of opacity; takes values  $\tau_{\rm Exp} \sim 0.2R$
- hydrodynamization timescale defined by drop to  $\langle \mathrm{Re}^{-1} \rangle_{\epsilon} = 0.75$
- follows a power law before transverse expansion; depends strongly on system size
- timescale ordering reversed at  $\hat{\gamma}_{\text{crit}} \sim 3 \Rightarrow \text{for } \hat{\gamma} < \hat{\gamma}_{\text{crit}}$ , hydrodynamics applicable only

- -timescale of equilibration ( $\Leftrightarrow$  drop of Re<sup>-1</sup>) depends strongly on system size
- -transverse expansion ( $\tau \sim R$ ) drives system away from equilibrium  $\Rightarrow$  small systems never fully equilibrate!
- elliptic flow  $\varepsilon_p$  builds up on similar timescales  $\tau \sim R$  for all system sizes
- $-\varepsilon_p$  continuously varies from  $\varepsilon_p = 0$  (free-streaming) to a large  $\hat{\gamma}$  limit of  $\epsilon_p \simeq 0.25$ .

## 4 Hydrodynamics

- not obvious how to compare hydrodynamics to kinetic theory, because the two descriptions behave differently during pre-equilibrium
- if initialized in the same way, kinetic theory and hydro will disagree in equilibrium - timescale of dynamics depends on local energy density  $\Rightarrow$  inhomogeneous cooling  $\Rightarrow$  decrease in eccentricities by differing amounts in different descriptions [5] • counteract pre-equilibrium differences by applying a local scaling factor to initial condition of hydrodynamics, which was calculated in Bjorken flow 1250 1250 1250 1200 1200 11501150

for  $\tau > \tau_{\text{Exp}}$  (if at all); non-equilibrium description of transverse expansion is required - in pp, pPb, most OO collisions:  $\hat{\gamma} < \hat{\gamma}_{\text{crit}}$ - in central OO collisions opacities are slightly above  $\hat{\gamma}_{\text{crit}}$ 



## 7 Conclusion

applied kinetic theory to the description of transverse flow on the full range in system size
pure hydro requires locally scaled initial condition; works only for γ ≥ 4
comparing to hybrid simulations: hydro accurate on the 5% level if Re<sup>-1</sup> ≤ 0.75

- -different initial conditions, but descriptions come into agreement during equilibration
- hybrid simulations: switching from pre-equilibrium in kinetic theory to hydrodynamics for late times
- -switching times based on Re<sup>-1</sup>, as it describes degree of equilibration
- results are more accurate if system
   closer to equilibrium when switching



• not applicable in pp and pPb collisions, but OO collisions cover the transition regime

## References

- [1] V. E. Ambrus, S. Schlichting, and C. Werthmann. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(9):094013, 2023.
  [2] V. E. Ambrus, S. Schlichting, and C. Werthmann. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130(15):152301, 2023.
- [3] A. Kurkela, U. A. Wiedemann, and B. Wu. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 79(11):965, 2019.
  [4] N. Borghini, M. Borrell, N. Feld, H. Roch, S. Schlichting, and C. Werthmann. *Phys. Rev. C*, 107(3):034905, 2023.
- [5] V. E. Ambrus, S. Schlichting, and C. Werthmann. Phys. Rev. D, 105(1):014031, 2022.

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the grant CRC-TR 211 "Strong-interaction matter under extreme conditions". Computational resources have been provided by the Paderborn Center for Parallel Computing (PC2) and the Center for Scientific Computing (CSC) at the Goethe-University of Frankfurt.