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## Overview

- Starting to work on conceptual layout for the cooling demonstrator
- Finding an area at CERN
- Establish basic lattice
- Establish feasibility of collimation system
- Establish compatibility with nuSTORM/enubet


## Site option - Rui Ximenes (CERN)

## TT10 line option (recap)

MInternational
MUON Collider
Collaboration

- First ideas proposed by Marco C. in the 1st Community meeting. TT10 line option seen as most attractive (Roberto L. presentation).
- $\mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~kW}$ ) should be easily feasible by going sufficiently underground.
- 4 MW does not appear to be a showstopper in this layout, but detailed studies will have to be performed.
- Future upgrades towards a collider and HP-SPL should be compatible with this layout.
- Experience with other facilities available

- Important to collect all requirements at this stage in order to be able to provide a first cost estimate by end of 2021 as requested by the study


## Overall layout - Rui Ximenes (CERN)

## Conceptual layout



MUC Demonstrator VERY Conceptual layout $\rightarrow$ To be taken with a "grain of salt"


CERN TT10 branch


Indicative dimensions. Model is very flexible at this stage

## Cooling channel concept



- Ongoing discussion:
- What should be the peak field? ${ }^{0.3} 3^{2}$
- Lowest emittance @ 13 T
- ~9 T may be cheaper
- It is an MRI field
- Needs consideration
- Plan for ~ 10 cryostats
- Each containing ~ 5 cells
- Lattice well-established
- Needs optimisation

- Needs modification/engineering


## Input beam for cooling channel



## Collimation System



- Chicane to do a first momentum selection
- Collimation lattice
- Section of RF to do time selection
- Need about 20-30 MeV $\rightarrow$ guess about 50 MV here
- Pions are decaying as we go - how much of a mess does this make?
- (Nb: pion lifetime is about 8 metres at 200-300 MeV)
- What about electron impurities?
- How clean do we need the beam to be?


## Collimation System




## Initial beam distribution



## Beam distribution before RF



## Beam distribution half way along RF



## Beam distribution end of RF



## Beam distribution middle of chicane



## Beam distribution end of chicane



## Beam distribution end of chicane



## Comment

- Conclusions:
- Transverse emittance is about right
- Longitudinal emittance is still too high
- Need some more RF
- Is a solenoid chicane really useful here?
- Good for large transverse emittance
- Maybe transverse emittance is manageable

