

HUNTING Invisibles: Dark sectors, Dark matter and Neutrinos

## **Twin Pati-Salam Theory** of Flavour with a TeV scale vector leptoquark Based on [arXiv:2106.03876 [hep-ph]]

Steve King, 2nd September 2021



Southampton

School of Physics

and Astronomy

Corfu Summer Institute

19th Hellenic School and Warkshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravit



## Flavour Problem



# SM Yukawa couplings

 $y_{ij}H\psi_{Li}\psi_{Rj}$ 



Other flavour problems related to Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU)...



R Aaij et al. [LHCb], [arXiv:2103.11769]

Physicists have uncovered a potential flaw in a theory that explains how the building blocks of the Universe behave.



### $b \rightarrow c\tau v$ Measurements R<sub>D</sub>, R<sub>D</sub>\*



### $b \rightarrow c\tau v$ Measurements RD, RD\*



 $\begin{aligned} O_V^L &= \left(\bar{c}\gamma^{\mu}P_Lb\right)\left(\bar{\tau}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\tau}\right) & O_S^R &= \left(\bar{c}P_Rb\right)\left(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu_{\tau}\right) \\ O_T &= \left(\bar{c}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Lb\right)\left(\bar{\tau}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\nu_{\tau}\right) & O_S^L &= \left(\bar{c}P_Lb\right)\left(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu_{\tau}\right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathsf{NP}} &= 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{SM} & \mathsf{New physics} \\ \left(1+C_V^L\right)O_V^L + C_S^RO_S^R + C_S^LO_S^L + C_TO_T \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$ 







#### D.Buttazzo, A.Greljo, G.Isidori and D.Marzocca, JHEP11(2017)044 [arXiv:1706.07808]. Vector Leptoquark U<sub>1</sub> $\mathcal{L}_U = -\frac{1}{2} U_{1,\mu\nu}^{\dagger} U^{1,\mu\nu} + M_U^2 U_{1,\mu}^{\dagger} U_1^{\mu} + g_U (J_U^{\mu} U_{1,\mu} + \text{h.c.})$ $J^{\mu}_{II} \equiv \beta_{i\alpha} \, \bar{Q}_i \gamma^{\mu} L_{\alpha} \; .$ 3.0 [1609.07138] $.9 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ AS 8 TeV 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> $b\bar{b} \to \tau\bar{\tau}$ 2.5 LHC bound $p p \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ CMS 13 2.0 $M_U \gtrsim 1 \text{ TeV}$ D 1.5 01560] $1\sigma$ **300 fb** 706.0 $2\sigma$ $q_U \lesssim 2.5$ 1.0 **1**2 0.5 Vector LQ 0.0 1.5 0.5 1.0 2.0

 $M_U$  (TeV)

D.Buttazzo, A.Greljo, G.Isidori and D.Marzocca, JHEP11(2017)044 [arXiv:1706.07808].

# Vector Leptoquark U<sub>1</sub>

 $\mathcal{L}_{U} = -\frac{1}{2} U_{1,\mu\nu}^{\dagger} U^{1,\mu\nu} + M_{U}^{2} U_{1,\mu}^{\dagger} U_{1}^{\mu} + g_{U} (J_{U}^{\mu} U_{1,\mu} + \text{h.c.})$  $J_{U}^{\mu} \equiv \beta_{i\alpha} \bar{Q}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} L_{\alpha} .$ 

#### Fit to R<sub>D</sub>

Fit to R<sub>K</sub>



### Vector Leptoquark U1 from Pati-Salam



Quark-lepton unification "leptons are fourth colour"

### Vector Leptoquark U1 from Pati-Salam



 $U_{\rm I}$  can explain both  $R_{\rm K}$  and  $R_{\rm D}$  for I TeV mass

### Vector Leptoquark U1 from Pati-Salam



U<sub>I</sub> can explain both R<sub>K</sub> and R<sub>D</sub> for I TeV mass But TeV mass not allowed due to  $K_L \rightarrow \mu e$ 



 $M_{U_1} \gtrsim 1 \text{ PeV}$ 

### Vector Leptoquark U<sub>1</sub> from Pati-Salam



U<sub>I</sub> can explain both R<sub>K</sub> and R<sub>D</sub> for I TeV mass But TeV mass not allowed due to  $K_L \rightarrow \mu e$ 

Difficult to reconcile in a UV complete PS model

$$s$$
  $\mu$   $U_1$   $U_1$   $e$ 

$$M_{U_1} \gtrsim 1 \text{ PeV}$$

### Possible UV completions

•  $SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y} + Vector-like fermions$ 

L. Di Luzio, A. Greljo, M. Nardecchia, arXiv:1708.08450

- SU(4)×U(2)<sub>L</sub>×SU(2)<sub>R</sub> + Vector-like fermions
  L. Calibbi, AC, T. Li, arXiv:1709.00692
- SU(4)×SU(4)×SU(4)

M. Bordone, C. Cornella, J. Fuentes-Martin, G. Isidori, arXiv:1712.01368

- SU(4)×SU(2)<sub>L</sub>×SU(2)<sub>R</sub> including scalar LQs and light right-handed neutrinos
   J. Heeck, D. Teresi, arXiv:1808.07492
- SU(8) might even explain  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$

S. Matsuzaki, K. Nishiwaki and K. Yamamoto, arXiv:1806.02312

SU(4)×SU(2)<sub>L</sub>×SU(2)<sub>R</sub> in RS background
 M. Blanke, AC, arXiv:1801.07256

### **Possible UV completions**

- **Andreas Crivellin**
- $SU(4) \times SU(3)' \times SU(2)_{I} \times U(1)_{V} + Vector-like fermions$ 
  - L. Di Luzio, A. Greljo, M. Nardecchia, arXiv:1708.08450

M. Blanke, AC, arXiv:1801.07256

S.F.King, [arXiv:2106.03876]

## Twin Pati-Salam



S.F.King, [arXiv:2106.03876]

### Twin Pati-Salam



An attempt to explain the origin of fermion masses as well as RK and RD simultaneously

(N.B. Due to time limitations we ignore first family and neutrino masses in this talk - see paper for full model)

Field	$SU(4)^{I}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^I$	$SU(2)^I_R$	$SU(4)^{II}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^{II}$	$SU(2)^{II}_R$
$\psi_{1,2,3}$	1	1	1	4	2	1
$\psi^c_{1,2,3}$	1	1	1	$\overline{4}$	1	$\overline{2}$

3 chiral families under second group do not couple to  $U_{\rm I}$ 

Field	$SU(4)^{I}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^I$	$SU(2)^I_R$	$SU(4)^{II}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^{II}$	$SU(2)_R^{II}$
$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,2,3} \\ a/c \end{bmatrix}$	1	1	1	$\frac{4}{4}$	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\psi_{1,2,3} \ \psi_4$	4	2	1	1	1	1
$\overline{\psi_4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	$\frac{1}{\overline{a}}$	1	1	1
$\frac{\psi_4^c}{\omega^c}$	4	1	2	1	1	1
$\psi_4$	4	T	2	I	1	T

#### 4th vector-like family under first group couple to $U_{\rm I}$

Field	$SU(4)^{I}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^I$	$SU(2)^I_R$	$SU(4)^{II}_{PS}$	$SU(2)_L^{II}$	$SU(2)^{II}_R$
$\psi_{1,2,3}$	1	1	1	4	2	1
$\psi^c_{1,2,3}$	1	1	1	$\overline{4}$	1	$\overline{2}$
$\psi_4$	4	2	1	1	1	1
$\overline{\psi_4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	1	1	1	1
$\psi_4^c$	$\overline{4}$	1	$\overline{2}$	1	1	1
$\overline{\psi_4^c}$	4	1	2	1	1	1
$\phi$	4	2	1	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	1
$\overline{\phi}$	$\overline{4}$	1	$\overline{2}$	4	1	2
Н	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	1	4	1	2
$\overline{H}$	4	1	2	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	1

- Higgs transform under both groups and generate the mixing
- No Higgs h(1,2,2) under second group so no Yukawa coupling







3rd family

23 block

Under 4321 have a personal Higgs for each mass



 $\frac{g_4}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} + \text{H.c.} \right) U_{1\mu} \quad \text{Vector Leptoquark}$ 

no couplings to chiral quarks and leptons



no couplings to chiral quarks and leptons

$$\frac{g_4}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} + \text{H.c.} \right) \underbrace{U_{1\mu}} \quad \text{Vector Leptoquark} \\ + \frac{g_4 g_s}{g_3} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} T^a Q_{L4} - \frac{g_3^2}{g_4^2} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} T^a Q_{Li} \right) \underbrace{g_{\mu}'^a}_{g_{\mu}} \quad \text{coloron} \qquad \mathbf{Z'} \\ + \frac{\sqrt{3} g_4 g_Y}{\sqrt{2} g_1} \left( \frac{1}{6} \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{L4} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} - \frac{g_1^2}{9g_4^2} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{Li} + \frac{g_1^2}{3g_4^2} \bar{L}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} L_{Li} \right) \underbrace{Z_{\mu}'}_{z_{\mu}}$$

no couplings to chiral quarks and leptons

$$\frac{g_{4}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} + \text{H.c.} \right) U_{1\mu} \quad \text{Vector Leptoquark} \\ + \frac{g_{4}g_{s}}{g_{3}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} T^{a} Q_{L4} - \frac{g_{3}^{2}}{g_{4}^{2}} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} T^{a} Q_{Li} \right) g_{\mu}^{'a} \quad \text{coloron} \quad Z' \\ + \frac{\sqrt{3} g_{4}g_{Y}}{\sqrt{2} g_{1}} \left( \frac{1}{6} \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{L4} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} - \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{9g_{4}^{2}} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{Li} + \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{3g_{4}^{2}} \bar{L}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} L_{Li} \right) Z_{\mu}^{'}$$

suppressed couplings to chiral quarks and leptons

no couplings to chiral quarks and leptons

$$\frac{g_{4}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} + \text{H.c.} \right) U_{1\mu} \quad \text{Vector Leptoquark} \\ + \frac{g_{4}g_{s}}{g_{3}} \left( \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} T^{a} Q_{L4} - \frac{g_{3}^{2}}{g_{4}^{2}} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} T^{a} Q_{Li} \right) g_{\mu}^{'a} \quad \text{coloron} \quad Z' \\ + \frac{\sqrt{3} g_{4}g_{Y}}{\sqrt{2} g_{1}} \left( \frac{1}{6} \bar{Q}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{L4} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{L4} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L4} - \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{9g_{4}^{2}} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{Li} + \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{3g_{4}^{2}} \bar{L}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} L_{Li} \right) Z_{\mu}^{'}$$

suppressed couplings to chiral quarks and leptons

TeV masses

#### **Typical Benchmark**

 $g_4 \approx 3, g_3 \approx g_s \approx 1, g_1 \approx g_Y \approx 0.36,$ 

 $M_{Z'} \approx 1.4 \text{ TeV}, \quad M_{U_1} \approx 1.6 \text{ TeV}, M_{g'} \approx 2.0 \text{ TeV}$ 

#### **Effective Vector Leptoquark**



After diagonalising mass matrices  $\rightarrow g_U \beta_{i\alpha} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L\alpha} U_{1\mu}$ 

#### **Effective Vector Leptoquark**



After diagonalising mass matrices  $\rightarrow g_U \beta_{i\alpha} \bar{Q}_{Li} \gamma^{\mu} L_{L\alpha} U_{1\mu}$ 



#### **Effective Vector Leptoquark**



### Conclusion

- Fermion mass and mixing is a great mystery of SM, which may be related to recent B physics anomalies
- $R_K$  and  $R_D$  anomalies may be simultaneously explained by TeV scale vector leptoquark  $U_1$  from Pati-Salam
- Pati-Salam usually broken above PeV to avoid FCNCs so this requires non-trivial UV completion
- The twin PS model yields a TeV scale vector leptoquark and explains fermion masses (usually not addressed)
- But the predicted mass matrices do not yield large enough couplings to explain R<sub>D</sub> given the current data