Corfu, September 2 2021

Standard Model prediction of the B_c lifetime

Presented by Jason Aebischer

University of California San Diego



Motivation

Procedure

3 Setup

- 4 Uncertainties
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

based on: 2105.02988, 2108.10285 in collaboration with Benjamín Grinstein

Motivation

- 2 Procedure
- 3 Setup
- Our Content of Cont
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

LFU violation in charged currents

Measurement

 R_D and R_{D^*}

BaBar: 1205.5442, 1303.0571, LHCb: 1506.08614, 1708.08856 Belle: 1507.03233, 1607.07923, 1612.00529



NP from τ_{B_c}

 ${\it B_c}
ightarrow au
u_ au$

Not exceed τ_{B_c}

 $Br(B_c \to \tau \nu_{\tau})$

Pseudoscalar scenarios constrained

Alonso/Grinstein/Camalich: 1611.06676

Polarization observables

 $F_L(D^*)$, τ -polarization

Blanke/Crivellin/de Boer/Kitahara/Moscati: 1811.09603 Blanke/Crivellin//Kitahara/Moscati/Nierste: 1811.09603

Status

Experimental value $\tau_{B_c} = 0.510(9) \text{ps}$

LHCb: 1401.6932, 1411.6899 CMS:1710.08949

Theoretical predictions

Operator Product Expansion (OPE)

QCD sum rules Potential Models Beneke/Buchalla(BB): hep-ph/9601249 Bigi: hep-ph/9510325 Chang/Chen/Feng/Li: hep-ph/0007162

Kiselev/Kovalsky/Likhoded: hep-ph/0002127

Gershtein/Kiselev/Likhoded/Tkabladze: hep-ph/9504319

OPE result from BB

 $au_{{\it B}_{c}}=0.52\,{
m ps}, ~~0.4\,{
m ps}< au_{{\it B}_{c}}<0.7\,{
m ps}$

Beneke/Buchalla(BB): hep-ph/9601249

Overview of BB

OS scheme m_b^{OS}, m_c^{OS}

Error estimate Vary 1.4 GeV $< m_c <$ 1.6 GeV fix m_b by B_d lifetime

Penguin contributions

Neglected

Motivation

Procedure

3 Setup

- Our Content of Cont
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary



Effective Hamiltonian

At μ_W , RGE running

OPE

At $\mu_{\textit{low}}$

Non-Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)

Integrate out (anti-)quark fields

EFT approach



Energy scale

Optical Theorem

Forward scattering

 $\Gamma_{B_c} = rac{1}{2M_{B_c}} \langle B_c | \mathcal{T} | B_c
angle$

Transition Operator $\mathcal{T} = \operatorname{Im} i \int d^4x \ T \ \mathcal{H}_{eff}(x) \mathcal{H}_{eff}(0)$

OPE

 $\mathcal{T}=$ series of local operators

Transition operator

$$\mathcal{T}_{Q} = C_{Q}^{(3)} \bar{Q}Q + C_{Q}^{(5)} \tfrac{1}{m_{Q}^{2}} g_{s} \bar{Q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q G^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{i} C_{Q,i}^{(6)} \tfrac{1}{m_{Q}^{2}} O_{i}^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(\tfrac{1}{m_{Q}^{4}})^{(6)}$$

Wilson coefficients

Spectator decays, WA, PI

Contributions $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\overline{b}} + \mathcal{T}_{c} + \mathcal{T}_{WA} + \mathcal{T}_{PI}$

Contributions

 $ar{m{b}}$ -decays $ar{b}
ightarrow ar{c}u(ar{s}+ar{d}), ar{c}c(ar{s}+ar{d}), ar{c}\ell
u$

 $c ext{-decays} \ c o (s+d)u(ar{s}+ar{d}),(s+d)\ell
u$

Weak Annihilation (WA), Pauli Interference (PI) 1-loop graphs

b-decay



c-decay



WA



ΡΙ



- Motivation
- 2 Procedure
- Setup
- 4 Uncertainties
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

Improvements over BB

Mass schemes MS, meson, Upsilon

Spin symmetry Relates matrix elements

Typos in literature Corrected by KLR Bagan/Ball/Fiol/Gosdzinsky: hep-ph/9502338

Krinner/Lenz/Rauh: 1305.5390

Penguin contributions

Included

Better input values α_s, f_{B_c} , CKM parameters

Mass schemes

MS scheme

 m_b^{OS} and m_c^{OS} in terms of $\overline{m}_b(\mu_b)$ and $\overline{m}_c(\mu_c)$

Meson scheme

 m_b^{OS} in terms of m_{Υ} m_c^{OS} in terms of m_b^{OS} and $\overline{m}_B - \overline{m}_D$

Upsilon scheme

Like meson scheme For *c* decays: m_c^{OS} in terms of Upsilon expansion of $m_{J/\psi}$

- Motivation
- 2 Procedure
- 3 Setup

Our Content of Cont

- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

Uncertainties

Non-Perturbative (n.p.)

velocity expansion

scale uncertainty

 μ dependence

Parametric

V_{cb} etc

Strange quark mass

 $m_s \neq 0$

- Motivation
- 2 Procedure
- 3 Setup
- 4 Uncertainties
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

Results

Massles strange quark

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = (1.58 \pm 0.40|^{\mu} \pm 0.08|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.02|^{\overline{m}} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\text{meson}} = (1.77 \pm 0.25|^{\mu} \pm 0.20|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\text{Upsilon}} = (2.51 \pm 0.19|^{\mu} \pm 0.21|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Massive strange quark

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = (1.51 \pm 0.38|^{\mu} \pm 0.08|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.02|^{\overline{m}} \pm 0.01|^{m_s} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\text{meson}} = (1.70 \pm 0.24|^{\mu} \pm 0.20|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.01|^{m_s} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \\ &\Gamma_{B_c}^{\text{Upsilon}} = (2.40 \pm 0.19|^{\mu} \pm 0.21|^{\text{n.p.}} \pm 0.01|^{m_s} \pm 0.01|^{V_{cb}}) \text{ ps}^{-1} \end{split}$$

$$(\Gamma_{B_c}^{exp} = 1.961 \pm 35 \text{ ps}^{-1})$$

Possible Improvements

Higher order in α_s

To reduce $\mu\text{-dependence}$

Higher order in v

To reduce n.p. uncertainty

Matrix elements Lattice calculation

- Motivation
- 2 Procedure
- 3 Setup
- Our Content of Cont
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- 7 Summary

Novel way to determine Γ_{B_c}

General width for meson H_Q

$$\Gamma(H_Q) = \Gamma_Q^{(0)} + \Gamma^{n.p.}(H_Q) + \Gamma^{WA+PI}(H_Q) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{m_A^4})$$

Taking difference between *B*, *D*, *B_c* $\Gamma(B) + \Gamma(D) - \Gamma(B_c) = \Gamma^{n.p.}(B) + \Gamma^{n.p.}(D) - \Gamma^{n.p.}(B_c) + \Gamma^{WA+PI}(B) + \Gamma^{WA+PI}(D) - \Gamma^{WA+PI}(B_c)$

Advantage

quark decay uncertainties drop out

Results

$$(B^0, D^0)$$
 and (B^+, D^0)
 $\Gamma_{B_c} = 3.03 \pm 0.51 \text{ ps}^{-1}$

$$(\textbf{B^0}, \textbf{D^+})$$
 and $(\textbf{B^+}, \textbf{D^+})$
 $\Gamma_{B_c} = 3.33 \pm 1.29 \text{ ps}^{-1}$

Discrepancy with experiment

 $\Gamma_{\it B_c}^{\it exp}=1.961\pm35~\rm ps^{-1}$

Possible explanations

Underestimated uncertainties

NNLO, $1/m^4$, parametric etc.

Eye graph Not included in lattice calculation

Quark hadron duality

violated

- Motivation
- 2 Procedure
- 3 Setup
- Our Content of Cont
- 6 Results
- 6 Novel way
- Ø Summary

Summary

OPE

Agreement with experiment: large scheme dependence

Improvements NNLO, 1/m⁴, lattice results

Novel way Discrepancy: underestimation, eye-graph, duality violation?

Upsilon scheme

$$\begin{split} \bar{\boldsymbol{b}} \text{ decays, WA, PI} \\ \frac{1}{2}m_{\Upsilon} &= m_b^{OS} \left[1 - \frac{(\alpha_s C_F)^2}{8} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left[\left(\ln \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha_s C_F m_b^{OS}} \right) + \frac{11}{6} \right) \beta_0 - 4 \right] + \cdots \right\} \right] \\ m_b^{OS} - m_c^{OS} &= \overline{m}_B - \overline{m}_D + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \left(\frac{1}{m_b^{OS}} - \frac{1}{m_c^{OS}} \right) \quad \overline{m}_B, \overline{m}_D = \text{(iso)spin-averaged masses} \end{split}$$

c decays

$$\frac{1}{2}m_{J/\Psi} = m_c^{OS}\left[1 - \frac{(\alpha_s C_F)^2}{8}\left\{1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left[\left(\ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha_s C_F m_c^{OS}}\right) + \frac{11}{6}\right)\beta_0 - 4\right] + \cdots\right\}\right]$$

strange mass $m_s = 0$ or $\overline{\text{MS}}$