

Flavour at FCC(-ee)

Jernej F. Kamenik

Main reference: FCC CDR Vol 1. Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 6, 474 (with updates)



Univerza v Ljubljani





Scope of Flavour Physics @ FCC(-ee)

- Flavour physics reach with O(10¹³) Z decays (10⁸ W, 10⁶ Higgs, top)
 - rare decays of c- and b-hadrons and CP violation in the heavyquark sector
 - rare lepton decays
 - rare Z, (W, h, t?) decays



 In the context of ultimate potential of the LHCb upgrade and Belle II experiments.

Working po	oint	Lumi. / IP $[10^{34} \text{ cm}]$	$^{-2}.\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	Tota	al lumi	. (2 IF	Ps)	Run time	Physics goal
Z first pha	ase	100		2	6 ab^{-1}	/year		2	
Z second phase		200		52	2 ab^{-1}	/year		2	150 ab^{-1}
=	Part	icle production (10^9)	B^0	<i>B</i> ⁻	B_s^0	Λ_b	$c\overline{c}$	$\tau^- \tau^+$	
-		Belle II	27.5	27.5	n/a	n/a	65	45	
-		FCC-ee	400	400	100	100	800	220	

CHAPTER 7

Flavor physics circa 2030: possible scenarios









Part 1: CKM determination

- Complementary measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ (and $|V_{ub}|$)
 - \bullet CKM fit requires knowledge of $\left|V_{ub}/V_{cb}\right|$
 - \bullet Th. predictions of CPV in K decays rely on $|V_{\text{cb}}|$



Part 1: CKM determination

• Complementary measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ (and $|V_{ub}|$)

• using
$$B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu \nu, \tau \nu$$
 $Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}(\gamma))_{SM} = 1.13(1) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{f_B}{0.2 \text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{ub}|}{4 \times 10^{-3}}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}\right]_{SM^*} = 0.782 \left|\frac{V_{ub} f_B}{V_{cb} f_{B_c}}\right|^2$

 Theoretically cleaner compared to exclusive semileptonic decays

Part 1: CKM determination

• Complementary measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ (and $|V_{ub}|$)

► using
$$B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu \nu$$
, $T\nu$ $Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}(\gamma))_{SM} = 1.13(1) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{f_B}{0.2 \text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{ub}|}{4 \times 10^{-3}}\right)^2$
 $\left[\frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(B^+_c \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}\right]_{SM^*} = 0.782 \left|\frac{V_{ub}f_B}{V_{cb}f_{B_c}}\right|^2$

► Exp. feasibility studies of B_c→τν: important normalizing mode

 $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$

relative signal yield precision O(few %)

Amhis et al., 2105.13330 Zheng et al., 2007.08234



Part 1: CKM determination

• Complementary measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ (and $|V_{ub}|$)

• Using
$$B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu \nu, \tau \nu$$
 $Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}(\gamma))_{SM} = 1.13(1) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{f_B}{0.2 \text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{ub}|}{4 \times 10^{-3}}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}\right]_{SM^*} = 0.782 \left|\frac{V_{ub} f_B}{V_{cb} f_{B_c}}\right|^2$

► Exp. feasibility studies of B_c→τν: important normalizing mode

 $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$

alternative test of LFU in c.c.
 B decays

Amhis et al., 2105.13330 Zheng et al., 2007.08234 see also LHCb, 1711.05623



 $R(D^{(*)}) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{\Gamma(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)}$

Part 1: CKM determination

• Complementary measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ (and $|V_{ub}|$)

► using
$$B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu \nu, \tau \nu$$
 $Br(B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}(\gamma))_{SM} = 1.13(1) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{f_B}{0.2 \text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{ub}|}{4 \times 10^{-3}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(B^+_c \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu)}\right)_{SM^*} = 0.782 \left|\frac{V_{ub} f_B}{V_{cb} f_{B_c}}\right|^2$

► Exp. feasibility studies of B_c→τν: important normalizing mode

 $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$

alternative test of LFU in c.c.
 B decays

Amhis et al., 2105.13330 Zheng et al., 2007.08234 see also LHCb, 1711.05623



- Part 1: CKM determination
- Complementary measurements of |V_{cb}| (and |V_{ub}|)
 Beyond Z-pole?
 - using $B_{u,c} \rightarrow \mu v, \tau v$
 - W^+ using on-shell W→cb
 - $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-) \sim 10 \text{pb}$ (in energy range of FCC-ee)
 - With SM value of $\mathcal{B}(W^+ \to c\bar{b}) \sim 10^{-3}$ a precision of $\delta V_{cb}/V_{cb} \sim 0.1\%$ might be within reach...
 - Relies crucially on efficient c- and b-jet identification

Part 2: CPV in $\Delta B=2$

Observable / Experiments	Current W/A	Belle II (50 /ab)	LHCb-U1 (23/fb)	FCC-ee
Mixing-related inputs				
$\sin(2\beta)$	0.691 ± 0.017	0.691 ± 0.008	0.691 ± 0.009	
ϕ_s (uncert. rad 10^{-2})	-1.5 ± 3.5	n/a	-3.65 ± 0.05	
$\Delta m_d (\mathrm{ps}^{-1})$	0.5065 ± 0.0020	same	same	
$\Delta m_s (\mathrm{ps}^{-1})$	17.757 ± 0.021	same	same	
$a_{\rm fs}^d (10^{-4}, {\rm precision})$	23 ± 26	-7 ± 15	-7 ± 15	
$a_{\rm fs}^s$ (10 ⁻⁴ , precision)	-48 ± 48	n/a	0.3 ± 15	

- Uncertainties in most $\Delta B=2$ observables will start to be systematics/theory dominated
- Notable exceptions: ϕ_{s} , $a_{fs} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to B^{0}_{q} \to f) \Gamma(B^{0}_{q} \to \bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to \bar{f})}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to B^{0}_{q} \to f) + \Gamma(B^{0}_{q} \to \bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to \bar{f})}$

0 % A1

$F_{a_{fs}} C \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0})} F_{q} \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0}) \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to \bar{f})$ $F_{a_{fs}} C \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0})} F_{q} \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0}) \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to \bar{f})$ $F_{a_{fs}} C \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0})} F_{q} \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_{q}^{0}) \to \bar{B}_{q}^{0} \to \bar{f})$



- Uncertainties in most ΔB = systematics/theory domin

average PDG 2018 $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \quad 0.02 \\ A_{SL}(B^0) \end{array}$ -0.02 -0.01 0 • Notable exceptions: ϕ_{s} , $a_{fs} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to B^{0}_{q} \to f) - \Gamma(B^{0}_{q} \to \bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to \bar{f})}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^{0}_{q} \to B^{0}_{q} \to f) + \Gamma(B^{0}_{a} \to \bar{B}^{0}_{a} \to \bar{f})}$

6 % A

Part 2: CPV in $\Delta B=2$

Observable / Experiments	Current W/A	Belle II (50 /ab)	LHCb-U1 (23/fb)	FCC-ee	
Mixing-related inputs					
$\sin(2eta)$	0.691 ± 0.017	0.691 ± 0.008	0.691 ± 0.009	0.691 ± 0.005	
ϕ_s (uncert. rad 10^{-2})	-1.5 ± 3.5	n/a	-3.65 ± 0.05	-3.65 ± 0.01	
$\Delta m_d (\mathrm{ps}^{-1})$	0.5065 ± 0.0020	same	same	st ne	
$\Delta m_s ~({\rm ps}^{-1})$	17.757 ± 0.021	same	same	same	
$a_{\rm fs}^d (10^{-4}, {\rm precision})$	23 ± 26	-7 ± 15	-7 ± 15	-7 ± 2	
$a_{\rm fs}^s$ (10 ⁻⁴ , precision)	-48 ± 48	n/a	0.3 ± 15	0.3 ± 2	

- Significant improvement in both observables @FCC-ee
- Observation of CPV in B_d mixing possible (a_{fs})
 S. Monteil @ FCC week 2019
- Not included ($\alpha_s, \gamma_s, \beta_s$) from ($B_s \rightarrow D_s K, B \rightarrow DK, B_s \rightarrow \varphi \varphi$)

Aleksan, Oliver & Perez, 2107.02002, 2107.05311 talks by R. Aleksan, E.Perez

Combining 1 & 2: Impact on CPV BSM in $\Delta B=2$ Model-independent parametrization of BSM in $\Delta F=2$ $\langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}+\mathrm{NP}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle = \langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle \left(1 + h_q e^{i\sigma_q}\right)$

Assumptions:

- NP only at short distances (UV)
- CKM unitary (& determined from NP free obs.)

Combining 1 & 2: Impact on CPV BSM in $\Delta B=2$

Model-independent parametrization of BSM in $\Delta F=2$

 $\langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM+NP}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle = \langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle \left(1 + h_q e^{i\sigma_q} \right)$



p-value







The past (2013, top left) and present (top right) constraints on UBS (conarios) where $h_B \equiv h_d = h_s$, over plots show future sensitivities for the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage I and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II and Stage II scenarios described in the text, assuming range of the Stage I and Stage II and Stage II and Stage I and St

$$\overline{\langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}+\mathrm{NP}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle} = \langle B_q | \mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}^{\mathrm{SM}} | \bar{B}_q \rangle \left(1 + h_q e^{i\sigma_q} \right)$$

ution given by Eq. (2) in B_d and B_s mixings at I are summarized in Table II. For K mixing, the K regions in Fig. 5 complicate the interpretation is of NP scales. If we assum Scharger Versel (CD will $h_K > 2$ as discussed in Sec. IV, we get sensitivity TeV $h_{0.3} = 100$ $M^{1/3}$ tree level (one loop) for CK Muplings, and up $i_{ij} = 9 \times F^{1/3}$ TeV $h_{ij} = 10^{2}$ AeV) at rel (one loop) for non-hierarchical couplings.

r in this paper we assumed that future measureagree with the set of the se



Part 3: Rare b-hadron decays to taus

Motived by current intriguing exp. situation in rare B decays



Part 3: Rare b-hadron decays to taus

Motived by current intriguing exp. situation in rare B decays

• FCC-ee (unique) probe of SM predictions for $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \tau^+ \tau^ R_{K^+}^{\mu\tau} = 0.87 \pm 0.02$, $R_{K^0}^{\mu\tau} = 0.87 \pm 0.02$, $15 \text{ GeV}^2 < q^2 < 22 \text{ GeV}^2$ J.F.K. et al., 1705.11106 Li & Liu, 2012.00665 $R_{K^{*+}}^{\mu\tau} = 2.44 \pm 0.09$, $R_{K^{*0}}^{\mu\tau} = 2.45 \pm 0.08$, $15 \text{ GeV}^2 < q^2 < 19.2 \text{ GeV}^2$.

+ Complete kinematical reconstruction

- Access to angular observables, tau polarization
- Detailed study of backgrounds & detector requirements in progress
 see talk by T. Miralles

Part 3: Rare b-hadron decays to taus

Motived by current intriguing exp. situation in rare B decays

• FCC-ee (unique) probe of SM predictions for B \rightarrow K^(*) τ + τ -

J.F.K. et al., 1705.11106 Li & Liu, 2012.00665

• Potentially also complementary leptonic mode $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^ BR(B_s \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (7.73 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-7}$ $BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8}$ BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8}

(Expected sensitivity at Belle II to BRs of O $(10^{-4}) \sim O(10^{-5})$)

see also LHCb-CONF-2016-011

Part 3: Rare b-hadron decays to taus, neutrinos

Motived by current intriguing exp. situation in rare B decays

• FCC-ee (unique) probe of SM predictions for B \rightarrow K^(*) T⁺T⁻

J.F.K. et al., 1705.11106 Li & Liu, 2012.00665

• Potentially also complementary leptonic mode $B_{(S)} \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^ BR(B_s \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (7.73 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-7}$ $BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8}$ BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8} BR(B_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (2.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-8}

(Expected sensitivity at Belle II to BRs of O (10⁻⁴) ~ O(10⁻⁵))

see also LHCb-CONF-2016-011

• Potential improvement also on $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}vv$ (beyond Belle II) see talk by M. Kenzie

Insert signal and smear track momenta

• In these plots, assume $Br(Z \rightarrow \tau \mu) = 10^{-7}$, i.e. 100,000 muons







Sensitivity to signal:

- Since number of background events is high, use primitive estimator: s/\sqrt{b}
- **s** and **b** are number of signal and background events, respectively, in signal region
 - For now, chose signal region as +1 (lose to optimal cut value μ^+) $< 10^{-9} 10^{-10}$
 - Eventually one will do more sophisticated statistical analysis, but for now...
- 95% c.l. corresponds approximately to number of signal events equal: $s_{95} = 2\sqrt{b}$

 Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen
 1st FCC Physics Workshop
 19 January 2017

 at least 3 orders of magnitude

M. Dam, SciPostPhys.Proc.1,041(2019) see also De Romeri et al. JHEP 1504 (2015) 051

$x \neq p_{\mu}/p_{\text{beam}}$ | T decays

lliana & Masip, hep-ph/0207328 X. Marcano, J. Roy, 2107.10273 (& talk by X. Marcano)



Access to genuinely new flavor phenomena by Exploring large ECC ee Bergy? Access to genuinely new flavor phenomena by

Part 4: Flavour violating Z decays

- Lepton flavour violating processes $Z \rightarrow e\tau, \tau\mu$
- Similar possibility in the quark sector? i.e. $Z \rightarrow j_b j_{\not b}$
 - Motivation: Probing FCNC Z-penguins directly

c.f. Isidori & Guadagnoli, 1302.3909

• In SM $\mathcal{B}(Z \to s \bar{b}) \sim 10^{-8}~$ - could be probed to 1% level?

Need very efficient b-, s-tagging!

	no PID	$\epsilon_K = 95\%$	$\epsilon_K = 90\%$
ϵ_s	7×10^{-2}	4×10^{-2}	3×10^{-2}
ϵ_b	3×10^{-4}	4×10^{-5}	5×10^{-5}

Preliminary study in context of LC Duarte-Campderros et al., 1811.09636

				l vs. 3 prongs	3.2 × 1010		hheehe	Decay	Current b
				3 vs. 3 prong	2.8× 109			_ τ -> μγ	4.4 × 1
ECC on flavour phy			l vs. 5 prong Y	2.1 × 10 ⁸	CC-ee	sensitivity	τ -> 3μ	2 × 10	
TOO-ee navour priy		7 ->	l vs. 7 prong e	< 67,000		0-8			
	3×10^{12}		- 7 >	I vs 9 prong	?		109		
.cays	5 × 10	tau nhysics	Ζ	μι IZX	TU ⁻⁰	/^	10^{-7}		+
	1.3 × 1011	tad priyoloo	Z ->					ICC-EE Sys	L
Igs	3.2 × 1010	l tou proportio	Doca		1//6.86	+/- 0.12	0.004	0.1	
		a tau propertie:	Deca	Electron BF [%]	17.82 +	/- 0.05	0.0001	0.003	
lg	2.0X TU'	eays could be	τ->	Muon BF	17.39 +	/- 0.05	0.0001	0.003	
g	2.1×10^{8}	ningd morg	τ->	Lifetime [fs]	290.3 -	-/- 0.5	0.005	0.04	
g	< 67,000			•					
g	?	ЭІУ		S 17.9	90- Taday (a	019)			
8	•] (nni	Today (2	.018)			
	Interes	sting implication			35 -		x -		
	for I FI	I (in tau decay	- <u>-</u>	e syst 🚡	30-	\neg			
]	1776.86 -	-7-0.12 COC 0.004	0) ().	FCC-ee	2			
[%]	Allwicher	r, Isidori, Semilovic, 2109.038	.g. 0.(33	003 17.7	75 -	1			
	170,3 9 a∰) Fı	(<u>-Soil</u> 95stPhys. Proo000 41(201 ur Phys. J. Plus 136, 963 (202	19) ().(21)	003 17.7	70			1	
]	290.3 +	/- 0.5 talk00/5A. Lusia	ani (),	.04		Lepton uni m _τ = 1776.8	versality with 86 ± 0.12 MeV		

17.65

289

290

291

т lifetime [fs]

• Would possibly require improved calculations

Conclusions

- FCC-ee could be a powerful and competitive probe of flavour physics post-2030
 - FCC-ee can compete favourably with ultimate precision of LHCb and Belle II
 - There are processes for which FCC-ee is unique
 - Luminosity is key. Many measurements reported here are statistically limited?!

Conclusions

- FCC-ee could be a powerful and competitive probe of flavour physics post-2030
- Effort underway to understand exp. precision with which rare decays of c- and b-hadrons and CP violation in heavy-quark sector & LFV processes could be measured
 - Flavour Physics defines shared (vertexing, tracking, calorimetry) and specific (hadronic PID) detector requirements
 - In the coming phase important interplay of physics performance with detector concepts
 - On theory side: go beyond benchmark modes fill in possible gaps

Conclusions

- FCC-ee could be a powerful and competitive probe of flavour physics post-2030
- Effort underway to understand exp. precision with which rare decays of c- and b-hadrons and CP violation in heavy-quark sector & LFV processes could be measured
- Less explored areas include flavour studies using top & Higgs decays, spectroscopy, quarkonium physics, flavor conversion @ high-pT
 - Examples: top & Higgs decays to exclusive hadronic final states see e.g. dilepton spectra @ FCC-hh see e.g. Garland et al., 2112.05127 talk by S. Jager