<u>Observation</u> of a <u>Centrality-Dependent</u> <u>Dijet Asymmetry</u> in <u>Lead-Lead Collisions</u> at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC [arXiv:1011.6182 (hep-ex)]

a.k.a. "that jet quenching paper from ATLAS"

Arturo Sanchez (on behalf of group D)

CLASHEP 2011 - Natal-Brazil

Heavy ion collisions and the Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP)

In the early universe, matter was found in a state where quarks and gluons were deconfined degrees of freedom (i.e. the QGP).





The matter generated in heavy-ion collisions can reach temperatures of the order of 4 trillion degrees Celsius, enough to induce the QGP phase transition.

se medium



Transverse momentum conservation in hard scattering after Heavy-Ion Collision. In the vacuum: angular correlations in final state p_T .

Ideal gas

3 not an ideal gas: it is a coupled liquid (effects of color on and quark masses).

cle inside the QGP suffers atterings.

loss by "jet quenching" I by Bjorken (1982)



One lesson from RHIC and one motivation for LHC



At LHC: expected to be closer to theoretical assumptions (equilibrium smaller α_s). \rightarrow "Bigger is better!"

	SPS	RHIC	LHC
$\sqrt{{\sf S}_{\sf NN}}$ (GeV)	17	200	2760
T/T _C	1.1	1.9	2.7
ε (GeV/fm ³)	3	5	14
т _{QGP} (fm/c)	< 2	2-4	6







low event rate → **Minimum Bias** Trigger Scintillator coincidences + Primary vertex requirement

To further reduce bias, additional signals from ZDC and LUCID are also included

Jet reconstruction and underlying event subtraction

- Take advantage of high granularity of calorimeters with towers of $\Delta \eta \propto \Delta \Phi = 0.1 \propto 0.1$
- Towers are weighted using energy density dependent factors
 - Correct for calorimeter non compensation.
 - Other energy losses
- Towers used as input for infrared safe anti-kt jet algorithm with R=0.4

• Subtract underlying event by averaging transverse energy depositions over Φ in regions of $\Delta \eta = 0.1$.



$$E_{corr} = E_{meas} - \rho A$$

- Event structure, topology unchanged by subtraction.
- Four-momentum of the jets is recalculated after corrections





Clear asymmetry

Event Selection

- Jet |η| < 2.8
- Leading jet E_T > 100 GeV
- Second jet $E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$
- $\Delta \phi > \pi/2$ between jets

1.7 μb^{-1} yields 1693 events

Centrality



Centrality is related to particle multiplicity.

- Measure centrality using ΣE_T in forward calorimeter
 (3.2 < |η| < 4.9) to avoid biasing the measurement (|η| < 2.8).
- Asymmetry measured in bins of centrality.

Asymmetry versus centrality

 $A_{1} = (E_{T1} - E_{T2})/(E_{T1} + E_{T2})$

more central events



HIJING + PYTHIA (MC) agree with p+p data. Clear discrepancy with Pb+Pb data. Asymmetry not from underlying event jet. Quenching not included in HIJING.

Clear asymmetry observed, now what?

Are we sure this observation is not due to detector effects and/or biases from analysis (objects)?

Cross checks

Detector effects



Jets uniformly distributed



→ Asymmetry not from underlying event

Recoil muons and more



Conclusions

<u>Observation</u> of a <u>Centrality-Dependent Dijet Asymmetry</u> in <u>Lead-Lead Collisions</u> at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC

Paper says that asymmetries *"may point to an interpretation in terms of strong jet energy loss in a hot, dense medium"* (i.e. QGP).

Possible further studies

In the opposite hemisphere, study

- particle multiplicities
- momentum distribution
- \rightarrow other mechanisms explaining the asymmetry?

Implementation of jet-medium interactions in MC simulations \rightarrow comparison to Pb-Pb data





backup

Monte Carlo

- PYTHIA (event generator) + HIJING (subsequent energy flow without jet quenching).
- Data are compared with MC and with pp collisions.

Jet shape



Leading jet: no dependence Sub-leading jet: slight dependence these jets are softer! (understood)

Track jets



Subtraction bias



Inclusive jet pt spectrum



How to build a jet in ATLAS

- Take advantage of high granularity of calorimeters with towers of $\Delta \eta \propto \Delta \Phi = 0.1 \propto 0.1$
- Towers are weighted using energy density dependent factors
 - Correct for calorimeter non compensation.
 - Other energy loses
- Towers used as input for infrared safe anti-kt algorithm. R=0.4
- Subtract underlying event by averaging transverse energy depositions over Φ in regions of $\Delta \eta = 0.1$.
 - Avoid bias in the energy density by excluding jets with D > 5 from average.



$$D = E_{\max}^{tower} / \left\langle E_T^{tower} \right\rangle > 5$$

- Event structure, topology unchanged by subtraction.
- Four-momentum of the jets is recalculated after corrections

Missing Energy Resolution



The MET resolution shows the same behavior as in proton-proton collisions.

Plus: none of the events in the Jet selected sample was found to have an anomalously large MET.

