Overview on the LHeC and FCC-he: Physics and Accelerator

Christian Schwanenberger

DESY and University of Hamburg

Universität Hamburg
DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE
QUANTUM UNIVERSE

XXIX International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects

Santiago de Compostela

03 May 2022



Circles in a circle
W Kandinsky

Special thanks to M. Klein

Linac-Ring Collider, LHeC and FCC-eh



- operated synchronously with HL-LHC:
  e beam: 50 GeV × p beam: 7 TeV:
  $\sqrt{s}$=1.2 TeV

J. Phys. G 48, 11, 110501 (2021)

- operation: 2035+
- cost: O(1) BCHF
- luminosity of 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

- operated synchronously with FCC-hh:
  e beam: 60 GeV × p beam: 50 TeV:
  $\sqrt{s}$=3.5 TeV
- operation: 2045+
- cost: O(1-2) BCHF

FCC CDR:
Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019) - Physics
Eur. Phys. J. ST 228, no. 4, 755 (2019) - FCC-hh/eh

Energy Recovering Linac

e beam: 50, 60 GeV



L_{int} = 1-2 ab^{-1} (1000×HERA!)

LHeC CDRs:
arXiv:1206.2913, J. Phys. G 39 075001 (2012)
arXiv:2007.14491, J. Phys. G 48, 11, 110501 (2011)

FCC-eh



The Large Hadron-Electron Collider at the HL-LHC



J. Phys. G 48, 11, 110501 (2021)

5 pages summary:

ECFA Newsletter #5



O. Brüning, M. Klein

Following the Plenary ECFA meeting, 13 July 2020

https://indico.cern.ch/event/933318/

Summer 2020

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2729018/files/ECFA-Newsletter-5-Summer2020.pdf

An Experiment for Electron-Hadron Scattering at the LHC

K. D. J. André[1,2], L. Aperio Bella[3], N. Armesto[4], S. A. Bogacz[5], D. Britzger[6], O. S. Brüning[1], M. D'Onofrio[2], E. G. Ferreiro[4], O. Fischer[2], C. Gwenlan[7], B. J. Holzer[1], M. Klein[2], U. Klein[2], F. Kocak[8], P. Kostka[2], M. Kumar[9], B. Mellado[9,10], J. G. Milhano[11,12], P. R. Newman[13], K. Piotrzkowski[14], A. Polini[15], X. Ruan[9], S. Russenschuk[1], C. Schwanenberger[3], E. Vilella-Figueras[2], Y. Yamazaki[16]

[1]CERN, Esplanade des particules 1, 1211 Geneva 23, CH
[2]University of Liverpool, Oxford Street, UK-L69 7ZE Liverpool, United Kingdom
[3]Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Notkestr. 85, 22769 Hamburg, Germany
[4]Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías IGFAE, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Galicia-Spain
[5]JLab, Newport News, Virginia, USA
[6]Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany
[7]Department of Physics, The University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom
[8]Bursa Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey
[9]School of Physics and Institute for Collider Particle Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, Wits 2050, South Africa.
[10]iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, PO Box 722, Somerset West 7129, South Africa.
[11]Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal
[12]LIP, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, P-1649-003 Lisboa , Portugal
[13]School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, UK
[14]Université Catholique de Louvain, Centre for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
[15]Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
[16]Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Rokkodai-cho 1-1, Nada, 657-8501 Kobe, Japan

Received: date / Accepted: date

v1 [hep-ex] 7 Jan 2022

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 1, 40



novel concept of a detector to alternately serve eh and hh collisions/physics

Timeline of Future Colliders in European Strategy



CERN/ESG/05b

extracted from submitted inputs by U. Bassler

Energy Recovering Linac (ERL)

LHeC/FCC-eh: needs high luminosity, high energy:

High ERL power facility $P=I_e E_e$



Jointly with FCC-ee



- high quality Superconducting Radio Frequency: 802 MHz
- first 5-cell Niobium cavity built at JLAB: $Q_0 \simeq 3\cdot 10^{10}$

- high current sources
- multiturn (3 passes) to reach high E_e

- LHeC Configuration reduced from 60 to 50 GeV
- LINAC: 112 cryomodules with 4 cavities each
  → total number of cavities: 896 [ILC: $O(10^4)$]
- configuration may be staged with less RF
- tunnel is small part of cost and better not reduced further, synchrotron loss, upgrades…
- ERL reduces power to ≪ GW and dumps at < GeV

→ **novel, "green" revolutionary accelerator technology and save energy**

Powerful ERL for Experiments (PERLE) @ Orsay



Status: see talk by Achille Stocchi, 05/05

CDR: 1705.08783, J. Phys. G
CERN-ACC-Note-2018-0086 (ESSP)



PERLE Collaboration (2021): CERN, Cornell, Daresbury, JLab, Liverpool, Novosibirsk (BINP), Orsay (IJC)

- Technology Development Facility at 500 MeV at Orsay for development of ERL with LHeC conditions
- high lumi particle and nuclear physics experiments
- part of global ERL developments: included in Europ. Strategy roadmap (ESPP) on Accelerators R&D
- synergies: ERL Concepts for FCC-ee and ILC

→ high precision elastic ep scattering, photo-nuclear reactions, …

First PERLE Physics Workshop: 09.05.2022 (by invitation)

arXiv: 2201.07895

Powerful ERL for Experiments (PERLE) @ Orsay



PERLE

Status: see talk by Achille Stocchi, 05/05

CDR: 1705.08783, J. Phys. G
CERN-ACC-Note-2018-0086 (ESSP)



E_e=500 MeV

802 MHz SRF

3 turns

I_e=20mA

→ first 10 MW ERL facility

PERLE Collaboration (2021): CERN, Cornell, Daresbury, JLab, Liverpool, Novosibirsk (BINP), Orsay (IJC)

- **Technology Development Facility at 500 MeV at Orsay for development of ERL with LHeC conditions**
- **high lumi particle and nuclear physics experiments**
- **part of global ERL developments: included in Europ. Strategy roadmap (ESPP) on Accelerators R&D**
- **synergies: ERL Concepts for FCC-ee and ILC**

→ high precision elastic ep scattering, photo-nuclear reactions, …

First PERLE Physics Workshop: 09.05.2022 (by invitation)

arXiv: 2201.07895

LHeC Detector Design

forward-backward symmetrised detector permits alternately eh and hh physics

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 1, 40

L=13.2 m [FCCeh:19.3 about CMS size]

R=4.8 m
[6.2 FCCeh]



see talk by Kevin Andre 05/05



Study of installation (sequence) of LHeC detector in IP2 cavern using L3 magnet support structure [commensurate with 2 year shutdown due to modular structure]



- large acceptance, precision device: design is determined by kinematics and high precision demands as from the H→bb reaction in CC
- low radiation (1/100 that of pp) enables sensitive technology such as HV CMOS to be used
- the need to ensure head-on ep collisions introduces a long, low field dipole to be inserted before the HCAL, the solenoid is a rather conventional magnet
- complemented by forward (p,n) and backward (e, γ) tagging detectors

LHeC Calorimeter Design



LHeC Calorimeters

Complete coverage to +- 5 in (pseudo)rapidity

Central Region: 2012: LAr, 2020 Sci/Fe option.

Forward Region: dense, high energy jets of few TeV

H → bb and other reactions demand resolution of HFS

Backward Region: in DIS only deposits of $E < E_e$

Barrel Calorimeters

Calo (LHeC)	EMC	HCAL		
	Barrel	Ecap Fwd	Barrel	Ecap Bwd
Readout, Absorber	Sci,Pb	Sci,Fe	Sci,Fe	Sci,Fe
Layers	38	58	45	50
Integral Absorber Thickness [cm]	16.7	134.0	119.0	115.5
η_{max}, η_{min}	2.4, −1.9	1.9, 1.0	1.6, −1.1	−1.5, −0.6
$\sigma_E/E = a/\sqrt{E} \oplus b$ [%]	12.4/1.9	46.5/3.8	48.23/5.6	51.7/4.3
Λ_I / X_0	$X_0 = 30.2$	$\Lambda_I = 8.2$	$\Lambda_I = 8.3$	$\Lambda_I = 7.1$
Total area Sci [m^2]	1174	1403	3853	1209

Forward/Backward Calorimeters

Calo (LHeC)	FHC Plug Fwd	FEC Plug Fwd	BEC Plug Bwd	BHC Plug Bwd
Readout, Absorber	Si,W	Si,W	Si,Pb	Si,Cu
Layers	300	49	49	165
Integral Absorber Thickness [cm]	156.0	17.0	17.1	137.5
η_{max}, η_{min}	5.5, 1.9	5.1, 2.0	−1.4, −4.5	−1.4, −5.0
$\sigma_E/E = a/\sqrt{E} \oplus b$ [%]	51.8/5.4	17.8/1.4	14.4/2.8	49.5/7.9
Λ_I / X_0	$\Lambda_I = 9.6$	$X_0 = 48.8$	$X_0 = 30.9$	$\Lambda_I = 9.2$
Total area Si [m^2]	1354	187	187	745

arXiv:2007.14491

LHeC Tracker Design



Inner Tracker

Rapidity to ~5

r_O = 60 cm

impact resolution 5-10 µm

40.7 m² Si

LHeC Trackers

η_{max}, η_{min}
Wheels
Modules/Sensors
Total Si area [m^2]
Read-out-Channels [10^6]
pitch$^{r-\phi}$ [μm]
pitch^z [μm]
Average X_0/Λ_I [%]
incl. beam pipe [%]

LHeC Tracker Part		η_{max}	η_{min}	$\#Layers_{Barrel}$
Inner Barrel	pix	3.3	-3.3	2
	pix_{macro}	2.	-2.	4
	strip	1.3	-1.3	4
				$\#Rings_{Wheels}$
End Caps	pix	4.1/-1.1	1.1/-4.1	2
	pix_{macro}	2.3/-1.4	1.4/-2.3	1
	strip	2./-0.7	0.7/-2.	1-4
Fwd Tracker	pix	5.2	2.6	2
	pix_{macro}	3.4	2.2	1
	strip	3.1	1.4	4
Bwd Tracker	pix	-2.6	-4.6	2
	pix_{macro}	-2.2	-2.9	1
	strip	-1.4	-2.5	4
Total $\eta_{max/min}$		5.2	-4.6	



Deep Inelastic Scattering at the Energy Frontier







deliveries of ep/eA at the energy frontier

- cleanest high resolution microscope: QCD discovery
- empowering the LHC/FCC search program
- precision Higgs facility together with LHC/FCC-hh
- precision and discovery facility (top, EWK, BSM)
- unique nuclear physics facility

→ diversity

see talk by
Monica D'Onofrio, 05/05
Daniel Britzger, 04/05

Parton Density Functions

PDF



→ huge improvements

see talk by
Claire Gwenlan, 03/05

LHeC provides a single, coherent base for PDF determination to N3LO

Parton Density Functions



→ **huge improvements**



Parton Density Functions



Higgs (pp) → huge improvements

P



Pb





Parton Density Functions



→ **huge improvements**



see talk by Anna Stasto, 05/05

EWK

Precision: Electroweak and top quark physics

see talk by CS, 04/05



$$g_V^f = \sqrt{\rho_{NC,f}}\,(I^3_{L,f} - 2Q_f\kappa_{NC,f}\sin^2\theta_W)$$
$$g_A^f = \sqrt{\rho_{NC,f}}\,I^3_{L,f}$$

→ **precision per mille level**
→ **scale dependence**

$\Delta\sin^2\theta_w$ (FCC-eh) = ±0.00011
= ±0.00010$_{(exp)}$ ±0.00004$_{(PDF)}$

FCC-eh with L ~ 1ab^{-1}

Δm_W = ± 4.5 MeV

(includes PDF uncertainty of about ±3.6 MeV)





→ **precision < 1%**

EWK Precision: Electroweak and top quark physics

see talk by CS, 04/05



$$g_V^f = \sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{NC},f}}\,(I^3_{\mathrm{L},f} - 2Q_f\kappa_{\mathrm{NC},f}\sin^2\theta_{\mathrm{W}})$$
$$g_A^f = \sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{NC},f}}\,I^3_{\mathrm{L},f}$$

→ **precision per mille level**
→ **scale dependence**

$\Delta\sin^2\theta_w$ (FCC-eh) = ±0.00011
= ±0.00010$_{(exp)}$ ±0.00004$_{(PDF)}$

FCC-eh with L ~ 1ab^{-1} Δm_W = ± 4.5 MeV
(includes PDF uncertainty of about ±3.6 MeV)





→ **precision < 1%**

→ **high sensitivity to NP**



Precision: Electroweak and top quark physics

see talk by CS, 04/05



$$g_V^f = \sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{NC},f}}\,\left(I^3_{\mathrm{L},f} - 2Q_f\kappa_{\mathrm{NC},f}\sin^2\theta_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$$
$$g_A^f = \sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{NC},f}}\,I^3_{\mathrm{L},f}$$

→ **precision per mille level**
→ **scale dependence**

$\Delta\sin^2\theta_w$ (FCC-eh) = ±0.00011
= ±0.00010$_{(exp)}$ ±0.00004$_{(PDF)}$

FCC-eh with L ~ 1ab^{-1}

Δm_W = ± 4.5 MeV

(includes PDF uncertainty of about ±3.6 MeV)





$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$



→ **precision < 1%**

→ **high sensitivity to NP**





[1] including top-quark mass uncertainty
[2] σ_{theo}: NLO PDF4LHC11 NPPS205 (2010) 10, CPC191 (2015) 74
[3] including beam energy uncertainty

Higgs Couplings (κ-framework)

Higgs







Most abundant SM Higgs decays



→ **complementarity of colliders**

Higgs Couplings (κ–framework)











→ complementarity of colliders

→ adding electrons makes the LHC a Higgs precision facility

Search for New Phenomena

BSM







… and much more

see talk by O. Fischer, 03/05

Search for heavy sterile neutrinos

BSM





LFV



+ many backgrounds included



arXiv:1908.02852



Search for heavy sterile neutrinos

BSM

FCC CDR, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 6, 474 (2019)
arXiv:1612.02728 [hep-ph]









→ **complementary prospects for discovery in ee, ep and pp**

Conclusions: Statement of the IAC to DG

J. Phys. G 48, 11, 110501 (2011)

In conclusion it may be stated

- The installation and operation of the LHeC has been demonstrated to be commensurate with the currently projected HL-LHC program, while the FCC-eh has been integrated into the FCC vision;
- The feasibility of the project as far as accelerator issues and detectors are concerned has been shown. It can only be realised at CERN and would fully exploit the massive LHC and HL-LHC investments;
- The sensitivity for discoveries of new physics is comparable, and in some cases superior, to the other projects envisaged;
- The addition of an ep/A experiment to the LHC substantially reinforces the physics program of the facility, especially in the areas of QCD, precision Higgs and electroweak as well as heavy ion physics;
- The operation of LHeC and FCC-eh is compatible with simultaneous pp operation; for LHeC the interaction point 2 would be the appropriate choice, which is currently used by ALICE;
- The development of the ERL technology needs to be intensified in Europe, in national laboratories but with the collaboration of CERN;
- A preparatory phase is still necessary to work out some time-sensitive key elements, especially the high power ERL technology (PERLE) and the prototyping of Intersection Region magnets.

Recommendations

i) It is recommended to further develop the ERL based ep/A scattering plans, both at LHC and FCC, as attractive options for the mid and long term programme of CERN, resp. Before a decision on such a project can be taken, further development work is necessary, and should be supported, possibly within existing CERN frameworks (e.g. development of SC cavities and high field IR magnets).

ii) The development of the promising high-power beam-recovery technology ERL should be intensified in Europe. This could be done mainly in national laboratories, in particular with the PERLE project at Orsay. To facilitate such a collaboration, CERN should express its interest and continue to take part.

iii) It is recommended to keep the LHeC option open until further decisions have been taken. An investigation should be started on the compatibility between the LHeC and a new heavy ion experiment in Interaction Point 2, which is currently under discussion.

After the final results of the European Strategy Process will be made known, the IAC considers its task to be completed. A new decision will then have to be taken for how to continue these activities.

Herwig Schopper, Chair of the Committee, Geneva, November 4, 2019

→ LHeC/FCCeh developments are part of detector and accelerator roadmaps

→ PERLE a key part of the ERL development

→ exciting rich programme for the coming years that substantially strengthens HL-LHC

→ is established and for us to shape

https://lhec.web.cern.ch/

Conclusions: Statement of the IAC to DG

. G 48, 11, 110501 (2011)

In conclusion it may be

- The installation and ope with the currently projec the FCC vision;
- The feasibility of the pr been shown. It can only and HL-LHC investment
- The sensitivity for disco to the other projects env
- The addition of an ep/A gram of the facility, esp well as heavy ion physics
- The operation of LHeC LHeC the interaction po ALICE;
- The development of the laboratories but with the
- A preparatory phase is pecially the high power Region magnets.



attering plans, both at LHC amme of CERN, resp. Before work is necessary, and should evelopment of SC cavities and

echnology ERL should be in- atories, in particular with the RN should express its interest

er decisions have been taken. he LHeC and a new heavy ion sion.

ade known, the IAC considers ken for how to continue these

Geneva, November 4, 2019

→ LHeC/FCCeh maps

→ PERLE a key

→ exciting rich programme for the coming years that substantially strengthens HL-LHC

→ is established and for us to shape

https://lhec.web.cern.ch/

Backup

LINAC at Stanford

Three Messages from the 2m LINAC at Stanford

-- **you do NOT need to promise to discover dark matter or know what new to expect when you increase the energy range** (we yet may have to readjust our perception about nature, its richness and as well our ability to predict and understand it. 'we like to see the field to be driven by experiment' – Burt Richter 2009)

-- **you can build a 2 mile electron linac in 3 years time, if you really want it**
we surely could build LHeC and FCC-eh in short time when decided to do so

-- **electron-proton scattering is the best means to explore the substructure of matter**
a crucial complement to the LHC/FCC and moreover, now a unique Higgs facility

50 years since the discovery of quarks by the SLAC-MIT ep scattering experiment

---

W.K.H. PANOFSKY Vienna 8/1968 SLAC-PUB-502

Therefore theoretical speculations are focused on the possibility that these data might give evidence on the behaviour of point-like, charged structures within the nucleon.

Max Klein

Colliders in Europe at the energy & precision frontier

Current flagship (27km)

impressive programme up to 2040

ep-option with HL-LHC: LHeC

Big sister future ambition (100km), beyond 2040

attractive combination of precision & energy frontier





numbers assume 2 IPs for each collider (only one for FCC-eh)

by around 2026, verify if it is feasible to plan for success (techn. & adm. & financially & global governance)

potential alternatives pursued @ CERN: CLIC & muon collider

J de Hondt (5.10.20 to Snowmass)

17

Energy recovery Linac: ep-collider

Concept:
accelerate electrons to high energy → use the beam → decelerate
→ recover beam energy for machine operation → Energy Saving

Worldwide developments :
p.ex BINP (Novosibirsk) CERL (KEK), CBETA-Cornell

projects: bERLinPro (light source), MESA…

HEP: PERLE superconductive multipass demonstrator in Orsay (≈25M€)
TDR expected by 2022



High energy ep-collider:
- Independent proton-structure function measurements
- Higgs physics measurements
- Complementary to EIC



Ursula Bassler (Chair of CERN Council), Talk about “European Strategy for Particle Physics: towards the next collider at CERN”, given at the German Physics Society Spring Conference, March 2021

New idea to combine LHeC and A3

LHeC detector
with
integrated A3



arXiv:1902.01211

Increase of
radii, tracker to 1m,
may reduce B to 1.3T
for same resolution

Overall detector just
fits in L3 support
R_{free}(L3)=560 cm

Vertex positions: see below



Backward calos
then symmetric
with fwd calos

Work in progress

P.Kostka et al.

New idea to combine LHeC and A3

Possible Arrangement for a combined A3 - LHeC Tracker
NOT OPTIMISED



arXiv:1902.01211

LHeC detector with integrated A3



Increase of radii, tracker to 1m, may reduce B to 1.3T for same resolution

Overall detector just fits in L3 support R_{free}(L3)=560 cm

Vertex positions: see below

Backward calos then symmetric with fwd calos

Work in progress

P.Kostka et al.

Energy Recovering Linac (ERL)

LHeC/FCC-eh: needs high luminosity, high energy:

High ERL power facility $P=I_e E_e$



- **high quality Superconducting Radio Frequency ($Q_0 > 10^{10}$)**

Jointly with FCC-ee



- **high current sources**
- **multiturn to reach high E_e**

- LHeC Configuration reduced from 60 to 50 GeV
- LINAC: 112 cryomodules with 4 cavities each
  → total number of cavities: 896 [ILC: $O(10^4)$]
- configuration may be staged with less RF
- tunnel is small part of cost and better not reduced further, synchrotron loss, upgrades…
- ERL reduces power to ≪ GW and dumps at < GeV

→ **novel, "green" accelerator technology and save energy**

Technical Synergies of LHeC with other applications

- **operate the ILC as an ERL**: boost luminosity to 10^{36} cm^{-2}s^{-1}
  Vladimir Telnov at the March 21 LCWS
- SAPPHIRE: a γγ collider : Higgs, EWK and QCD machine
  F. Zimmermann et al., arXiv:1208.2827
- Racetrack as an injector into FCC-ee [direct into Z]
  O. Bruening, Y. Papaphilippou
- HeC-FEL
  F. Zimmermann et al., work in progress
- Injector into FCC-hh
  R. Calaga
- Proposal of ERL Version of FCC-ee for high Lumi at high E_e
  V Litvinenko, T Roser, M Chamizo-Llatas arXiv: 1909.04437
- 802 MHz technology: PERLE, FCC-ee, eSPS
  F Marhauser, B Rimmer et al.
- 704 MHz SPL Cryomodule (CERN) modified for PERLE
  F Gerigk, E Jensen et al.
- ALICE (Daresbury) Gun delivered to Orsay for PERLE
  D Angal-Kalinin, B Militsyn et al.
- JLEIC Booster (Jlab) likely to be used in PERLE
  F Hannon, B Rimmer et al.
- Forward Calorimetry: FCC-hh and ee colliders / CALICE…
- Inner Tracker/CMOS: ee colliders, new HI detector at IP2
- …

Powerful ERL for Experiments (PERLE) @ Orsay



CDR: 1705.08783, J. Phys. G
CERN-ACC-Note-2018-0086 (ESSP)

PERLE Collaboration (2021): CERN, Cornell, Daresbury, JLab, Liverpool, Novosibirsk (BINP), Orsay (IJC)

- **Technology Development Facility at 500 MeV at Orsay for development of ERL with LHeC conditions**
- **high luminosity particle and nuclear physics experiments**
- **part of global ERL Developments (Roadmap end of 2021)**
- **synergies: ERL Concepts for FCC-ee and ILC**

→ **high precision elastic ep scattering, photo-nuclear reactions, …**

Summary, Outlook

- PERLE – Baseline Design (500 MeV)
  - Multi-pass linacs configured with the SPL style cryomodules
  - Switchyard configuration with two B-com magnets
  - A pair of Experimental Areas – Low-β inserts at 500 MeV
  - 'Six bend' Arc architecture based on Flexible Momentum Compaction Optics
- Next Steps (2021/22…)
  - Complete injector design (re-use JLEIC Booster, tbc)
  - End-to-end tracking to validate the design
  - Magnet specs and prototyping of B-com magnets
  - HOM design and test of dressed cavity
  - Preparation of ALICE gun installation at Orsay
  - PERLE TDR by end of 2022, with the goal of first beam by the mid-twenties
  - Integration of PERLE into the European Roadmap for Accelerators
    - Both FCC-ee and recently ILC are proposed as ERL Colliders with significantly increased luminosity and substantially reduced power consumption
- PERLE becomes a key part of future: HEP, PP and NP facilities

Jefferson Lab — Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility
Operated by JSA for the U.S. Department of Energy — Alex Bogacz — DIS Workshop, Stony Brook, NY, April 12-16, 2021 — 19

Further developments

Developments +Partners

SCRF: High Q_0, complete Cryomodule

Jointly with FCC-ee



Next: dressed cavity (HOMs), 20mA
Adapt SPL Cryomodule for PERLE

CERN, Jlab, Orsay +

Cf recent meeting: https://indico.cern.ch/event/923021/

High Current Source (e^-, P, e^+)



PERLE will begin with 5mA ALICE source, which has been transferred from Daresbury to Orsay while UK was in EU..

BINP, BNL/Cornell (cBETA), Daresbury, IJC, Jlab, +

Interaction Region Design and Q_1 Prototype: B Holzer, B Parker, S Russenschuck et al





BNL, CERN, +

Max Klein

LHeC IR modified for dual purpose



Optimisation of synchrotron radiation (power and E_{crit})

		LHeC	HERA
E_{crit}	keV	270	150
Synrad Power	kW	30	28

Detector dipole Staggered quads Half-quad (NC) First of triplet qaudrupoles

For ep/A: synchronous with pp/AA in GPDs and LHCb – keep non-colliding beam apart
with option of pp/AA the non-colliding beam needs to be kept inside pipe:
then: shift transversely (as in regular injection mode) and possibly in time

For pp/AA in IP2: no electron beam in. Collisions at nominal IP (or shifted by 25/4ns)

Max Klein

Technical synergy

LHeC-FEL



Figure 9: Comparison of FEL average brilliance for the LHeC-FEL with existing and planned world-leading hard X-ray FEL sources.

Work in progress, F Zimmermann et al. [in between LHeC and FCC-hh potentially]

e-ERL for Proton Injection

Recall: "SPL+PS2" as a new high brightness injector was already considered and abandoned for LHC

Proposal to use a single recirculating linac to directly inject to SPS (26 GeV) or SPS+ (~50 GeV), especially for 5ns bunch spacing.



Presented by R Calaga, 2017 [worth reconsidering]

Applications/ Synergy - examples

FCC-ee Injector Complex

- FCC-ee Baseline Injector Plan: e^+/e^-
  - Linac with 6 GeV followed by 20GeV pre-booster ring [SPS] or 20GeV linac
  - 2.0 10^{10} N_b with 2 bunches per pulse and 200Hz rep-rate → < 2μA average current
  - Requires transfer lines from SPS or linac to FCC → ca. 10km tunnel structures?
- Using LHeC type Recirculating Linac as injector: e^+/e^-
  - Common hardware and infrastructure: one could use the FCC-ee pre-series SRF
    - -Either using a 5km long racetrack suitable for 50GeV upgrade for FCC-eh and / or direct injection into the FCC-ee for Z production mode
    - -Dedicated smaller tunnel optimized for FCC-ee injector at 6 GeV or 20 GeV
  - In both cases I assume installation near point 'L' to minimize transfer line length
  - In all cases the machine would be used as re-circulating linac and not in ERL mode

Presented by O Bruening, March 2019 [being rediscussed. Note PSI FEL concept]

Energy recovery configuration of FCC-ee



V Litvinenko, T Roser, M Chamizo-Llatas arXiv: 1909.04437, [ongoing study]

Max Klein

Combined A3 – LHeC Tracker



Various Questions:

- Low or HV CMOS
- Thickness, radiation hardness
  (note ep: below 10^{15}cm^{2}n eq.
  no pile-up in ep, .. → maybe low)
- Detectors in Vacuum? Elliptic ep pipe ☹
- Bent wafers?
- Same vertex or 1.87 apart? Cost
- ...

P. Kostka – work in progress

P. Kostka