

3D Imaging of Nucleons and Nuclei with ECCE at the Future EIC

G. Penman

On Behalf of the ECCE Consortium




University
of Glasgow




Electron Ion Collider

Upgrading existing RHIC and adding electron accelerator

Probing novel/extreme regions of phase space:




Kinematic complementarity at different locations pre EIC^[1]




Electron Ion Collider

Upgrading existing RHIC and adding electron accelerator


Probing novel/extreme regions of phase space:



Kinematic Coverage of EIC compared to existing experiments^[2]



EIC Comprehensive Chromodynamics Experiment (ECCE)



EIC Detector Proposal Call:

- ECCE, ATHENA, CORE


ECCE recommended as reference design.

Detector with intricate calorimetry and internal tracking

1.4 T Babar magnet

Full Geant4 Detector Responses in Fun4All
(F4A)

Far Forward Region^[3]



Forward detection particularly crucial in exclusive measurements
- need to measure the proton/ion!

For many studies, the B0 and/or Roman Pots are the critical forward detection regions.

Different beam parameterisations have been created in the simulation to maximise acceptance in these regions.



Exclusive, Diffractive and Tagging WG

Channel	Generator	Kinematics
DVCS ep	MILOU3D	5x41, 10x100, 18x275
DVCS eA (e-He4)	TOPEG	5x41/u
TCS	EPIC	5x41, 18x275
DVMP ep	LAGER	18x275
DVMP eA (e-Pb)	Sartre + BeAGLE	18x108.4/u
Diffractive J/Psi (e-Zr90)	Sartre + BeAGLE	18x108.4/u + 18x122/u (Bg)
Pion <u>FF*</u> & SF	DEMP + EIC_mesonMC	5x41, <u>5x100*</u> , 10x100, 18x275
Double Tagged e-He3	DJANGOH	5x41/u, 18x166/u
XYZ Spectroscopy	elspectro	5x41, 5x100, 10x100, 18x275
Y Photo and Electroproduction	eSTARlight	-
u-Channel DVCS	-	-

- ❖ Exclusive and Diff Tagg WGs worked closely together.
- ❖ Now a joint WG.
- ❖ Today I will focus on exclusive reactions
- ❖ Exclusive reactions provide typically clean final states which are efficient probes in 3D nucleon structure.

Hard Exclusive Processes and 3D Imaging

- ❖ Elastic scattering FFs describe 1D transverse distribution, PDFs describe 1D longitudinal momentum.
- ❖ DVCS / TCS allows access to 1+2D GPDs (and CFFs).
- ❖ Diffractive / vector meson sensitive to gluon contribution.








DVCS ep

Allows probe of EMC effect as well as tomography.

Described by “Handbag mechanism” + Bethe Heitler diagrams.

Detection shifts from B0 to RP with energy

- Decreasing transverse deflection.




DVCS ep

Allows probe of EMC effect as well as tomography.


Described by “Handbag mechanism” + Bethe Heitler diagrams.

Detection shifts from B0 to RP with energy







- Decreasing transverse deflection.



Triangle: Beam 18x275 GeV
Box: Beam 10x100 GeV
Circle: Beam 5x41 GeV



DVCS e-He⁴ (5x41/u GeV)




TCS ep


Inverse process of DVCS.
Both sensitive to quark GPDs

Also allows access to compton form factors (CFF) -> Each CFF related to a GPD.




Reconstruction in higher energy kinematic yields less statistics (in this beam parameterisation)
Due to lower RP occupancy



5x41



18x275







DVMP ep ($J/\psi \rightarrow e^-e^+$)

Access to gluon 2D spatial and 1D longitudinal momentum in nucleon.

Lepton pair detected across η spectrum by multiple calorimeters.

- Can reconstruct J/ψ missing mass spectrum



DVMP e-Pb²⁰⁷ ($\phi \rightarrow K\bar{K}^+$)



Study of vector meson final states allows exploration of saturation

Larger mesons like ϕ more sensitive to saturation effects

Expect shift in $-t$ with saturation included.

Background Rejection + Calorimetry (CB Func)

- Begin to resolve diffractive minima in saturated spectrum!



Next Steps / Further Work

- ❖ WGs writing up results into publications.
- ❖ Transition to wider community detector 1 effort
- ❖ Continue to benchmark physics as detector design evolves
- ❖ Background studies
- ❖ Specifically in exclusive WG: IP8, asymmetry studies, different kinematics, testing of beam parameterisations in simulation (HA v HD)

Conclusions

- ❖ Pre and Post proposal work on ECCE showing promising results in probing EIC phase space in a wide variety of physics channels
- ❖ ECCE now ref design for det 1 at EIC
- ❖ EIC has critical decision 1
 - expect physics on timescale of 10 years.
- ❖ Exclusive Diffractive and Tagging group very happy with physics results so far
 - Continue to benchmark + new kinematics
 - Results not covered today in publication (to come)!


References and Acknowledgments

1. C. Munoz. <https://indico.cern.ch/event/180678/contributions/304829/attachments/240727/337060/Munoz.pdf>
2. EIC White Paper <https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1701>
3. I. Korover. https://indico.bnl.gov/event/11463/contributions/52412/attachments/36426/59854/eic_ecce_final_1.pdf
4. ECCE https://www.ecce-eic.org/_files/ugd/2b2c77_5fd1cff0c2f04337ac67d4675985f208.pdf

Special thanks to PhD supervisor (and WG convenor) R. Montgomery; all of the EDT working group; DIS organisers and convenors; staff and colleagues within ECCE.

Thank You For Listening!

Backup



EIC Project POC

Rolf Ent (JLab)

Computing Team

Cristiano Fanelli (MIT)
David Lawrence (JLab)

Computing Working Groups:

- Artificial Intelligence
William Phelps (CNU/JLab)
- Computing and Software
Joe Osborn (ORNL)

Detector Team

Doug Higinbotham (JLab)
Ken Read (ORNL)

Detector Working Groups:

- IP8/Equipment Re-use
John Haggerty (BNL)
- Far Forward/Far Backward*
Michael Murray (KU),
Yuji Goto (RIKEN), Igor Korover (MIT)
- Tracking
Xuan Li (LANL),
Nilanga Liyanage (UVA)
- Calorimetry
Friederike Bock (ORNL), Yongsun Kim
(Sejong U.)
- Particle ID
Greg Kalicy (CUA),
Xiaochun He (GSU)
- Magnetic Field
Paul Brindza (JLab),
Renuka Rajput-Ghoshal (JLab)
- DAQ/Electronics/Readout
Chris Cuevas (JLab),
Martin Purschke (BNL)

*Alex Jentsch, Yulia Furletova
(far-forward/backward POC)

ECCE Steering Committee

Or Hen (MIT)
Tanja Horn (CUA)
John Lajoie (ISU)

Institutional Board

Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Narbe Kalantarians (VUU, co-chair)
Christine Natrass (UTK, co-chair)
Simonetta Liuti (UVA)
Elena Long (UNH)

Physics Benchmarks

Team
Carlos Munoz-Camacho
(IJCLab-Orsay)
Rosi Reed (Lehigh U.)

Physics Working Groups:

- Simulations
Cameron Dean (LANL), Jin Huang (BNL)
- Inclusive Processes
Tyler Kutz (MIT), Claire Gwenlan (Oxford)
- Semi-Inclusive
Ralf Seidl (RIKEN), Charlotte Van Hulse (Orsay)
- Exclusive
Rachel Montgomery (Glasgow), Julie Roche (OU)
- Diffractive and Tagging
Wenliang Li (W&M), Axel Schmidt (GWU)
- Jets and Heavy Flavor
Cheuk-Ping Wong (LANL), Wangmei Zha (USTC)
- BSM and Precision Electroweak
Sonny Mantry (UNG), Xiaochao Zheng (UVA)


Editorial Team

Tom Cormier (ORNL)
Richard Milner (MIT)
Peter Steinberg (BNL)

Editorial Working Groups:

- Proposal Editing, Verification and Version Control
- Costing and Management

More ECCE Details^[4]




Detector	Proposed technology
Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)	EMcal: Crystal (PbWO ₄) + W/Si (based on ALICE-FoCal-E) Hcal: Pb/Si + Pb/Sci (Shashlik or Spaghetti) (+ AC-LGAD?)
Roman Pot (RP)	AC-LGADs
Off-Momentum Detectors (OMD)	AC-LGADs
B0 spectrometer	Tracker: MAPS or AC-LGADs EMcal (PbWO ₄) or preshower?
Low- Q^2 tagger	Tracker: AC-LGADs EMcal: Crystal (PbWO ₄)

Beam Parameterisations

High Divergence (HD) setting used in detector proposal.

High Acceptance Setting (HA) improves results in some channels (e.g DVCS-eA).



10σ cut on roman pot based on beam spot width:



Hi Acceptance

- $x_{cut} = 2.082$ cm
- $y_{cut} = 0.3417$ cm

Hi Divergence


- $x_{cut} = 4.25$ cm
- $y_{cut} = 0.8041$ cm

Beam Parameterisations - RP Occupancy





Backup Plots

TCS






Preliminary look at new parameterisation*




Backup Plots

- ❖ “Tails” in calorimeter photon distributions are in part a result of the selection process in clusters.
- ❖ However can still observe different ranges of eta for each calorimeter.
- ❖ No photons/leptons in fEMC (expected)



- ❖ Second roman pot catches small subset of particles which miss the first. Acceptance in $6 \leq \eta \leq 8.5$
- ❖ Observe spillover of events in higher η bins (i.e. non-physical acceptance). Postulate detector + simulation effects + bin migration phenomena.
- ❖ Overall ion acceptance 8% -> 60% with ‘high acceptance’ beam parameterisation.





Diffractive J/psi (e -Pb²⁰⁷)




Less sensitive to saturation effects due to smaller wavefunction

Detection of Rigid Ions highly improved with second interaction region

Missing mass reconstruction using different lepton pairs in fair agreement.



Diffractive J/psi (e -Pb²⁰⁷)



Less sensitive to saturation effects due to smaller wavefunction

Detection of Rigid Ions highly improved with second interaction region

Missing mass reconstruction using different lepton pairs in fair agreement.

- Begin to resolve diffractive minima when moving from tracker to calorimeter.

