GGM at Colliders: An Overview

David Shih Rutgers University

Meade, Reece & DS: **0911.4130, 1006.4575** Ruderman & DS: **1009.1665**

also work in progress with Josh Ruderman, Scott Thomas, Michael Park, Yue Zhao...

Gauge Mediation

- Gauge mediation provides an attractive solution to the MSSM flavor problem. It guarantees flavor-diagonal soft masses at the messenger scale.
- Its phenomenology is distinctive: gravitino is the LSP.

 $m_{\tilde{G}} \ll m_{weak}$

• Lightest MSSM superpartner is the NLSP. It decays to the gravitino plus its SM superpartner.

$$\tilde{X}_{NLSP} \to \tilde{G} + X$$



- All SUSY cascade decays pass through the NLSP.
- So all events contain high pT objects determined by the NLSP type, plus missing energy. (Or displaced decays.)

 In the early days of GMSB, the collider signatures of "Minimal Gauge Mediation" were extensively studied.



 In the early days of GMSB, the collider signatures of "Minimal Gauge Mediation" were extensively studied.



• bino or slepton (co-)NLSP

 In the early days of GMSB, the collider signatures of "Minimal Gauge Mediation" were extensively studied.



- bino or slepton (co-)NLSP
- heavy squarks and gluinos

 In the early days of GMSB, the collider signatures of "Minimal Gauge Mediation" were extensively studied.



- bino or slepton (co-)NLSP
- heavy squarks and gluinos
- MI: M2: M3 ~ I: 2:7

Beyond MGM?

- Additionally, a variety of different signatures beyond MGM were catalogued and studied by many authors.
- However, experimental searches for GMSB have focused almost entirely on MGM signatures.
 - diphoton+MET
 - displaced photons+MET
 - long-lived staus
 - OS dilepton+MET (LEP only)
 - ...
 - EW production only...

General Gauge Mediation

- Recently, a general, model-independent framework for gauge mediation was formulated, in terms of currents and their correlation functions. (Meade, Seiberg, DS)
- Using this, the full parameter space of gauge mediation at the messenger scale was shown to be:
 - Three unconstrained gaugino masses: M_1, M_2, M_3
 - Five sfermion masses $m_{\tilde{Q}}^2, m_{\tilde{u}}^2, m_{\tilde{d}}^2, m_{\tilde{L}}^2, m_{\tilde{e}}^2$ subject to two sum rules
- A messenger model was constructed which covers the entire parameter space (Buican, Meade, Seiberg & DS; see also Carpenter, Dine, Festuccia & Mason)
- So the entire parameter space is physical! No point in parameter space should be preferred over any other.

NLSPs in GGM

NLSPs in GGM

- In GGM parameter space, the NLSP can be nearly anything:
 - neutralino NLSP (bino, wino or Higgsino)
 - gluino NLSP
 - squark NLSP
 - right-handed slepton NLSP
 - sneutrino NLSP
- Squarks and gluinos can be light, and can have significant production cross sections at Tevatron and LHC.

NLSPs in GGM

- In GGM parameter space, the NLSP can be nearly anything:
 - neutralino NLSP (bino, wino or Higgsino)
 - gluino NLSP
 - squark NLSP
 - right-handed slepton NLSP
 - sneutrino NLSP
- Squarks and gluinos can be light, and can have significant production cross sections at Tevatron and LHC.
- Phenomenological possibilities go far beyond MGM!

GMSB ≠ MGM

• The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.

- The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.
- GGM parameter space can serve as the basis for this study.

- The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.
- GGM parameter space can serve as the basis for this study.
- Huge space, bewildering zoo of possibilities. What to do?

- The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.
- GGM parameter space can serve as the basis for this study.
- Huge space, bewildering zoo of possibilities. What to do?
- Goals:

- The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.
- GGM parameter space can serve as the basis for this study.
- Huge space, bewildering zoo of possibilities. What to do?
- Goals:
 - Want to characterize minimal inclusive signatures for early discovery. Not necessary to include every particle from every possible decay chain.

- The LHC strongly motivates a return to the modelindependent study of gauge mediation signatures.
- GGM parameter space can serve as the basis for this study.
- Huge space, bewildering zoo of possibilities. What to do?
- Goals:
 - Want to characterize minimal inclusive signatures for early discovery. Not necessary to include every particle from every possible decay chain.
 - Want to provide new benchmark spaces to experimentalists for exploration, optimization and limit-setting. These should be carefully chosen to be as comprehensive and bias-free as possible.

- Our approach: minimal spectra, classified by NLSP type
 - Minimal particle content for signature and production. At LHC, focus on strong SUSY production (gluinos for simplicity).
 - Inclusive signatures primarily controlled by NLSP type
 - Characterize kinematical features (squeezing) that affect signal acceptance

- Our approach: minimal spectra, classified by NLSP type
 - Minimal particle content for signature and production. At LHC, focus on strong SUSY production (gluinos for simplicity).
 - Inclusive signatures primarily controlled by NLSP type
 - Characterize kinematical features (squeezing) that affect signal acceptance
- Simple 2D spaces: NLSP mass and production mode mass.

 $m_{colored}$ _______ : m_{NLSP} ______

- Our approach: minimal spectra, classified by NLSP type
 - Minimal particle content for signature and production. At LHC, focus on strong SUSY production (gluinos for simplicity).
 - Inclusive signatures primarily controlled by NLSP type
 - Characterize kinematical features (squeezing) that affect signal acceptance
- Simple 2D spaces: NLSP mass and production mode mass.

$m_{colored}$	
	•
m_{NLSP}	

 More complicated spectra will contain these minimal parameter spaces. Well suited for inclusive searches.

Examples

- See Josh Ruderman's talk for our approach applied to slepton co-NLSPs.
- Here I will illustrate with general neutralino NLSPs.



- Minimal spectrum for bino NLSP.
- Decouple all other sparticles (squarks, sleptons, winos and Higgsinos) for simplicity.
- Inclusive final state: $\gamma\gamma + jets + MET$

Example #1: Bino NLSP



Much more discovery potential than MGM! Tevatron surpassed after just 10/pb !

Example #2:Wino co-NLSP

$$m_{\tilde{g}} = M_3$$
 —

$$m_{\tilde{W}^0} = m_{\tilde{W}^{\pm}} = M_2$$



- Minimal parameter space for wino co-NLSP.
- Inclusive final states: $\gamma \gamma + \text{jets} + \text{MET}, W(\ell \nu) \gamma + \text{jets} + \text{MET}, \dots$

Example #2:Wino co-NLSP



Discovery potential depends on backgrounds. Work in progress....

Conclusions

- We are in the process of formulating minimal parameter spaces for each NLSP type in GGM.
- These will characterize all the relevant signatures for early discovery of GMSB (with prompt decays).
- These can serve as minimally-biased, model-independent benchmarks for early LHC searches. We hope that experimentalists will find them useful.
- If we are to discover or rule out GMSB at the LHC, we must move beyond MGM!
- LHC has excellent reach for colored production; should surpass Tevatron with only ~10-100/pb!