

1

Reflections on Searching for New Physics with CMS

Frank Würthwein (UCSD)

Disclaimer

- Opinions expressed herein are my own.
- What CMS will actually do with the 2010/11 data remains to be seen.

References

- All public results are available via CERN
 Document System
- A collection of references by topic can be found here:

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults

 I will refer in my slides to the CMS document numbers, and encourage you to read up on the details as you see fit.

Physics Objects

Jets & MET & b-tags Leptonic decays of Ws and Zs

Jet cross section: QCD-10-011 Jet reconstruction performance: JME-10-003 MET performance: JME-10-004 and DP2010-014 b-tag performance: BVT-10-001 Ws and Zs: EWK-10-002 and https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsEWK

jets

Comparison of data vs theory





CMS uses 3 different jet reconstructions:

- -> calorimeter only (Calo Jets)
- -> calo & tracking (JPT Jets)
- -> particle flow (PF Jets)

The JEC for two of them are $\sim 10\%$

JEC vs eta for pflow @ 30GeV is less than 25% up to eta~4.5

Jet energy scale systematics ~ 5%

JME-10-003





MET

CMS uses 3 different MET reconstructions:

- -> calorimeter only (Calo MET)
- -> calo & tracking (tcMET)
- -> particle flow (PFMET)

Data vs MC (Pythia8 minbias) agreement to within 1GeV shift over 10⁷ in yield.

Effect of multiple interactions is understood in terms of increased sumET





Tagging efficiency is then measured in data and MC using pT_{rel} template method to obtain a data/MC scale factor. Scale factors consistent with 1 to within 10-20%.

Leptonic W decays



Both ev and μv allow for clean W signals at comparable efficiencies

9/22/10

Leptonic Z decays





Searching for new physics

Let's start with some pedagogy

Search Ingredients

- 1. Design the event selection
- 2. Measure
 - 1. Estimated bkg yields
 - 2. Measured yield of signal candidates
- 3. Determine selection efficiency
- 4. Calculate cross section
 - 1. & 2. can be done (fairly) model independent.
 - \Rightarrow We should strive to cover corners of SM phase space for as many combinations of signatures as possible.
 - 3. Is very difficult to do completely model independent. And thus 4. tends to be somewhat model dependent.

Search for stopped gluinos -- A case study --

- Idea:
 - a colored object is produced
 - Hadronizes and travels through the detector as "R-hadron"
 - Gets stopped in the detector material
 - Decays at some later point while there are no beams colliding
- Signature:
 - At least one jet with ET > 50GeV at a time with no colliding beams

Model Independent measurement

Lifetime [s]	Expected Background (\pm stat \pm syst)	Observed
1e-07	$0.15 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$	0
1e-06	$1.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$	0
1e-05	$11.7 \pm 3.2 \pm 3.5$	8
1e-04	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19
1e-03	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19
1e+03	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19
1e+04	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19
1e+05	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19
1e+06	$28.3 \pm 7.8 \pm 8.5$	19

- The signature is generic.
- The bkg estimate and observed yield is generic.

σ x BR x efficiency to stop in detector

 Mildly model dependent as it must assume a production mechanism, gluino mass, and decay kinematics.



95% C.L. limits for two different mass differences between gluino and LSP.

More significant model dependence enters via probability for gluino to stop in detector.

 Exclude gluino with lifetimes ranging from 75ns to 6µs for mass = 200GeV as long as gluino - LSP mass difference is > 100GeV, 100% BR, and "cloud model" of R-hadron interaction.



Lessons Learned

- As experimentalists, we will try to present our results in different ways, ranging from the most model independent, raw data, to the model dependent cross section limits.
- As theorists, you can help by
 - Pointing out how we can be more generic in presenting our results.
 - Providing guidance with models that motivate signatures we may have forgotten to present.
 - => Just because we have not shown it does not mean we have not looked!

Searches for Resonances

CMS will search for many di-object resonances, including: Jet jet, ee, μμ, ττ, γγ, eγ, μγ, ev, μν, WZ, ...

EXO-10-010 Search for Dijet Resonances





Exclude string resonances with mass < 2.10 TeV

Probes New Territory with 836+-92 nb⁻¹ !

SUSY inspired searches

CMS Objective:

Cover the usual models (mSUGRA, GMSB, ...) Cover as many "SM free" regions as possible

Ordering Principle

- Order by lepton (or photon) count
 - 0 leptons
 - 1 lepton
 - 2 leptons
 - Same sign
 - Opposite sign
 - More than 2 leptons
- All analyses require MET & HT
 - Details depend on what's required to suppress SM
 - The more leptons/photons the less MET & HT required

- 2 photons
- 1 photon + 1 lepton
- multiple photons/leptons

mSUGRA MC exercises



Reflection on Opposite sign dileptons + MET + jets



Dilepton mass edge is self-calibrating

Z peak will require data driven study to rule out misunderstood Z bkg

Featureless will require data driven study to rule out misunderstood top bkg.

Note: Plots are merely cartoons !

Data Driven Techniques

Unless a signal is "self-calibrating", we need to have a data driven way to prove that it's not a poorly understood SM effect.

Data Driven Techniques

- "fake leptons" (e, μ , and τ)
 - Use extrapolations in isolation and/or Id
- "fake MET"
 - Use templates from QCD multi-jet events
- "real MET" from ttbar and Wjets
 - Use (di-)lepton pT to model MET tail from neutrinos

SUSY-10-001 PRD81 2010 035005

One more final thought

- For every signature with MET + HT, we ought to also think about constructing searches with only MET or only HT.
- ... and finally MUSiC (EXO-08-005)

Abstract

We present a model independent analysis approach, systematically scanning the data for deviations from the Monte Carlo expectation. Such an analysis can contribute to the understanding of the detector and the tuning of the event generators. Due to the minimal theoretical bias this approach is sensitive to a variety of models, including those not yet thought of. Events are classified into event classes according to their particle content (muons, electrons, photons, jets and missing transverse energy). A broad scan of various distributions is performed, identifying significant deviations from the Monte Carlo simulation. We outline the importance of systematic uncertainties, which are taken into account rigorously within the algorithm. Possible detector effects and generator issues, as well as models involving supersymmetry and new heavy gauge bosons have been used as an input to the search algorithm.

Take Home Messages

- Data and MC agreements are impressive on everything CMS has looked at so far.
- Nevertheless, CMS developed data driven techniques to account for all major bkg's in searches.
- CMS expects to pursue a balanced diet of:
 - Targeted searches for well motivated models
 - Generic searches based on signatures
- Your input on better ways of presenting search results is always appreciated.
- You can find CMS physics results organized by topic at: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults

Backup

Leptonic Z decays



Both ee and $\mu\mu$ allow for superclean Z signals at comparable efficiencies

Leptonic W decays



Both ev and μv allow for clean W signals at comparable efficiencies