#### The large-charge expansion for multiple charges

Jahmall Bersini



Ruđer Bošković Institute, Croatia 🧃





Large charge aux Diablerets July 3-8, 2022

#### Based on:

O. Antipin, JB, F. Sannino, Z. Wang, C. Zhang, *Phys.Rev.D* 102 (2020) 12, 125033 O. Antipin, JB, F. Sannino, Z. Wang, C. Zhang, *Phys.Rev.D* 103 (2021) 12, 125024 O. Antipin, JB, P. Panopoulos, *to appear* 

### The model

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}Tr(F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}) + Tr(\bar{\Psi}i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu}\Psi) + yTr(\bar{\Psi}_{L}\Phi\Psi_{R} + \bar{\Psi}_{R}\Phi^{\dagger}\Psi_{L}) + Tr(\partial_{\mu}\Phi^{\dagger}\partial^{\mu}\Phi) - u\left[Tr(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)\right]^{2} - vTr(\Phi\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\Phi^{\dagger}) [F. Sannino, D. Litim, Asymptotic safety guaranteed, 2014]$$

SU(N<sub>C</sub>) gauge fields, N<sub>f</sub> Dirac fermions in the fundamental of SU(N<sub>C</sub>), one N<sub>f</sub>xN<sub>f</sub> complex matrix scalar field in the (N<sub>f</sub>,  $\overline{N}_{f}$ ) of U(N<sub>f</sub>)xU(N<sub>f</sub>)

Four dimensional perturbative UV fixed point in the Veneziano limit:

$$N_f \to \infty, \quad N_c \to \infty, \quad z \equiv \frac{N_f}{N_c} = \text{ fixed}$$

$$\alpha_g^* = \frac{26}{57}\epsilon, \quad \alpha_y^* = \frac{4}{19}\epsilon, \quad \alpha_h^* = \frac{\sqrt{23} - 1}{19}\epsilon, \quad \alpha_v^* = \frac{1}{19}\left(\sqrt{20 + 6\sqrt{23}} - 2\sqrt{23}\right)\epsilon$$

$$\epsilon \equiv z - \frac{11}{2} \qquad \qquad \alpha_g = \frac{g^2 N_c}{(4\pi)^2}, \quad \alpha_y = \frac{y^2 N_c}{(4\pi)^2}, \quad \alpha_h = \frac{uN_f}{(4\pi)^2}, \quad \alpha_v = \frac{vN_f^2}{(4\pi)^2}$$

Perturbative realization of the asymptotic safety scenario UV-complete BSM model building

## Motivation

"for studying the large-charge expansion in this model"



Structurally similar to the Standard Model



One-of-a-kind example of non-supersymmetric 4D CFT



Suited to study the impact of the non-abelian structure of the global symmetry group on the large-charge expansion.

Previously considered in: D. Orlando, S. Reffert, F. Sannino, "A safe CFT at large charge," 2020

# The large-charge expansion for multiple charges

We charge the global  $U(N_f)xU(N_f)$  flavor symmetry.

The charges are encoded in two diagonal N<sub>f</sub> x N<sub>f</sub> traceless matrices:

$$\mathcal{Q}_L = -V\dot{\Phi}_0\Phi_0^{\dagger} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Q}_R = V\Phi_0^{\dagger}\dot{\Phi}_0$$

We can find an homogeneous ground state for every diagonal charge matrices such that  $Q_R + Q_L = 0$ 

$$\Phi_0 \left( \tau \right) = e^{2iM\tau} B \qquad \qquad B_{ii} = b_i \\ M_{ii} = -i\mu_i$$

$$Q = Q_L = Q \operatorname{diag}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{N_f})$$

Q large expansion parameter {qi} O(1) parameters specifying the charge configuration.

Varying the  $\{q_i\}$  we have the scaling dimension of operators transforming according to a variety of irreducible representations.

# **Double-scaling limit**

$$Q \to \infty, \ \kappa_I \to 0 \ \text{with} \ Q\kappa_I = (\text{fixed}) \qquad \kappa_I = \{\alpha_h, \alpha_v, \alpha_y, \alpha_g\}$$

We compute the scaling dimension of the lowest-lying operator with charge

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_L = Q \operatorname{diag}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{N_f})$$

Semiclassical expansion

$$\Delta_Q = \sum_{j=-1} \frac{1}{Q^j} \Delta_j \left( Q \kappa_I, \{q_i\} \right)$$

 $\Delta_{-1}$  : classical term  $\Delta_0$ : leading quantum correction

 $Q\kappa_I \ll 1$   $\square$  Perturbation theory  $Q\kappa_I \gg 1$   $\square$  Large-charge limit – superfluid phase (?)  $\Delta_Q = Q^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \left[ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 Q^{\frac{-2}{d-1}} + \alpha_3 Q^{\frac{-4}{d-1}} + \ldots \right] + Q^0 \left[ \beta_0 + \beta_1 Q^{\frac{-2}{d-1}} + \ldots \right] + \ldots$ 

#### Semiclassics: the LO

We consider a two-parameters family of charge configurations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{J,s} &= \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{J, J, \dots, -J, -J, \dots, 0, 0, \dots}_{N_{f}-2s}) \\ \text{Ground state ansatz} \\ \hline \Phi_{0}(\tau) &= e^{2iM\tau}B \\ \hline B_{ii} &= b_{i} \\ M_{ii} &= -i\mu_{i} \\ J &= 2V\mu \ b^{2}, \\ J &= 2V\mu \ b^{2}, \\ J &= 2V\mu \ b^{2}, \\ J &= (u+2sv)b^{2} + \underbrace{\frac{m^{2}}{2}}_{\sqrt{3}x^{8/3} - 3x^{4/3} + 6\sqrt[3]{3}x^{2/3} + 2 \ 3^{2/3}x^{2} + 3^{5/3}} \\ FOM \\ J &= \frac{N_{f}^{2}}{72(\alpha_{h}N_{f} + 2s\alpha_{v})} \frac{s}{2x^{\frac{4}{3}}} \left(\sqrt[3]{3}x^{8/3} - 3x^{4/3} + 6\sqrt[3]{3}x^{2/3} + 2 \ 3^{2/3}x^{2} + 3^{5/3}} \right) \\ x &\equiv \frac{72J}{N_{f}^{2}}(\alpha_{h}N_{f} + 2s\alpha_{v}) + \sqrt{-3 + \left(\frac{72J}{N_{f}^{2}}(\alpha_{h}N_{f} + 2s\alpha_{v})\right)^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

No contribution from fermions and gauge bosons

#### Fluctuation spectrum

# of DOF

**Dispersion relation** 

$$\begin{split} 2sN_c & \qquad \omega_{f\pm}(\ell) = \sqrt{\left(\mu + \lambda_{f\pm}\right)^2 - \frac{y^2 N_f^2 \left(m^2 - 4\mu^2\right)}{32\pi^2 \left(N_f \alpha_h + 2s\alpha_v\right)}} \\ 4s(N_f - 2s) & \qquad \omega_1 = \sqrt{J_\ell^2 + 4\mu^2} \\ 2(N_f - 2s)^2 & \qquad \omega_2 = \sqrt{J_\ell^2 + m_2^2} \\ 2s(2N_f - 3s) & \qquad \omega_{3,4} = \sqrt{J_\ell^2 + 4\mu^2} \mp 2\mu \\ \omega_{5,6} = \sqrt{J_\ell^2 + 4\mu^2} \mp 2\mu \\ 4s^2 - 2 & \qquad \omega_{7,8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2J_\ell^2 + m_1^2 + 16\mu^2} \pm \sqrt{\left(2J_\ell^2 + m_1^2 + 16\mu^2\right)^2 - 4J_\ell^2 \left(J_\ell^2 + m_1^2\right)} \\ 2 & \qquad \omega_{9,10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{2J_\ell^2 + m_0^2 + 16\mu^2} \pm \sqrt{\left(2J_\ell^2 + m_0^2 + 16\mu^2\right)^2 - 4J_\ell^2 \left(J_\ell^2 + m_0^2\right)} \\ m_0^2 = 8\mu^2 - 2m^2, \quad m_1^2 = \left(8\mu^2 - 2m^2\right) \frac{u_0}{u_0 + 2sv_0}, \quad m_2^2 = 4sv_0b^2 + m^2 \end{split}$$

#### Fluctuation spectrum

# of DOF

**Dispersion relation** 

#### Semiclassics: the NLO

It is given by the sum of the zero-point energy of the fluctuations:

$$\Delta_0 \approx \sum_{\ell} n_l \left( \sum_i \omega_i(\ell) \right)$$

 $\ell$  labels the eigenvalues of the "momentum" that have multiplicity  $n_\ell$ 

$$\Delta_0 = \Delta_0^{(scalars)} - 2sN_c\Delta_0^{(fermions)}$$

$$\Delta_0^{(fermions)} = \rho_2(N_f, N_c, s, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left[ 2(\ell+1)(\ell+2) \left( \omega_{f+}(\ell, \mu) + \omega_{f-}(\ell, \mu) \right) \right]_{d=4} + \sigma_2(\ell, N_f, N_c, s, \mu) \right]_{d=4}$$

$$\Delta_0^{(scalars)} = \rho(N_f, s, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left[ (1+\ell)^2 \left( \sum_i g_i(N_f, s) \omega_i(\ell, \mu) \right) |_{d=4} + \sigma(\ell, N_f, s, \mu) \right]$$

# Highest-weight representation

There is a family of charge configurations such that the operators can be identified with group theory alone.

This charge configuration corresponds to the highest-weight representation in the 2J-tensor power of Adjoint representation.

This has s=1.

$$Q_J = \text{diag} \{-J, J, 0, \cdots, 0\}$$
  $J = \text{semi/integer}$ 

Irrep:  $(\Gamma_J, \Gamma_J)$  of  $U(N_f) \times U(N_f)$   $\Gamma_J = (2J, 0, \cdots, 0, 2J)$ 

Example: 
$$J = 1/2$$
  
 $(\mathbf{Adj}, \mathbf{Adj}) = (N_f^2 - 1, N_f^2 - 1)$ 
 $Tr[T^a \Phi T^b \Phi^{\dagger}]$ 

#### Perturbation theory

For s=1: complete 2-loop scaling dimension obtained by combining our results with the known perturbative results for Q=2 where Q=4 s J is the classical scaling dimension of the operator.

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{Q,s=1}^{(2-\text{loop})} &= Q\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) + \frac{(Q-2)Q\alpha_h}{N_f} + \frac{2(Q-1)Q\alpha_v}{N_f^2} + Q\alpha_y - Q\left[2\left(\frac{3}{N_f^2} - \frac{4}{N_f} - 1\right)\alpha_h^2\right. \\ &+ 8\left(\frac{2}{N_f^3} - \frac{3}{N_f^2}\right)\alpha_h\alpha_v + 2\left(\frac{1}{N_f^4} - \frac{3}{N_f^2}\right)\alpha_v^2 - \frac{4\alpha_h\alpha_y}{N_f} - \frac{4\alpha_v\alpha_y}{N_f^2} \\ &+ z\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{N_f}\right)\alpha_y^2 - \frac{5}{2}\left(1 - \frac{z^2}{N_f^2}\right)\alpha_g\alpha_y\right] + Q^2\left[2\left(\frac{1}{N_f^2} - \frac{2}{N_f}\right)\alpha_h^2 \\ &+ 8\left(\frac{3}{N_f^3} - \frac{2}{N_f^2}\right)\alpha_h\alpha_v + 4\left(\frac{3}{N_f^4} - \frac{1}{N_f^2}\right)\alpha_v^2 - \frac{2\alpha_h\alpha_y}{N_f} - \frac{4\alpha_v\alpha_y}{N_f^2} + \frac{z\alpha_y^2}{N_f}\right] \\ &- \frac{2Q^3}{N_f^4}\left(N_f\alpha_h + 2\alpha_v\right)^2 \end{split}$$
(4.21)

It includes all the interactions (gauge, Yukawa, quartic)

## Perturbation theory

1-loop scaling dimension as a function of the s-parameter

$$\Delta_Q = Q + Q^2 \frac{N_f \alpha_h + 2s\alpha_v}{sN_f^2} + Q\left(\alpha_y - \frac{2s\alpha_h}{N_f} - \frac{2\alpha_v}{N_f^2}\right)$$

Higher loop terms provide an Infinite number of checks for future diagrammatic computations.

Four loop check performed in [I. Jack and D.R.T. Jones, 2021]

Varying the charge configuration we have the scaling dimension of operators transforming according to a variety of irreducible representations.

"A lot of scaling dimensions with a single computation"

### The Veneziano limit

$$N_f \to \infty$$
,  $N_c \to \infty$ ,  $z \equiv \frac{N_f}{N_c}$  = fixed

This is the limit where the fixed point exists and is perturbative.

We take the  $N_f, N_c \to \infty$  limit while keeping Q finite. We have  $\Delta_Q = \frac{Q}{4s} \Delta_{-1} + \Delta_0 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4s}{Q}\Delta_1\right) = Q\left[\underbrace{1}_{\Delta_{-1}}\underbrace{-4\alpha_h}_{\Delta_0^{(b)}}\underbrace{+\frac{z\alpha_y^2}{\alpha_h} - \alpha_y}_{-2sN_c\Delta_0^{(f)}}\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4s}{Q}\Delta_1\right)$ 

Only the term linear in Q survive, i.e.  $\Delta_Q = Q \Delta_{Q=1}$ This is the large-charge behavior of a free field theory

#### **GENERALIZED FREE FIELD THEORY PHASE**

Consequence of large-N factorization in the adjoint channel. Single trace fixed-charge operators.

# The large-charge limit

We take the  $N_f, N_c \to \infty$  limit while keeping Q the largest parameter of our theory. This implies

$$Q \gg N_f^2/\epsilon$$

$$\mathcal{J}\equiv rac{Q}{N_{f}^{2}}\left( lpha_{h}+lpha_{v}
ight)$$
 is kept fixed.

This is the limit considered in D. Orlando, S. Reffert, F. Sannino, 2020. Taking for simplicity  $s = N_f/2$ , we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{\epsilon^2 J^2} \Delta_Q = \frac{\mathcal{J}^{4/3}}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{57}{88} \left( \sqrt{23} + \sqrt{46\sqrt{23} + 189} + 12 \right) - 3.3777(1)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \\ + \frac{\mathcal{J}^{2/3}}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{19}{176} \left( \sqrt{23} + \sqrt{46\sqrt{23} + 189} + 12 \right) + 4.5881(1)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathcal{J}^0\right)$$

#### SUPERFLUID PHASE

# On the logarithms of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

or "technicalities matter"

Generally, in computing the large-charge behavior in the superfluid phase from the double-scaling limit, we have the appearance of  $Log(\mu)$  terms.

For Wilson-Fisher fixed point these are crucial to obtain the correct scaling (i.e.  $\Delta_Q \sim Q^{\frac{d}{d-1}}$ ) for a non-integer number of dimensions.

In our case, these terms cancel between scalars and fermions

Nice example of how different kinds of matter fields conspire to realize conformal dynamics.

# On the logarithms of the charge

In O(N) invariant CFTs we expect the presence of an universal term stemming from the superfluid phonon scaling as

$$Q^0 \log Q$$

G. Cuomo, "A note on the large charge expansion in 4d CFT," 2020

In our case, this term is sub-leading in the limit realizing the superfluid phase being suppressed by  $N_f$ .

Intuitively this is because we have only one superfluid phonon.

#### Phases

Our computation captures various regimes of the theory. The free parameters are  $N_f, N_c, Q, \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \equiv N_f/N_c - 11/2$ )

 $Q \gg 1, \epsilon \ll 1, Q\epsilon \ll 1$  $N_f, N_c$  arbitrary **Perturbation theory**   $N_f, N_c \to \infty$   $Q \ll N_f^2/\epsilon$ Generalized free theory  $\Delta_Q = Q \Delta_{Q=1}$ 

 $N_f, N_c \to \infty$ 

 $Q \gg N_f^2/\epsilon$ 

Superfluid phase

 $\Delta_O \sim Q^{\frac{d}{d-1}}$ 

#### Conclusions

We studied a Standard Model-like four dimensional CFT at large flavor charges.

We compute the scaling dimension of the lowest-lying operators corresponding to a two-parameters family of charge configurations.

We discussed the identification of fixed-charge operators from a group theoretical viewpoint.

By varying the parameters of our theory, we identify three distinct regimes captured by our computation.

For the superfluid phase, we discussed the cancellation of the Log( $\mu$ ) terms between scalars and fermions and the absence of universal logarithmic contributions to  $\Delta_Q$ .