

# Reviewing spinning correlators in CFTs in a sector of large global charge

I. P. Kalogerakis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Theoretical Physics  
University of Bern

”Large Charge in Les Diablerets” , Les Diablerets, July 2022  
Based on the arXiv:2203.12624

# Outline

- 1 The  $O(2)$  sector at large charge
  - Classical treatment
  - Canonical quantization
- 2 Path integral methods
  - Two-point functions
    - $\langle Q|Q \rangle$  correlator
    - $\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle$  correlators
- 3 Correlators with current insertions
- 4 Conclusions

# Outline

- 1 The O(2) sector at large charge
  - Classical treatment
  - Canonical quantization
- 2 Path integral methods
  - Two-point functions
    - $\langle Q|Q \rangle$  correlator
    - $\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle$  correlators
- 3 Correlators with current insertions
- 4 Conclusions

- Consider a conformal field theory (CFT) in  $D$ -dimensional flat space with an  $O(2)$  internal symmetry. Generically, it can be a subgroup of a larger global symmetry.
- Since flat space is conformally equivalent to the cylinder  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{D-1}$  we will work in the cylinder frame.
- Consider the state  $|Q\rangle$  generated by the scalar primary  $\mathcal{O}^Q$  with  $O(2)$  charge  $Q$ .
- We are interested in correlators of such primaries at long distances, the easiest of which can be expressed on the cylinder as

$$\langle Q, \infty | Q, -\infty \rangle = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \langle Q | e^{-\beta H_{\text{cyl}}} | Q \rangle .$$

- There is strong indication that as  $Q$  becomes very large, this correlator on the cylinder has a description in terms of a weakly coupled effective field theory (EFT):

$$\frac{SO(D+1,1) \times U(1)_Q}{SO(D) \times U(1)_{D+\mu Q}}, \quad \text{valid for energy scales} \quad \frac{1}{R} \ll E \ll \mu \sim \frac{Q^{1/(D-1)}}{R},$$

where  $R$  is the cylinder radius.

[Arxiv: 1505.01537, 2008.03308, 1611.02912](#)

- The parameter  $\mu(Q)$  can be interpreted as the chemical potential dual to the quantum number  $Q$  which is the fixed control parameter. The symmetry-breaking pattern is known as the *conformal superfluid phase*.

- The corresponding EFT in Euclidean spacetime has been computed in terms of a Goldstone field  $\chi = -i\mu\tau + \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})$ .
- $\pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  are the fluctuations over the fixed-charge ground state  $\chi^{\text{cl}} = -i\mu\tau$ .

Arxiv: 1505.01537, 1611.02912

- The action of the EFT is

$$S = -c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^{D-1}} d\tau dS (-\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2} + \text{curvature couplings},$$

where  $c_1$  an unknown Wilsonian coefficient which depends on the ultraviolet (UV) theory (*i.e.* the starting  $\text{CFT}_D$ ) and  $dS = R^{D-1} d\Omega$ .

- This is to be interpreted as an action for the fluctuation  $\pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  with cutoff  $\Lambda \sim \mu$ , so that a hierarchy is generated, and it is controlled by the dimensionless ratio  $(R\mu) \gg 1$ .
- Every observable in the EFT is expressed as an expansion in inverse powers of  $\mu$ . In particular, the ground-state action takes the form

$$S^{\text{eff}} = \left( \frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{R} \right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_r (R\mu)^{D-2r},$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_r$  depend on  $c_1$  and all other Wilsonian coefficients associated to curvature terms.

Arxiv: [1610.04495](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04495), [2010.00407](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.00407), [1805.00501](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.00501)

- Neglecting curvature couplings and expanding to quadratic order in  $\pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})$ , the EFT Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -c_1 \mu^D - i c_1 \mu^{D-1} D \dot{\pi} + c_1 \mu^{D-2} \frac{D(D-1)}{2} \left( \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{D-1} (\partial_i \pi)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{D-3}).$$

- The conjugate momentum to  $\pi$  is defined in the usual manner from the quadratic Lagrangian

$$\Pi = i \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\pi}} \Big|_{\text{lin}} = c_1 D \mu^{D-1} + i c_1 D(D-1) \mu^{D-2} \dot{\pi}.$$

- At leading order, this gives rise to the usual canonical Poisson brackets.



- The fields  $\pi$  and  $\Pi$  can be decomposed into a complete set of solutions of the equations of motion (EOM) :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) &= \pi_0 - \frac{i\Pi_0\tau}{c_1\Omega_D R^{D-1}D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1 R^{D-1}D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} \left( \frac{a_{\ell m}}{\sqrt{2\omega_\ell}} e^{-\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) + \frac{a_{\ell m}^*}{\sqrt{2\omega_\ell}} e^{\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) \right), \\ \Pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) &= c_1 D \mu^{D-1} + \frac{\Pi_0}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \\ &\quad + i\sqrt{\frac{c_1 D(D-1)\mu^{D-2}}{R^{D-1}}} \sum_{\ell, m} \left( -a_{\ell m} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_\ell}{2}} e^{-\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) + a_{\ell m}^* \sqrt{\frac{\omega_\ell}{2}} e^{\omega_\ell \tau} Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Arxiv: 1610.04495

- $\pi_0$  and  $\Pi_0$  are constant zero modes of the fields,  $\Omega_D = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)}$  is the volume of the  $D - 1$ -sphere and the  $Y_{\ell m}$  are hyperspherical harmonics.

- The dispersion relation for the oscillator modes reads

$$R\omega_\ell = \sqrt{\frac{\ell(\ell + D - 2)}{(D - 1)}}.$$

- Adding higher-curvature terms in the EFT will add subleading corrections in  $1/Q$  to this expression.
- The complex Fourier coefficients  $a_{\ell m}$  can be extracted as follows:

$$a_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 D(D - 1)\mu^{D-2}}{2\omega_\ell R^{D-1}}} \int dS [\pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \partial_\tau (Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) e^{\omega_\ell \tau}) - \partial_\tau \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) e^{\omega_\ell \tau}].$$

- The canonical Poisson bracket between  $\pi$  and  $\Pi$  corresponds to the Fourier mode brackets  $\{a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell' m'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}$ .

- The classical  $O(2)$  current and conserved charge are

$$J^\mu = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \chi}, \quad Q = \int dS J^\tau = c_1 D \Omega_D (R\mu)^{D-1} + \Pi_0.$$

- The leading contribution to the charge comes from the homogeneous term corresponding to the ground state.
- This relates the EFT scale  $\mu$  to the ground state charge  $Q_0$  as

$$\mu = \left[ \frac{Q_0}{c_1 D R^{D-1} \Omega_D} \right]^{1/(D-1)}.$$

- At leading order in the fluctuations, the charge  $Q$  of a generic solution of the EOM depends only additively on the zero mode  $\Pi_0$ ,

$$Q = Q_0 + \Pi_0.$$

- Using the state-operator correspondence, we can compute the scaling dimension of the operator  $\mathcal{O}^Q$  from the cylinder Hamiltonian.
- A generic solution of the EOM corresponds to an operator with scaling dimension

$$\Delta = RE_{\text{cyl}} = \Delta_0 + \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} \Pi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0^2} \Pi_0^2 + R \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} \omega_{\ell} a_{\ell m}^* a_{\ell m},$$

- Where

$$\Delta_0 = c_1(D-1)\Omega_D(\mu R)^D + \mathcal{O}((R\mu)^{D-2}), \quad \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} = R\mu, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0^2} = \frac{1}{c_1 D(D-1)\Omega_D(R\mu)^{D-2}}.$$

- The quantity  $\Delta_0$  corresponds to the leading "classical" contribution to the action.

- Canonical quantization in the cylinder frame is obtained by  $\tau$ -slicing, associating a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  to each fixed  $\tau$ .
- This poses no conceptual problems since the cylinder is a direct product of the time direction and a curved manifold.
- The mode coefficients in the decompositions are promoted to field operators with non-vanishing commutators,

$$[\pi_0, \Pi_0] = i, \quad [a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell' m'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}.$$

- These are equivalent to the canonical equal- $\tau$  commutator  $[\pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}), \Pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}')] = i\delta_{S^{D-1}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}')$ , where  $\delta_{S^{D-1}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}')$  is the invariant delta function on  $S^{D-1}$ .

- To build a representation of the Heisenberg algebra we start with a vacuum  $|Q\rangle$  which satisfies

$$a_{\ell m} |Q\rangle = \Pi_0 |Q\rangle = 0.$$

- As we are in finite volume, the  $O(2)$  charge is a well-defined operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  as

$$\mathcal{Q} = \int dS \Pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) = Q_0 \mathbb{1} + \Pi_0, \quad \mathcal{Q} |Q\rangle = Q_0 |Q\rangle.$$

- The non-zero charge of the vacuum can be increased by acting with the mode  $\pi_0$ , which is the only one carrying non-zero charge,

$$[\mathcal{Q}, \pi_0] = -i, \quad [\mathcal{Q}, a_{\ell m}] = [\mathcal{Q}, a_{\ell m}^\dagger] = 0.$$

- This does not lead to a degeneracy in the spectrum since these states live at the cut-off.

- The quantized quadratic Hamiltonian corresponding to the classical expression of the scaling dimension can be written as the sum of a normal-ordered operator  $:H:$  and a vacuum contribution

$$D = R :H: + \Delta_1 \mathbb{1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_1 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1, m} (R\omega_\ell).$$

- The vacuum contribution needs regulation and has physical consequences.
- This first appeared in  $D = 3$  in [Arxiv: 1611.02912](#)
- And  $D = 4, 5, 6$  in [Arxiv: 2010.00407](#)

- From the point of view of the large-charge expansion, the one-loop correction comes at order  $\mathcal{O}(Q^0 \{\log Q\})$ .
- We need to keep track in the tree-level computation also of all the curvature terms up to this order.
- In  $D = 3$  we know that

$$\Delta_0 = d_{3/2} Q^{3/2} + d_{1/2} Q^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(Q^{-1/2}),$$

- In general there will be  $\lceil (D + 1)/2 \rceil$  terms with positive  $Q$ -scaling, each controlled by a Wilsonian coefficient

[Arxiv: 2008.03308](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03308)



- The commutators between  $D$  and the various modes show which ones generate excited states when acting on the vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned} [D, a_{\ell m}] &= -R\omega_{\ell} a_{\ell m}, & [D, a_{\ell m}^{\dagger}] &= R\omega_{\ell} a_{\ell m}^{\dagger}, \\ [D, \pi_0] &= -i \frac{\partial \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0} - i \frac{\partial^2 \Delta_0}{\partial Q_0^2} \Pi_0, & [D, \Pi_0] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  of the theory is described as the Fock space generated by states of the form

$$a_{\ell_1 m_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{\ell_k m_k}^{\dagger} |Q\rangle$$

with charge  $Q_0$  and scaling dimension

$$\Delta = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k (R\omega_{\ell_i}).$$

- These states are also known as *superfluid phonon* states in the literature.

- From the  $\text{CFT}_D$  perspective, these states correspond to primary operators with different quantum numbers than  $\mathcal{O}^Q$  but same  $O(2)$  charge.
- The only exception are states including at least one  $a_{1m}^\dagger$  which are descendants since their energy is  $R\omega_1 = 1$ .
- Not all phonon states can be described within the  $\text{EFT}$ . When the  $\ell$ -quantum number becomes too large, their contribution  $R\omega_\ell$  can compete with the leading  $\Delta_0$  term, breaking the large- $Q$  expansion.
- Phonon states with comparable energy  $\omega_\ell$  should be excluded from the  $\text{EFT}$ . This sets a cutoff for the  $\ell$ -quantum number as

$$\ell_{\text{cutoff}} \sim Q^{1/(D-1)}.$$

Arxiv: 1711.02108, 1906.07283

- The structure of the spectrum and the existence of the above-mentioned charged spinning primaries is a direct prediction of the superfluid hypothesis for generic a  $O(2) - \text{CFT}_D$ .
- Canonical quantization is the appropriate framework for this discussion. One will expect corrections to scaling dimensions and the spectrum structure coming from interactions corresponding to subleading terms in large  $Q$ .
- These are best discussed within a path integral formulation.

# Outline

- 1 The  $O(2)$  sector at large charge
  - Classical treatment
  - Canonical quantization
- 2 Path integral methods
  - Two-point functions
    - $\langle Q|Q \rangle$  correlator
    - $\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle$  correlators
- 3 Correlators with current insertions
- 4 Conclusions

- An equivalent basis of the fixed- $\tau$  Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_Q$  is given by the field/momentum eigenstates

$$\chi(\mathbf{n}) |\chi\rangle = \chi(\mathbf{n}) |\chi\rangle, \quad \Pi(\mathbf{n}) |\Pi\rangle = \Pi(\mathbf{n}) |\Pi\rangle.$$

- Their bracket is fixed by the canonical commutation relations,

$$\langle \chi | \Pi \rangle = e^{i \int dS \chi \Pi}.$$

- Generically, the vacuum  $|Q\rangle$  is a superposition of momentum eigenstates without the  $\Pi_0$ -component

$$|Q\rangle = \mathcal{N}_Q \int \mathcal{D}\Pi \delta(\Pi_0) \Psi_Q(\Pi) |\Pi\rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_Q$  is a normalization factor.

- In the limit of large separation,  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ , correlators will not depend on the specifics of the vacuum wave function  $\Psi_Q$ . Without loss of generality, we can take  $\Psi_Q = 1$ .
- The overlap of  $|Q\rangle$  with field eigenstates is then given by

$$\langle \chi | Q \rangle = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_Q \exp \left\{ \frac{iQ}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \int dS \chi \right\} & \text{if } \chi \text{ is constant,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Generically, on a  $\tau$ -slice, the zero-modes of any field configuration can be separated by integrating on the sphere

$$\chi_0 = \int dS \chi.$$

- This bracket sets the correct boundary conditions for any correlators in the path integral representation of the form  $\langle Q | \dots | Q \rangle$ .

- The vacuum correlator with cylinder times  $\tau_2 > \tau_1$  can be written as follows:

$$\langle Q | e^{-\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{R} D} | Q \rangle = |\mathcal{N}_Q|^2 \int \mathcal{D}\chi \exp \left[ -S[\chi] - \frac{iQ}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int dS \dot{\chi} \right] := \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2),$$

- We introduced the notation  $\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  for future convenience.
- The path integral can be computed as a saddle-point expansion around a field configuration  $\chi^{\text{cl}}(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  which is a solution to the minimization problem

$$\delta S[\chi] = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau dS \left( -\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \chi)} \right) \delta\chi + \int dS \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\tau \chi)} + \frac{iQ}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \right) \delta\chi \Big|_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2}.$$

- The bulk EOM requires the (Euclidean)  $O(2)$  conserved current:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \chi)} = c_1 D(-\partial_\mu \chi \partial_\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi = J_\mu$$

to be divergence-free.

- The general solution compatible with the boundary conditions is the homogeneous configuration  $\chi^{\text{hom}}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) = -i\mu\tau + \pi_0$ , with  $\pi_0$  constant and the parameter  $\mu$  fixed by the boundary condition to:

$$c_1 D \mu^{D-1} = \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}},$$

- The action expansion for this ground-state fluctuation  $\chi(\tau, \mathbf{n}) = \chi^{\text{hom}}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) + \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  is, to quadratic order,

$$S = \Delta_0 \frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{R} + c_1 \mu^{D-2} \frac{D(D-1)}{2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int dS \left( \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{(D-1)R^2} (\partial_i \pi)^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{D-3}).$$

- The boundary term eliminates the linear term and correspondingly the zero-mode terms as expected.



- The normalization  $\mathcal{N}_Q$  is chosen such that the correlator takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = R^{-2(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \dots)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{R} [\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \dots] \right\},$$

- The correction  $\Delta_1$  is the Casimir energy of the fluctuation  $\pi$  around the homogeneous ground state  $\chi^{\text{fluct}}$ .
- This corresponds to the two-point function in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  normalized to unity. The Weyl map to  $\mathbb{R}^D$  can then be performed as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q}(x_2) \mathcal{O}^Q(x_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^D} = \left( \frac{|x_1|}{R} \right)^{-\Delta_Q} \left( \frac{|x_2|}{R} \right)^{-\Delta_Q} \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q}(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}_2) \mathcal{O}^Q(\tau_1, \mathbf{n}_1) \rangle_{\text{cyl}}.$$

- In the state-operator correspondence, the reference states  $|Q\rangle$  and  $\langle Q|$  correspond to insertions of scalar primaries at  $\tau = \pm\infty$ :

$$|Q\rangle := \mathcal{O}^Q(-\infty) |0\rangle, \quad \langle Q| := \langle 0| \mathcal{O}^Q(\infty)^\dagger,$$

- Correlators of one-phonon states are obtained by acting with a single creation operator  $a_{\ell m}^\dagger$  on the vacuum  $|Q\rangle$ :

$$|{}_{\ell m}^Q\rangle = a_{\ell m}^\dagger |Q\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad |{}_{00}^Q\rangle = |Q\rangle.$$

- In canonical quantization, using the commutation relations of the  $a_{\ell m}$  and  $a_{\ell m}^\dagger$  the two-point function is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \langle {}_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q | {}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= \langle Q | a_{\ell_2 m_2} e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)D/R} a_{\ell_1 m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle = \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\omega_\ell} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \\ &= R^\Delta e^{-\Delta(\tau_2 - \tau_1)/R} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

- $\Delta$  is the conformal dimension, consistent with the general structure of a conformal two-point function on the cylinder.

- This is true to quadratic order in the Hamiltonian. We expect loop corrections to shift the spectrum in a complicated way.
- It is convenient to formulate the correlator as a path integral. This can be done in a straightforward manner by expressing  $a_{\ell m}$  in terms of the fields, so that one finds

$$\langle a_{\ell_2 m_2}^Q | a_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = \frac{c_1 D(D-1) \mu^{D-2}}{2R^{D-1} \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}}} \int dS(\mathbf{n}_2) \int dS(\mathbf{n}_1) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}_2) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}_1) \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \lim_{\substack{\tau \rightarrow \tau_1 \\ \tau' \rightarrow \tau_2}} (\omega_{\ell_2} - \partial_{\tau'}) (\omega_{\ell_1} + \partial_{\tau}) \langle \pi(\tau', \mathbf{n}_2) \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n}_1) \rangle,$$

- The two-point function of the Goldstone fluctuations is defined as

$$\langle \pi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}_2) \pi(\tau_1, \mathbf{n}_1) \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle Q, \tau_2 | Q, \tau_1 \rangle} \int \mathcal{D}\pi \pi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}_2) \pi(\tau_1, \mathbf{n}_1) e^{-S[\pi]}.$$

- The information about the spectrum is contained in the full  $\pi$ -fluctuation two-point function.
- In this formalism, the result in the canonical quantization is replicated by using the tree-level propagator, which on the cylinder reads

$$\langle \pi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}_2) \pi(\tau_1, \mathbf{n}_1) \rangle = \frac{1}{c_1 D(D-1)(\mu R)^{D-2}} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_m Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}_2)^* Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}_1) - \frac{|\tau_2 - \tau_1|}{2R\Omega_D} \right).$$

- The computation of  $\langle \ell_2 m_2^Q | \ell_1 m_1^Q \rangle$  in canonical quantization is generalized to states with more phonon excitations.
- For two phonon excitations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\ell_2 m_2)^Q | (\ell_1 m_1)^Q \rangle &= \langle Q | a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_2' m_2'} e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)D/R} a_{\ell_1' m_1'}^\dagger a_{\ell_1 m_1}^\dagger | Q \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)(\omega_{\ell_2} + \omega_{\ell_2'})} \left( \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{\ell_1' \ell_2'} \delta_{m_1' m_2'} + \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2'} \delta_{m_1 m_2'} \delta_{\ell_1' \ell_2} \delta_{m_1' m_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- For states with higher numbers of phonon excitations the energy is just corrected accordingly and there is a sum over all possible permutations of Kronecker deltas.

# Outline

- 1 The  $O(2)$  sector at large charge
  - Classical treatment
  - Canonical quantization
- 2 Path integral methods
  - Two-point functions
    - $\langle Q|Q \rangle$  correlator
    - $\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle$  correlators
- 3 Correlators with current insertions
- 4 Conclusions

- Working with an EFT at large charge guarantees that the physics at the fixed point is captured by a free theory.
- Hence, we are able to explicitly compute three- and four-point functions with current insertions between spinful large-charge primaries  $\mathcal{O}_{lm}^Q$  for a strongly coupled system using only the operator algebra.
- Some of these correlators have already appeared in the literature in the scalar case  $\ell = 0$ .

Arxiv: [1611.02912](#), [1710.11161](#), [2102.12583](#)

- The classical conserved currents in the model are

$$J_\mu = c_1 D(-\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi,$$
$$T_{\mu\nu} = c_1 \left\{ D(-\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2-1} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi + g_{\mu\nu} (-\partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi)^{D/2} \right\}.$$

- Their integrals are related to the conserved charges of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .



- On the cylinder, the currents are expanded in fluctuations around  $\chi^{\text{cyl}}(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  up to quadratic order as

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\tau &= -i \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{i}{\mu} (D-1) \dot{\pi} - \frac{(D-2)(D-1)}{2\mu^2} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{R^2(D-1)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right\}, \\
 J_i &= \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu R} \partial_i \pi + \frac{i}{\mu} \frac{(D-2)}{\mu R} \dot{\pi} \partial_i \pi + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right\}, \\
 T_{\tau\tau} &= -\frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left\{ 1 + i \frac{D}{\mu} \dot{\pi} - \frac{D(D-1)}{2\mu^2} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(D-3)(\partial_i \pi)^2}{R^2(D-1)^2} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right\}, \\
 T_{\tau i} &= -i \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu R} \frac{D}{D-1} \partial_i \pi + \frac{i}{\mu} \frac{D}{\mu R} \dot{\pi} \partial_i \pi + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right] \\
 T_{ij} &= \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \frac{h_{ij}}{(D-1)} \left\{ 1 + i \frac{D}{\mu} \dot{\pi} - \frac{D(D-1)}{2\mu^2} \left[ \dot{\pi}^2 + \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{R^2(D-1)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D R^D} \frac{1}{(\mu R)^2} \frac{D}{(D-1)} \left\{ \partial_i \pi \partial_j \pi + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-3}) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

- $h_{ij}$  is the metric on the  $D-1$ -sphere and homogeneity of  $\chi^{\text{cyl}}$  guarantees that  $T_{\tau i} = J_i = 0$  at leading order.

- We will discuss correlators of these currents in the canonically quantized setting which is sufficient for leading-order results.
- Integrating  $J_\tau$  or  $T_{\tau\tau}$  over spatial slices gives rise to the charge operators  $D$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ .
- When inserted at time  $\tau$  they measure the scaling dimension and the  $O(2)$ -charge of any operator insertion contained in the half-cylinder  $(\tau, -\infty) \times S^{D-1}$ . This fact is expressed by the Ward identities

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}(\tau) \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i(\tau_i, \mathbf{n}_i) \rangle = \sum_{\tau_i < \tau} Q_i \langle \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i(\tau_i, \mathbf{n}_i) \rangle,$$

$$\langle D(\tau) \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i(\tau_i, \mathbf{n}_i) \rangle = \sum_{\tau_i < \tau} \Delta_i \langle \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i(\tau_i, \mathbf{n}_i) \rangle.$$

- These identities hold order by order in a loop expansion and can be used to constrain correlators with insertions of the currents.

- We first compute the correlator of two spinning primaries  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q|0\rangle = a_{\ell m}^\dagger|Q\rangle$  inserted at times  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  with an insertion of  $J_\mu(\tau, \mathbf{x})$  at time  $\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_2$ . To leading order one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -i \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \right. \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) (D-1)(D-2) \Omega_D \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}}}{2D\Delta_0} \left[ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2(D-1)\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} \right] \left. \right\}, \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= i \frac{Q(D-2)}{2\Delta_0 R^{D-1} D} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- For later convenience we have introduced

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) e^{-(\tau_2 - \tau_1)\omega_{\ell_2}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) := e^{-\Delta_2(\tau_2 - \tau)/R - \Delta_1(\tau - \tau_1)/R}.$$

- This generalizes  $\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathcal{A}_\Delta(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  defined by the two-point function  $\langle Q|Q\rangle$ .
- If we integrate  $J_\tau$  over the sphere, we obtain the conserved charge, so the integral over the three-point function with a  $J_\tau$  is fixed by the Ward identity, giving us a consistency check:

$$\int dS(\mathbf{n}) \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -iQ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_{Q+R\omega_{\ell_2}}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}.$$

- The current is a sum of a classical piece and quantum corrections. The classical part is homogeneous and, by charge conservation, time-independent. It follows that the classical contribution to the three-point function must be proportional to the two-point function

$$\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle = \mathcal{A} \Delta_{Q+R\omega\ell_2}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \delta_{\ell_1\ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}.$$

- The quantum piece will in general give a contribution that has the same tensor structure as the left-hand side (LHS) and since it is not homogeneous, can be decomposed into spherical harmonics. Moreover, by charge conservation its integral must vanish.
- In the same way, the classical piece of  $J_i$  is zero so we only have the inhomogeneous quantum contribution in the  $J_i$  correlator.

- The separation into a homogeneous classical part and a space-dependent quantum contribution applies to any physical observable.
- The special case of  $\ell_i = 0$  corresponds to the scalar ground state  $|Q\rangle$  and Ward identities guarantee that  $\langle Q|J_i|Q\rangle = 0$  to all orders.
- From the above relations one can extract the corresponding operator product expansion (OPE) coefficient:

$$C_{\theta_{lm}^Q J_\tau}^{\theta_{lm}^Q} = \frac{\langle \theta_{lm}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \theta_{lm}^Q \rangle}{\langle \theta_{lm}^{-Q} \theta_{lm}^Q \rangle} = -i \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}}.$$

- These correlators can also be computed for higher-phonon states.

- We next compute the correlators with two insertions of  $J_\mu$  at cylinder times  $\tau < \tau'$  between insertions of  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q$  at  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  such that  $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau' > \tau_1$ . Two insertions of  $J_\tau$  result in

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_\tau(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{Q^2}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-2}} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{(D-1)^2}{2D \Delta_1} \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell} R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)} C_{\ell}^{D/2-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q^2 (D-1)^2}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-2} D} \frac{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{\Delta_0} \left( -\frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{(D-2)}{(D-1)} \left[ \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n})}{(D-1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} - Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \right] \right) + ((\tau, \mathbf{n}) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}')) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the Gegenbauer polynomials

$$C_{\ell}^{D/2-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') = \frac{(D-2)\Omega_D}{D+2\ell-2} \sum_m Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}').$$

- Integrating this result over the sphere centered at the insertion point  $(\tau, \mathbf{n})$  gives again the conserved charge as in the Ward identity and must eliminate the  $\tau$ -dependence

$$\int dS(\mathbf{n}) \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_\tau(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -iQ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle.$$

- The remaining components of the  $JJ$  correlators read

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_\tau(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_i(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_j(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q^2}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-2} \Delta_0 D} \\ &\left[ \partial_i \partial_j' \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}}}{R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2} - 1} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial_j Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} + \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- For the last correlator the tree-level contribution vanishes, but it is not symmetry protected so that subleading corrections may appear.



- Now we compute correlators with an insertion of the stress-energy tensor  $T$  at cylinder time  $\tau$  with spinning operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q$  at  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  such  $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau_1$ .
- The insertion of the  $T_{\tau\tau}$  component leads to

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{1}{\Omega_D R^D} \left\{ (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \frac{\Omega_D}{2} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \left[ (D-1) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - \frac{(D-3)}{(D-1)} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right] \right\}.$$

- For insertions at large separation  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow \pm\infty$  and  $\ell_2 = \ell_1$ , the three-point function does not depend on the  $\tau$ -slice of the  $T$  insertion.
- Integrating this result over the sphere insertion point  $\mathbf{n}$  eliminates the  $\tau$ -dependence according to the Ward identity:

$$\int dS(\mathbf{n}) \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_\ell}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{1}{R} (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + R\omega_\ell) \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}.$$

- Correlators involving the other components of the stress-energy tensor read

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{1}{2R^D} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{1}{(D-1)\Omega_D R^D} \\ &\quad \left\{ h_{ij} \left[ (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\Omega_D R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2} \left( (D-1) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \Omega_D \frac{\partial_{(i} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_{j)} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- An insertion of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $T_{\tau\tau} + h^{ij} T_{ij}$  vanishes on any phonon state by conformal invariance.

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} h^{ij} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = \mathcal{N}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{1}{(D-1)\Omega_D R^D} \left\{ (D-1)(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1) \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \frac{\Omega_D R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2} \left( (D-1)^2 Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (D-3) \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \right\},$$

which sums to zero with  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle$ .

- Finally, there is a total of six correlators with two insertions of the stress-energy tensor at  $\tau > \tau'$  between spinning operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q$  at  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  such that  $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau' > \tau_1$  and six correlators involving the various components of one insertion of the stress-energy tensor and one insertion of the  $O(2)$ -current respectively at times  $\tau > \tau'$  between spinning operators  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell m}^Q$  at  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  such that we have the ordering  $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau' > \tau_1$ .

# Outline

- 1 The  $O(2)$  sector at large charge
  - Classical treatment
  - Canonical quantization
- 2 Path integral methods
  - Two-point functions
    - $\langle Q|Q \rangle$  correlator
    - $\langle \ell_2^Q m_2 | \ell_1^Q m_1 \rangle$  correlators
- 3 Correlators with current insertions
- 4 Conclusions

- We have systematically collected three- and four-point correlators of a CFT with a global  $O(2)$  symmetry using the large-charge expansion.
- We have studied in particular correlators with current insertions of  $J$  and  $T$  sandwiched between either the scalar large-charge ground state or higher phonon states with spin.
- The general structure of our correlators contains contributions with positive  $Q$ -scaling coming from the tree-level EFT Lagrangian plus quantum corrections starting at order  $Q^0$  which are independent of the Wilsonian coefficients in odd dimensions.
- A non-trivial position dependence in our correlators must always be due to the quantum corrections since the ground state is homogeneous.

- The results here hold for the  $O(2)$  model in  $D$  dimensions at large charge or the homogeneous  $O(2)$  sector of a CFT with a larger global symmetry group. Once we want to discuss the full non-Abelian structure, things become more complicated.
- The first observation is that the correct quantity to fix is not a set of charges, but a representation.
- The immediate generalization corresponds to fixing the completely symmetric representation.
- Other representations can be obtained in two ways: by exciting type-II Goldstones that are charged under the global symmetry or by starting from an inhomogeneous ground state corresponding to a different saddle point.
- The two approaches must give the same result in the appropriate limit, but have their own technical complications.

- Type-II Goldstones contribute at order  $1/\mu$  and for this reason they do not play a role in the computations in the present work, but in order to be studied consistently they require the addition of new subleading terms to the EFT.
- The inhomogeneous saddle is to date only known for the simple case of the  $O(4)$  model and an analytic expression is available only in a special limit.

[Arxiv: 1902.09542](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.09542)

- Moreover, since it breaks the  $SO(D)$  rotational invariance that we have used intensively in our present computations, one expects that computing correlation functions using both the tree-level and the quantum corrections will be more technically challenging.

# Thank you!



- Starting from the vacuum  $|Q\rangle$  one can obtain a state annihilated by  $a_{\ell m}$  with charge  $Q_0 + q$  and scaling dimension  $\Delta_0(Q_0 + q)$ :

$$|Q + q\rangle = e^{i\pi_0 q} |Q\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{iq}{\Omega_D R^{D-1}} \int dS \pi(\tau, \mathbf{n})\right] |Q\rangle.$$

- While these states are all annihilated by the ladder operators, since  $[a_{\ell m}, \pi_0] = 0$ , they are not zero modes of  $\Pi_0$ .
- They do not represent degenerate vacua, but they have a gap

$$\Delta_0(Q_0 + q) - \Delta_0(Q_0) \sim q(R\mu).$$

- $\pi_0$  is the only operator on which the  $O(2)$ -charge acts non-trivially, it has to be compact,  $\pi_0 \sim \pi_0 + 2\pi\mathbb{1}$ , which implies that  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- States with charge  $Q_0 + q$  live at the EFT cutoff and will not be discussed any further.

- We are mostly interested in correlators in which the vacuum  $|Q\rangle$  is inserted at large separation on the cylinder, namely at  $\tau = \pm\infty$ . In this case the details on the boundary conditions the vacuum imposes are irrelevant. We can now construct path integrals for the norm of the states which correspond to two-points functions of the corresponding primaries in the  $CFT_D$  at large cylinder-time separation.

- These read

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \\
 &\left\{ \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} R \omega_{\ell} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{D - 2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2} - 1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right] \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \right. \\
 &+ \frac{D\Omega_D}{2} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \left[ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}} + Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}} \right] \left. \right\} \\
 &+ \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Omega_D \Delta_0 R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \left( (D - 1) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{(D - 3)}{(D - 1)} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) + ((\tau, \mathbf{n}) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}')) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This correlator is symmetric under  $(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}')$ . The  $\ell \equiv 0$

special case of this correlator has already appeared .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{kn}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0}{(D-1)^2 \Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \\
 &\left\{ \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} R \omega_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right] h_{ij} h_{kn} \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \\
 + \frac{D \Omega_D}{2} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} &\left( Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}} + Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) h_{ij} h_{kn} \left. \right\} \\
 + \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Omega_D \Delta_0 R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2(D-1) \Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \right. &\left[ 2 \frac{\partial_{(i} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_{j)} Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} + Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) h_{ij} \right. \\
 - \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} &\left. \left. \right] h_{kn} + \left( (\tau, \mathbf{n}, ij) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}', kn) \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau j}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= - \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{2(D-1)^2 \Omega_D R^{2D}} \\
 \left\{ \partial_i \partial'_j \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}}}{R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2) \Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. &+ \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial'_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}} \\
 &\left. + \frac{\partial'_j Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This correlator is symmetric under  $(\tau, \mathbf{n}, i) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}', j)$ .

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau \tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{2\Omega_D R^{2D}} \frac{1}{(D-1)}$$

$$\left\{ \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{(D-1)}{D} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right] \right\}.$$

Since  $T_{\tau i}$  vanishes on the ground-state solution, the correlator solely receives a second-order contribution from the linear terms and the quadratic term of  $T_{\tau i}$ . Moving to the combination  $T_{\tau i} T_{jk}$  one finds

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{jk}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 D}{2\Omega_D R^{2D}} \frac{h_{jk}}{(D-1)^2}$$

$$\left\{ \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n})}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{(D-1)}{D} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right] \right\}.$$

Again, besides the linear terms only the quadratic term of  $T_{\tau i}$  contributes at second order. In addition, the correlator  $\langle * \rangle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) h^{jk}(\mathbf{n}') T_{jk}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q$  differs by a minus sign from the previous correlator

with an insertion of  $T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}')$ , as imposed by conformal invariance.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{ij}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -\mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \frac{h_{ij}}{(D-1)} \\
 &\quad \left\{ \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 + \frac{D\Omega_D}{2} \sum_{\ell} R\omega_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'|\omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right] \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{D\Omega_D}{2} R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2}\omega_{\ell_1}} \left[ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') e^{(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell_1}} + Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) e^{-(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell_2}} \right] \right\} \\
 &- \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{\Delta_0 R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1}\omega_{\ell_2}}}{2\Omega_D R^{2D}} h_{ij} \left\{ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - \frac{(D-3)}{(D-1)^2} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\} \\
 &- \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q+R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau') \frac{\Delta_0 R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1}\omega_{\ell_2}}}{2\Omega_D R^{2D}} \left\{ h_{ij} \left[ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') - \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{(D-1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{(D-1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This correlator is not symmetric in  $(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}')$ , however, by conformal invariance, the correlator  $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) h^{ij}(\mathbf{n}) T_{ij}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle$  is symmetric in  $(\tau, \mathbf{n}) \leftrightarrow (\tau', \mathbf{n}')$ .

One can check directly that the above correlators satisfy the Ward identity for  $T_{\tau\tau}$  insertions in Eq. (??). For example, for two insertions of  $T_{\tau\tau}$  one finds

$$\int dS(\mathbf{n}) \langle * \rangle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q = -\frac{1}{R} (\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + R\omega_{\ell_2}) \langle * \rangle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q.$$

In the special case  $\ell = 0$ , the above correlators simplify as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}} R \omega_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right], \\
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{kn}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \frac{h_{ij} h_{kn}}{(D-1)^2} \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\ell} \frac{R \omega_{\ell}}{e^{|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right], \\
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau j}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= -\frac{\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D-1)^2 \Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \partial_i \partial'_j \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}}}{R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'), \\
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= -\frac{\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D}{2(D-1) \Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'), \\
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{jk}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= \frac{\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_0 D h_{jk}}{2(D-1)^2 \Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'), \\
 \langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{ij}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle &= -\mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{\Delta_0}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D}} \frac{h_{ij}}{(D-1)} \left[ \Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{D}{2} \sum_{\ell} R \omega_{\ell} e^{-|\tau-\tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0$  correlator with insertions of  $T_{\tau i} T_{\tau\tau}$  was computed in the macroscopic limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . We now consider correlators with There are six correlators involving the various components which can be computed as follows:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_{\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}}$$

$$\left\{ \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2} - 1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right) \right\}.$$

$T_{\tau i}$  vanishes on the ground state and hence the quadratic contributions only come from the linear terms and the quadratic term of  $T_{\tau i}$ . The combination  $J_i T_{\tau\tau}$  instead leads to

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}}$$

$$\left[ \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D - 2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2} - 1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{(D - 2)}{D} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right) \right].$$



This correlator is related to the previous one. From the expansions in Eq. (??) it is clear that this has to be the case.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_{\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q(D-1)}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} \\ &\left\{ \left[ \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} + \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} \right] + \sum_{\ell} \frac{R \omega_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}}}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right. \\ &+ \frac{(D-2)}{D} \left[ Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') - \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{R^2 (D-1) \omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_1}} \right] + \frac{2}{(D-1)} \left[ \frac{1}{\Omega_D} \left( \frac{\Delta_0 + \Delta_1}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right) \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( (D-1) Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - \frac{(D-3)}{(D-1)} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the quadratic term from  $J_{\tau}$  vanishes after integration over  $\mathbf{n}'$ , whereas the quadratic term from  $T_{\tau\tau}$  remains finite after integration over  $\mathbf{n}$ . This is so because it has to correct the energy by  $R\omega_{\ell_2}$ , in accordance with the Ward identities.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_j(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{1}{(D-1)} \\ &\left\{ \partial_i \partial'_j \sum_{\ell} \frac{e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}}}{R \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \frac{\partial'_j Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial'_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{R \sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Both  $T_{\tau i}$  and  $J_i$  vanish on the ground state and hence the only quadratic contribution comes from the two linear terms.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_i(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \\ &\left\{ h_{ij} \left[ \frac{(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)}{\Omega_D (D-1)} \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} R \omega_{\ell} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right. \right. \\ &+ \frac{R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_2} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{2} \left( \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} + \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}')}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \left[ 1 + \frac{(D-2)}{D} \right] Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) \right. \\ &\left. \left. - \left[ 1 + \frac{(D-2)}{D} \right] \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{(D-1) R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \right] \right\} + \frac{R\sqrt{\omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}}}{(D-1)} \frac{\partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_j Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{R^2 \omega_{\ell_1} \omega_{\ell_2}} \end{aligned}$$

This correlator is related to the  $TJ$  correlator due to the fact that  $h^{ij} T_{ij} = -T_{\tau\tau}$ , which holds by virtue of conformal invariance.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{jk}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= i \mathcal{A}_{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_1}}^{\Delta_Q + R\omega_{\ell_2}}(\tau_1, \tau_2 | \tau) \frac{Q}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \frac{h_{jk}}{(D-1)} \\ &\left\{ \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-|\tau - \tau'| \omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D + 2\ell - 2)}{(D-2)\Omega_D} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n})}{e^{(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_2}}} \right. \\ &\left. - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}}{\omega_{\ell_2}}} \frac{Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}') \partial_i Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n})}{e^{-(\tau - \tau') \omega_{\ell_1}}} + \frac{(D-2)}{D} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\ell_2}}{\omega_{\ell_1}}} Y_{\ell_2 m_2}^*(\mathbf{n}) \partial_i Y_{\ell_1 m_1}(\mathbf{n}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)^* \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This correlator is proportional to  $h_{jk}$  since the quadratic term in the expansion of  $T_{jk}$  only appears at cubic order in the correlator. This is no longer the case once one includes higher-order corrections.

In the special case  $\ell = 0$  the  $TJ$  correlators simplify significantly:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_{\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle = -i \frac{Q \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-1}} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'),$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{\tau\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}^Q \rangle = -i \frac{Q \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-1}} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} e^{-(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_{\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= i \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \frac{Q(D-1)}{2\Omega_D R^{2D-1}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{\ell} R\omega_{\ell} e^{-(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') + \frac{2(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)}{(D-1)\Omega_D} \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \delta_{m_2 m_1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{\tau i}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_j(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = -i \frac{Q \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2(D-1)\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-1}} \partial_i \partial'_j \sum_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} \frac{C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}')}{e^{(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}} R\omega_{\ell}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} T_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{n}) J_{\tau}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle &= -i \frac{Q \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-1}} h_{ij} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)}{D-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell} e^{-(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}} R\omega_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\ell_2 m_2}^{-Q} J_i(\tau, \mathbf{n}) T_{jk}(\tau', \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{O}_{\ell_1 m_1}^Q \rangle = i \frac{Q \mathcal{A}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2\Omega_D^2 R^{2D-1}} \frac{h_{jk}}{(D-1)} \partial_i \sum_{\ell} \frac{(D+2\ell-2)}{D-2} \frac{C_{\ell}^{\frac{D}{2}-1}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}')}{e^{(\tau-\tau')\omega_{\ell}}}.$$

The correlators  $J_i T_{\tau\tau}$  and  $T_{\tau i} J_{\tau}$  in the special case  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0$  has appeared in the macroscopic limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .