KEEKE

#### Design of a 'bent linac' for carbon ion therapy

V. Bencini





# A quick intro to hadron therapy

Hadron therapy exploits Bragg peak to precisely target tumor cells, sparing the surrounding healthy tissue

- Particularly beneficial when treating solid tumors in critical regions (liver, brain, spinal column)
- Carbon ion therapy specifically tailored to treat radioresistant tumors (narrower Bragg peak)







(a) X-ray beam

(b) Proton beam

Emory Proton Therapy Center, http://news.emory.edu/features/2018/11/proton-therapycenter/index.html, 2020

## Why don't we use just hadron therapy?

A hadron therapy treatment costs at least 2 times a radiotherapy one.

### How to address the problem

#### A hadron therapy treatment costs at least 2 times a radiotherapy one.

Hadron therapy accelerators must be designed aiming for high treatment quality and low cost.

Low cost				
•	Small footprint (reduce facility costs)			
•	Reduced cost components (highly modular, available on the market)			
•	Low operational costs (power, maintenance)			

### What we do need

#### <u>A hadron therapy treatment costs at least 2 times a radiotherapy one.</u>

Hadron therapy accelerators must be designed aiming for high treatment quality and low cost.

#### Low cost

- Small footprint (reduce facility costs)
- Reduced cost components (highly modular, available on the market)
- Low operational costs (power, maintenance)



#### High beam quality

- Small spot size (small emittance, small divergence)
- Ideally monochromatic (small distal error)
- Short treatment time (fast energy modulation)

### Linacs are very promising

#### <u>A hadron therapy treatment costs at least 2 times a radiotherapy one.</u>

Hadron therapy accelerators must be designed aiming for high treatment quality and low cost.



### How linacs look like



## The special feature of hadron therapy linacs



## The special feature of hadron therapy linacs



## The special feature of hadron therapy linacs



## A few numbers



	Linac	Features	
Energy modulation	Active	Prevent activation issues	
Time for energy modulation	5 ms	Pulse to pulse	
Footprint	440 m <sup>2</sup>	Small compared to synchrotrons	
Magnets	Permanent	Reduced power consumption	
Beam emittance RMS Norm.	$0.02 \ \pi \ mm \ mrad$	Extremely precise treatment	

## Why would we bend a linac?

How the footprint is occupied is critical to fit the accelerator into a hospital facility



	Shape	Beam dynamics
Linear	×	$\checkmark$

## The footprint problem

How the footprint is occupied is critical to fit the accelerator into a hospital facility



## The footprint problem

How the footprint is occupied is critical to fit the accelerator into a hospital facility



### The bent linac solution

#### Interlaced cavity-dipole scheme



15 m

### Linac layout



Ε

15

#### The bent linac



#### TwinEBIS source



### MEDeGUN commissioning



#### lon extraction

2020/2021 ion commissioning







- 1) Collector
- 2) Extractor
- 3) Adaptor
- 4) Rings
- 5) Gridded lens
- 6) Einzel lens
- 7) Switchyard
- 8) Deflector

Courtesy of H. Pahl



- 1) Collector
- 2) Extractor
- 3) Adaptor
- 4) Rings
- 5) Gridded lens
- 6) Einzel lens
- 7) Switchyard
- 8) Deflector

#### Main goals

- Simulate beam dynamics in the LEBT
- Beam matching to the RFQ
- Assess flexibility of the system

#### Where we are

• Beam matching purpose was to maximize transmission in RFQ acceptance



Einzel lens [kV]

- Beam matching purpose was to maximize transmission in RFQ acceptance
- Development of optimization routine to find the best operational settings



#### Where we are

- Beam matching purpose was to maximize transmission in RFQ acceptance
- Development of optimization routine to find the best operational settings
- LEBT has been assembled and is now under vacuum





- The LEBT is ready to be branched to TwinEBIS
- Measurements will be used to validate simulations

#### 06/10/2021

#### 750 MHz RFQ design



## What is a RFQ?

RF resonating cavity hosting four vanes at alternating voltages

- transverse focusing (FODO-like)
- modulation on electrodes produces longitudinal electric field for acceleration
- accepts continuous input beam from particle source, structures it into bunches







750 MHz RFQ designed to be compact and operate at low power (reduce cost!)

#### Where we are

• Designed on purpose to have 50 % transmission

Courtesy of H. Pommerenke

750 MHz RFQ designed to be compact and operate at low power (reduce cost!)

- Designed on purpose to have 50 % transmission
- Shape the beam for injection into the 3 GHz bent linac



750 MHz RFQ designed to be compact and operate at low power (reduce cost!)

- Designed on purpose to have 50 % transmission
- Shape the beam for injection into the 3 GHz bent linac
- Trapezoidal vanes for higher efficiency





Courtesy of H. Pommerenke

750 MHz RFQ designed to be compact and operate at low power (reduce cost!)

#### Where we are

- Designed on purpose to have 50 % transmission
- Shape the beam for injection into the 3 GHz bent linac
- Trapezoidal vanes for higher efficiency

#### What's next?

- The RFQ design was the result of a great collaboration between Beam dynamics and RF people!
- RFQ is presently under construction in the framework of a collaboration with CIEMAT



Courtesy of H. Pommerenke

#### The bent linac design



Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy



Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy

- Layout and **beam dynamics design** of the full linac ٠
- Development of **Python** script for lattice design •



Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy

- Layout and **beam dynamics design** of the full linac
- Development of Python script for lattice design
- Concept and beam dynamics of **bent section** scheme



#### Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy

- Layout and **beam dynamics design** of the full linac
- Development of **Python** script for lattice design
- Concept and beam dynamics of **bent section** scheme
- End-to-end tracking for design validation



#### Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy

- Layout and **beam dynamics design** of the full linac
- Development of Python script for lattice design
- Concept and beam dynamics of **bent section** scheme
- End-to-end tracking for design validation

	Energy modulated	
	$100 \ MeV/u$	$430 \ {\rm MeV/u}$
$\varepsilon_{xx',RMS,norm.}(\pi \ mm \ mrad)$	0.0284	0.0279
$\varepsilon_{yy',RMS,norm.}(\pi \ mm \ mrad)$	0.0269	0.0268
$\varepsilon_{\varphi W,RMS,norm.}(\pi \ deg \ MeV)$	0.6220	0.6230



#### Design of a 3 GHz linac for carbon ion therapy

#### Where we are

- Layout and **beam dynamics design** of the full linac
- Development of **Python** script for lattice design
- Concept and beam dynamics of **bent section** scheme
- End-to-end tracking for design validation



#### What's next

- RF design of the accelerating cavities, error studies, beam instrumentation specs definition, etc..
- Adjust the design to measured beams

### To conclude...

- The pre-injector, under construction by CIEMAT, will stand as a demonstrator of the feasibility of the low energy section of the machine
- The simulation and design work on the different components of the machine indicate the capability of the bent linac of providing a high-quality beam widely within treatment specifications.
- The bent section of the machine introduces a new approach to linac design and a new degree of flexibility in rearranging the shape of a linac to fit into a hospital facility.

Last but not least...

- My adventure working on the bent linac came to an end...
- It was a great experience to work on such a beautiful project and with so many incredible people!

#### Thanks to all the people from many groups (RF, KT, BE, EN...) who collaborated to this project:

F. Wenander, H. Pahl, J. Pitter, A. Pikin, G. Khutri, A. Lombardi, J.B. Lallement, H. Pommerenke, A. Grudiev, M. Cirilli, S. Matot, the TwinEBIS team and the Linac4 team!

#### Thank you!



#### The RFQ was proposed in 4 versions



## The fixed energy section



### The IH-structure option

In the framework of the collaboration with CIEMAT two alternative layouts have been proposed that consider an IH-structure at 750 MHz instead of the 3 GHz SCDTL.

#### Advantages

- Same frequency of the RFQ (easy injection)
- High efficiency (ZTT) in the considered energy range, thus lower power consumption

#### Drawbacks

• More difficult transverse matching (symmetric beam due to triplet focusing)



### IH-structure design

Two options (1 and 2) with different voltage configurations (A and B) for a total of 4 configurations:

Option 1

- OPTION (1A): RFQ2 (up to 5 MeV/u) + IH-KONUS (constant voltage per gap 150 kV)
- OPTION (1B): RFQ2 (up to 5 MeV/u) + IH-KONUS (more conservative voltage per gap between 120-140 kV)

#### Option 2

- OPTION (2A): Only IH-KONUS cavities (constant voltage per gap 150 kV)
- OPTION (2B): Only IH-KONUS cavities (more conservative voltage per gap between 100-140 kV)

The IH design is based on KONUS dynamics configuration, which includes RF gaps and quadrupole magnets.

### The IH-structure option



### The IH-structure option

