

Non-Linear dynamics modelling in accelerators, applied to beam-beam long-range compensation for HL-LHC Yannis Papaphilippou, Kyriacos Skoufaris, Guido Sterbini, et al.



High Order Symplectic Integrators With Only Forward Integration Steps

Mitigation of the Long Range Beam-Beam Interactions in HL-LHC with DC Wire





- The design towards optimal operation of an accelerator relies heavily on simulations of the particle's motion.
- Based on these simulations, quantities correlated with beam lifetime evaluated for the improvement of machine performance.
 - Dynamics aperture (DA), the region in phase space where stable motion occurs over a certain time span
 - Friquency Maps Analisies, a depiction of resonance phenomena





For the case of a collider like the LHC/HL-LHC



• For the simulation/study of the particles dynamics (motion), **the equations of motion must be solved for every electromagnetic element** in the lattice.



- In order to appreciate the complexity of the integration processes
 - ~10⁴ turns per second
 - ~10⁴ electromagnetic elements at each turn (RF cavities, multipolar magnets, beambeam interaction, etc.).

- For the majority of the accelerator elements, the **equations of motion can not be solved analytically,** thus **numerical integration scheme must be used**.
- This integration has to be symplectic.
- Symplecticity is an inherent feature of any Hamiltonian (conservative) system and preserve among other mathematical properties, the "energy" of the system.





- Symplectic are the integrators that transform an initial set of variables ψ₀=(q₁,p₁,...,p_N)^T to a new set of variables ψ=(Q₁,P₁,...,P_N)^T that preserve the "energy".
- Instead of using an arbitrary high order numerical integrator to continuously solve the eq. of motions, a symplectic map that transports the particle between distinct positions of an element can be build. This map approximates as close as possible the continuous trajectory and guarantees the conservation of "energy".





• Such a symplectic map/integrator (that is an approximated solution of the original problem) can be constructed from a series of drifts and kicks.



- The accuracy of the integration scheme is controlled by:
 - the number and symmetry of the drifts and kicks
 - the values of the cn and dn constants



- For systems with Hamiltonians presenting certain mathematical properties like the ones found in particle accelerators, a new set of symplectic integrators called CSABA_m can be used.
 - Include only positive integration steps (c_n, d_n > 0) that guarantee the stability of the integration scheme even for large integration steps (λ).





 In order to compare the performances of the CSABA_m symplectic integrators against the integration schemes TEAPOT_m and YFR₃ which are extensively used in accelerators, different benchmark studies are done.

Symplectic Integrator	Number of steps involved
CSABA _m	2*m+3
TEAPOT _m	2*m+1
YFR₃	7





- Using FODO cells (periodic alternation of drift, dipole and quadrupole magnets), the accuracy with which the CSABA_m and TEAPOT_m describe the phase advance μ is studied for different values of the quadrupole characteristics (strength K_Q vs length L_Q).
- The CSABA₂ is at least two orders of magnitude more accurate than the TEAPOT₅, even if the TEAPOT₅ consists of more maps, eleven in contrast to seven of the CSABA₂.
- For the phase advance calculations the CSABA_m are not only more accurate than TEAPOT_m but they are also more economical with respect to the integration time.

15/10/21



Non-Linear dynamics modell

- Using the same lattice as before but with the addition of sextupoles, the linear chromaticity from sextupoles $\xi = \frac{\Delta Q_n}{\delta}$ and the tune spread with amplitude $\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial J_n}$ at both planes (n=x,y) are also estimated and compared.
- The **symplectic integrators are used only for the non-linear elements** (same optical functions for all the integrators).
- Between the CSABA₂ and the other seven step integrator TEAPOT₃, the CSABA₂ is at least one order more accurate for small (K_Q, L_Q) and improves significantly as the values of K_Q and L_Q increased.



 At both planes and for the majority of the (K_Q, L_Q), the CSABA₂ can describe the tune spread with amplitude more accurate than the YFR₃ by at least one order.



 The order of accuracy is smoothly degrade as the (K_Q, L_Q) values approach the unstable motion area (white color). This smooth degradation of the accuracy is interrupted by narrow bands of lattice configurations for which the integrator performances are significantly improved mostly due to particular phase advance between the integrator kicks.

- The integrators effectiveness to capture the Hamiltonian properties of the system is better described from the study of an invariant of motion.
- The invariant is affected by the numerical precision of the tracking algorithms which disturb the symplecticity and from the integration scheme used. Thus, the more accurate integrators will be affected less.
- Such an invariant of motion are the transverse tunes.



- For this study a lattice similar to the LHC with linear chromaticity set to 15 units, maximum octupole current and without RF cavities and beam-beam interactions is used.
- For the calculation of the tune target values, **the exact solutions for the linear elements is used and for the nonlinear ones a ray tracing leapfrog is applied** (as the one employed by usual accelerator particle tracking tools such as SIXTRACK).
- The density of kicks used at each non-linear element, is identical to the one needed for the reproduction - up to double precision (16th decimal), of the deflection caused by a quadrupole (m≥1000).

 In the horizontal (vertical) plane, the CSABA₂ is more accurate than the TEAPOT₃ (Δ(Q_x)>0) for the 86.4% (99.7%) of the initial conditions. The average improvement is close to one order of magnitude (meanΔ(Q_x)=0.8).



- This new set of symplectic integrators CSABA_m are ideal for Hamiltonian systems like the ones found in accelerators and consist of only forward integration steps that guaranty the stability of the integrator even with large integration steps.
- From the analytical and numerical studies, the CSABA_m symplectic integrators are more accurate than the other integration schemes. Most of the times the accuracy difference is larger than one order of magnitude.
- These findings indicate that the implementation of these new symplectic integrators in particle/beam tracking codes will be very beneficial.
- Currently we are working on the implemention the CSABA_m to the standard integration library recently developed by ABP (X-suite).





- Before and after the interaction points (IPs) and up to the separation dipoles (D1) the particles interact with the electromagnetic field generated from the counter rotating bunches (every 12.5 ns). These interactions are called beam-beam long range (BBLR) interactions.
- The beam-beam long range (BBLR) interactions can be compensated with DC wires.



 The effect of the BBLR interactions is detrimental for the beam dynamics and will be even more significant after the high luminosity upgrade of the LHC due to higher bunch population over smaller emittance and the stronger focusing at the IPs.





- Ring sections located at 195 m left and right of the IP1 and IP5 are reserved for a possible future installation of DC wires.
- The transverse distance of the wire from the weak beam (D_w) should respect the machine protection restrictions (tertiary collimators).
 - Start of collisions, D_w must be larger than 18/17.4 σ for the baseline/ultimate scenario.
 - End of luminosity leveling, D_w must be larger than 10.4 σ plus wire thickness (~1mm).
- The goal is to find the wire current (I_w) and its transverse distance from the beam (D_w) that guarantee DA_{min}≥6 σ (which guarantees good beam lifetime) and respect the D_w restrictions.



Footprint:

- with only head on collision (HO)
- with the addition of BBLR interaction (HO+LR), wings formation.
- with the addition of wire compensator (HO+LR+WC), very good compensation of the footprint wings.





Mitigation of the Long Range Beam-Beam Interactions in HL-LHC with DC Wire – Nominal scenario

- After optimization studies, the DA_{min} for the nominal scenario of the HL-LHC at the end of the luminosity leveling without the use of DC wires is DA_{min}(no wire)=6.1 σ.
- Making use of the DC wires, the DA_{min} can be improved up to Δ DA_{min}=0.7 σ with configurations guarantee D_w>10.4 σ (green asterisks).
- The most powerful configuration (smaller D_w and larger I_w) of the DC wire demonstrators in LHC, is shown with a green square and guarantee only a marginal DA improvement.



Mitigation of the Long Range Beam-Beam Interactions in HL-LHC with DC Wire – Nominal scenario

 The bunches at the tails of the train (sequence of bunches) experience less BBLR interactions and are called PACMAN bunches, N_{BBLR}-1 ≥ N_{PACMAN} ≥ N_{BBLR}/2.



 Using the nominal scenario and simulating a PACMAN bunch that experience only the left BBLR interactions of the IR1 and IR5 (N_{PACMAN}=N_{BBLR}/2), the Δ DA_{min} is up to 0.5 σ with the same good wire configurations found before (green asterisks).





Mitigation of the Long Range Beam-Beam Interactions in HL-LHC with DC Wire – Ultimate scenario

- For the ultimate scenario of the HL-LHC at the end of the luminosity leveling there is not a lattice configuration that guarantee DA_{min}>6 σ.
- Many good DC wire configurations

 (DA_{min}≥6 σ) compatible with the machine protection limitations exist. The best of them are indicated with green asterisks and gives DA_{min} up to 7.6 σ (~3 σ
 improvement over the case without wire).



Mitigation of the Long Range Beam-Beam Interactions in HL-LHC with DC Wire – Ultimate scenario

- There is **not a working point above the diagonal that guarantee DA**_{min}>6 σ.
- Using one of the good DC wire configurations (with D_w>10.4 σ), a large "island" with good working points (DA_{min}≥6 σ) appears.



 Due to the good DA_{min} results at the nominal and ultimate scenarios with the use of DC wires, the crossing angle can be reduced up to Φ_{1/5}=380 µrad (~25% redaction) for the nominal and up to Φ_{1/5}=400 µrad (~20% redaction) for the ultimate (improved nominal/ultimate scenarios) maintaining DA_{min}≈6 σ and D_w>10.4 σ.



• The beneficial impact of the wire compensators at the improved ultimate scenarios can be also seen from a Frequency Map Analysis.



- The crossing angles during the luminosity leveling at the improved scenarios can be kept constant and due to the smaller crossing angle the integrated luminosity is increased.
- With the improved nominal scenario, the gain in integrated luminosity with the crab cavities on is ~2% over the existing nominal and ~6% if the crab cavities are off.
- The increment is more significant with the improved ultimate scenario. The gain over the current ultimate (with DA_{min}(no wire)=4.7 σ) is ~3.5% with crab cavities and ~12.5% without crab cavities.





- It is demonstrated that for a variety of DC wire configurations, the detrimental effect from the long range beam beam interaction in different scenarios of the HL-LHC can be mitigated.
- Many of these good configurations respect the machine protection restrictions without sacrificing the beam lifetime.
- The gain in DA provide the margin for a flawless operation of the machine.
- With the use of wire compensators, the crossing angle of the nominal and the ultimate scenarios can be reduced by 25% and 20% respectively.



- With the improved nominal and ultimate scenarios, the integrated luminosity per day is slightly increased with crab cavities and recovers half of the lost luminosity without the crab cavities.
- Because of the crossing angle reduction the crab cavities voltage can be also reduced without sacrificing the machine performance. Furthermore, the strength of the magnets (corrector) that generate the crossing bumps, the heat load and the integrated radiation that is mainly deposited in the final focus quadrupoles can be reduced.
- Simple short HW models are being tested



Thank you for your attention.





home.cern