*Anna Mascellani January 2022*

# ETHzürich







# Search for long-lived HNLs in leptonic final states with displaced vertices in *pp* collisions at CMS

CHIPP Winter School 2022



## Introduction

## In this presentation

- Brief introduction to HNL theory and searches
- Probed signature and motivation
- Overview of the analysis strategy
- Study of the physics reach
- Outline of current status and future plans





## Analysis Target

Heavy neutral leptons (HNLs) produced in the decay of a W boson, resulting in one prompt charged lepton and two displaced charged leptons, with common vertex beyond the tracker system, in the whole CMS Run 2 dataset



# The *ν*MSM and the HNLs



- Origin of neutrino masses  $\Box$
- Anomalous mass scale for the neutrinos  $\Box$ (allowing N to be Majorana  $\rightarrow$  Seesaw mechanism)
- Lightest *N* (  $\sim$  KeV range) as viable DM candidate  $\Box$
- Baryon asymmetry problem  $\Box$

3 right-handed HNLs as potential solution for some of the outstanding problems of the SM:



- 
- -





## Probed Signature







- 1 prompt-charge lepton
- 2 displaced charged leptons from same secondary vertex



- Sensitivity to both Lepton-Number-Violating (LNV) and Lepton-Number-Conserving (LNC) signatures
- Flavour of final-state leptons depends on the mixing between HNLs and SM neutrinos
	- Focus of this analysis: secondary vertices beyond the tracker system

## Final state:

- 3 charged leptons
- Low missing transverse momentum (no sensitivity)

## For long-lived HNLs:

## Opposite electric charges

<sup>−</sup><sup>9</sup> 10

dotted: W,Z

BBN



Anna Mascellani and CHIPP Winter School 2022 PIENU [924], NA62 (*KeN* ) [837], NA62 (*KµN* ) [929], T2K [915], Belle [953]; DELPHI [836],



Previous CMS searches for both prompt and displaced HNL decays in final states with three charged leptons [[6,](#page-17-0) [7\]](#page-17-0)

## (GeV) mN lower HNL mass and coupling ⊃уэ<br>- $11 \text{G}$ <sup>−</sup><sup>10</sup> 10 <u>National</u><br>Nationalist Requiring secondary vertex displacement beyond the tracker system extends the sensitivity of previous CMS analyses to



## Physics Reach



[CMS-PAS-EXO-20-009](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2777047)



## Signal Selection

- ❖ *Signal topology cuts*
	- ❖ Highly boosted HNLs:

 $\cos \theta > 0.9$ 

- $p_T(\mu_1 + \mu_2) > 10$  GeV  $\Delta R(\mu_1, \mu_2) < 1$
- ❖ Background suppression: Z veto:  $m(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \leq 80$  GeV  $Nbr(b jets) = 0$





Final goal: setting exclusion limits at 95% confidence level for HNL production in the  $m_N$  -  $|V_{N\mu}|^2$  plane

## Background estimation Main challenge

# Analysis Strategy





- ❖ Main SM background sources: 1 or 2 prompt muons in final state:  $t\bar{t}$ , Drell-Yan, W+jets
- ❖ Need for data-driven methods for background estimation (unreliable simulation samples)
- Current hypothesis: main background  $\Box$ source = real muons produced near the primary interaction vertex, but for which no track is reconstructed in the tracking system (work in progress…)



# Sensitivity Study



- Preliminary studies showed very low background levels after current selection ( $\mathscr{O}(1)$  -  $\mathscr{O}(10)$  events)
- First study of exclusion sensitivity conducted under the  $\Box$ hypothesis of 0 background
- Signal estimation performed on HNL simulation samples at different mass values and scanning over  $\mid V_{N\mu}^2\mid$

Estimated exclusion limits for the coupling of one single HNL with the muon neutrino

Sensitivity for low HNL masses:  $m_N < 6$  GeV Improved limits in the lower coupling region  $\Box$ 



Expected signal yield for the whole Run 2 from HNL MC sample as a function of squared coupling for an HNL mass of 3 GeV





# Summary and Future Plans

- $\Box$ considering higher HNL flight-lengths
- $\Box$ same secondary vertex
- First results: low background levels with sensitivity to HNL production up to HNL mass of 5 GeV
- super-displaced due to tracking inefficiency)
- Future plans:  $\Box$ 
	- Finalisation of background estimation strategy  $\Box$
	- Optimisation of selection process  $\Box$
	- $S$ tatistical interpretation to set exclusion limits in the  $m_N$   $\mid V_{N \mu} \mid^2$  plane 2
	- Evaluation of systematic uncertainty on expected signal and background yields  $\Box$



Main goal: extend the sensitivity of HNL searches at CMS towards low masses and coupling values by

**Probed signature:** final states with three charged muons, one prompt and two super-displaced from the

Current effort: background estimation (dominant source: true non-displaced muons misidentified as



# Backup Material







Tracks reconstructed independently in the inner tracker (tracker track) and in the muon system (standalone muon track)  $\rightarrow$  inputs for muon track reconstruction:

# Muon Reconstruction at CMS



- ❖ STandAlone muon (STA) track: reconstructed using muon-system information only
- ❖ Tracker muon track: tracker tracks matched with at least 1 muon segment (*inside-out*)
- ❖ Global muon track: STA track matched with tracker track (*outside-in*)



## Displaced StandAlone (DSA) muon track

Special STA reconstruction algorithm:

- Hits in the muon system only (same as standard STA algorithm)
- Seeds from cosmic muons

More suitable for muons from displaced vertices (no dependency on beam spot position)

# Signal Selection - Details

## **Selection of DSA Muon Candidates**

 $\Delta R(DSA, \mu_0) > 1$  $p_T > 5$  GeV  $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T < 1$ Nbr(valid hits)  $> 12$ *χ*2/ndof < 2.5

- Global/tracker muon veto: each DSA muon required not match any mediumID global/tracker muon (matching g by  $\Delta R < 0.7$ )
	- $\rightarrow$  Actually displaced muons
- Matching with STA muons: each DSA muon uniquely matched ( $\Delta R < 0.4$ ) with an STA muon in the same event  $\rightarrow$  Add time information to each muon object
- Time selection: reconstructed muon time (both the one reconstructed with the whole muon system and the one reconstructed with the RPC) required to be lower than → Reject out-of-time muons from other bunch crossings







# Notes on Background Estimation







- Passed: dsa muon not matched with global/tracker muon
- Failed: dsa muon matched with global/tracker muon (inverted prompt veto)
- D Current hypothesis: main background source given by real muons produced near the primary interaction vertex, but for which no track is reconstructed in the tracking system → <u>Misidentified as super-displaced muons</u>
- Strategy for data-driven background estimation:  $\Box$ *ABCD* method by *inverting the global/tracker muon veto* (used to ensure real displacement) for the 2 DSA objects

Closure tests on both 2016 UL W+jets MC sample and on opening-angle data sideband show that this  $\Box$ method underestimates the background yield  $\rightarrow$  Probable correlation between the two vetoes (More studies for strategy optimisation ongoing)



## ABCD Method Details

- Apply weights in region D to match  $\Box$ distribution of kinematic variables in region C:
	- $p_{T\mu_2}$  dependent 1D weights  $w_i = 1$ *ni*,*<sup>C</sup> ni*,*<sup>D</sup>*

2D weights in  $(p_{T\mu_2},\eta_{\mu_2})$  and  $(p_{T\mu_2},\phi_{\mu_2})$ 



*If considered particles are actual muons, the global/tracker muon veto only depends on the muon kinematics*  $(p_T, \eta, \phi)$ 



- Verify agreement between distributions in  $\Box$ region C and D with respect to kinematic variables used in signal selection
- Apply derived weights to events in region B to estimate yields in region A



## *Why?*







# ABCD Method Validation



## Validation in 2016 W+jets MC sample

- Good agreement in region C and D after kinematic re-weighting
- Comparison between estimated and expected yield in region A inconclusive

To catch eventual correlation of the misidentification fake rate with the detector geometry, sideband regions identified by cutting on **variables independent of**  $\Delta R(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ 

Two possibilities for method validation:

- Background simulation samples → Low statistics
- Data sideband regions

- Good agreement in region C and D after kinematic re-weighting
- Underestimation of background yield in region  $A \rightarrow$  Further studies ongoing





Opening angle sideband

• Signal selection: cos *θ* > 0.9

 $\implies$  Sideband:  $\cos \theta \leq 0.9$ 





## ABCD Method Validation

15

After Whole Selection Opening Angle Sideband





• Main assumption in the ABCD method: leading muon veto independent of subleading muon veto

- Test of this assumption in opening angle sideband  $(\cos \theta \leq 0.9)$ :
	- Prediction for  $n_A$  obtained by applying weights to events in region B *n<sub>C,i</sub>*  $(n_{\tilde{A}})$  $n_{D,i}$  $(p_{T\mu_2}, \eta_{\mu_2})$
	- Expected value for  $n_A$  given by count in region A in the data sideband

$$
\implies \frac{n_A}{n_B} = \frac{n_C}{n_D}
$$



If there is **correlation between the two vetos**, then the overall probability for  $\mu_2$  to pass the

2D plot of fake-rate (ratio between yields in region C and D) as a function of  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  of  $\mu_2$ 



# Ongoing Work

- ABCD method tends to underestimate  $n_A^{}$
- veto might be higher when  $\mu_1$  passes the veto





Presence of correlated (non-displaced) di-muon systems and fake rate correlation with specific detector regions

## Sensitivity Study





Exclusion limits in the  $m_N$  -  $|V_{N\mu}|^2$  plane for HNL masses between 1 and 5 GeV.



<sup>2</sup> Origin of these blobs still unclear, but the sensitivity in the upper side of the plane is not higher than the previous CMS analysis, so the current focus is mainly on the lower part

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>References



- (1) Z. Chacko, A. Dev, P. Du, V. Poulin, and Y. Tsai, "Cosmological Limits on the Neutrino Mass and Lifetime," *J. High Energy Phys.*, vol. 2020, no. 4, p. 20, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1007/JHEP04(2020)020.
- (2) J. A. Formaggio, A. L. C. de Gouvêa, and R. G. H. Robertson, "Direct measurements of neutrino mass," *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 914, pp. 1–54, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2021.02.002.
- (3) T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, "The  $\nu$ MSM, Dark Matter and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 620, no. 1–2, pp. 17–26, Jul. 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2005.06.020.
- (4) P. Minkowski, "μ→eγ at a rate of one out of 109 muon decays?," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 421–428, Apr. 1977, doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(77)90435-X.
- (5) A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, "The role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics," *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 191–214, Nov. 2009, doi: 10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083654.
- (6) A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, "Search for Heavy Neutral Leptons in Events with Three Charged Leptons in Proton-Proton Collisions at s = 13 TeV," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 120, no. 22, p. 221801, May 2018, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.221801.
- (7) CMS Collaboration, "Search for long-lived heavy neutral leptons with displaced vertices in pp collisions at s=13TeV with the CMS detector".
- (8) P. Agrawal *et al.*, "Feebly-Interacting Particles:FIPs 2020 Workshop Report," *ArXiv210212143 Hep-Ph*, Feb. 2021.
- (9) CMS Collaboration, "Performance of the DeepTau algorithm for the discrimination of taus against jets, electron, and muons", Oct. 2019.
- (10) "The Phase-2 Upgrade of the CMS Muon Detectors," *CERN Document Server*, Sep. 12, 2017.

