# Solving numerically the JIMWLK equation with the running coupling constant

Piotr Korcyl



in collaboration with S. Cali, K. Cichy, P. Kotko, K. Kutak, C. Marquet, L. Motyka

#### STRONG2020 NA2-Small-x: Physics at the LHC and future DIS experiments Online seminar, 4.10.2021

# Outline

#### Plan of the talk:

- Short motivation: origins of the JIMWLK equation
- Initial condition: MV and gaussian models
- Evolution in momentum and position space
- Running coupling effects
- Finite volume effects
- Conclusions

#### References

- P. Korcyl, arXiv:2009.02045, nearly accepted in Elsevier's SoftwareX
- S. Cali et al., Eur. Phys. J. C81 (2021) 663
- new insights (with K. Cichy and L. Motyka)
- H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A 703 (2002) 823
- K. Rummukainen, H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A 739 (2004) 183
- T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2307

#### Physical situation: Deep Inelastic Scattering

•  $\gamma^*A$  collision - scattering of a virtual photon off a nuclear target, proton or large nuclei



**Figure 19.1:** Kinematic quantities for the description of deep inelastic scattering. The quantities k and k' are the four-momenta of the incoming and outgoing leptons, P is the four-momentum of a nucleon with mass M, and W is the mass of the recoiling system X. The exchanged particle is a  $\gamma$ ,  $W^{\pm}$ , or Z; it transfers four-momentum q = k - k' to the nucleon.

[Particle Data Group Review, 2015]

# **Evolution** equations

#### BFKL

- The DIS cross-section is expressed in terms of parton distributions  $f_i$
- using DGLAP equations it is possible to calculate the  $Q^2$  dependence of parton distributions  $f_i$  as long as  $Q^2$  is large
- going to larger  $Q^2,$  the number of quark and gluons increases, their size decreases as 1/Q
- at small x, the growth is particularly pronounced, moving towards small x at fixed  $Q^2$  increases the number of gluons of fixed size 1/Q

The BFKL equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial f_a(x,k_T)}{\partial \ln x_0/x} \approx \sum_b K_{ab} \otimes f_b(x,k_T)$$

where this time  $\otimes$  involves an integration over the transverse plane  $\int_0^\infty dl_T^2.$ 

#### BK and JIMWLK

- both DGLAP and BFKL are linear evolution equations
- at very small x gluon recombination terms become equally important leading to a non-linear equation
- **BK-JIMWLK** equations:

$$\frac{\partial f(x,k_T)}{\partial \ln x_0/x} \approx K \otimes f(x,k_T) - f^2(x,k_T)$$

• saturation scale: transverse momentum scale that determines the onset of non-linear corrections in QCD evolution equations

• 
$$Q_S \approx A^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{0.2-0.3}$$

# Origins of the JIMWLK equation

$$\sigma_{\rm DIS}(x_{\rm Bj}, Q^2) = \operatorname{Im} \qquad = \int d^2 r \, |\psi^2|(r^2 Q^2) \, \int d^2 b \, \langle \frac{\operatorname{tr}(1 - U_{\boldsymbol{x}} U_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{\dagger})}{N_c} \rangle$$

$$\sigma_{\rm dipole}(\tau = \ln(1/x), r^2) := \int d^2 b \, \langle \frac{\operatorname{tr}(1 - U_{\boldsymbol{x}} U_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{\dagger})}{N_c} \rangle_{\tau = \ln(1/x)}$$
*IK. Rummukainen, H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A*, 2004

$$\begin{split} U(\boldsymbol{x};s+\delta s) &= U(\boldsymbol{x};s) \exp[it^{a}\omega^{a}(\boldsymbol{x};s)]\\ \omega^{a}(\boldsymbol{x},s) &= \sqrt{\delta s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}} K_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})[1-\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x};s)\tilde{U}(\boldsymbol{y};s)]^{ab}\xi_{i}^{b}(\boldsymbol{y})\\ &- \delta s \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}} S(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}[i\tilde{t}^{a}\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x},s)\tilde{U}(\boldsymbol{y},s)].\\ K_{i}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{r}^{2}}, \qquad S(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{r}^{2}},\\ \end{split}$$
[K. Rummukainen, H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A, 2004]

A more symmetric formulation was proposed by T. Lappi and H. Mäntysaari where the evolution is applied from the left and right side of  $U_x$ ,

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} + \delta \mathbf{s}) = \exp\left(-\sqrt{\delta s} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) (\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{y})) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s})\right) \times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times \\ \times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times \\ \times \exp\left(\sqrt{\delta s} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{y})\right).$$

BFKL, BK and JIMWLK equations predict the dependence on x of the unintegrated distribution  $f(x, k_T)$ . We need the shape of the distribution at some initial value of  $x_0$ .

Possible inputs:

- Measurement: extract the distribution from fits to experimental data,
- Lattice QCD: calculate the object non-perturbatively,
- Model: use some approximate description.

#### Color Glass Condensate

- CGC is an effective theory for the description of high-energy scattering in QCD
- valence degrees of freedom at large-x static sources
- small-x degrees of freedom dynamical fields

Solve classical Yang-Mills equations

$$D_{\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = J^{\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{2} + J \cdot A$$
$$J^{\nu} = \rho(x_{T})\delta(x_{-})\delta^{+\nu}$$

assuming eikonal coupling to dynamical fields and random distribution of color charges  $\rho(x_T)$  from some probability weight  $W[\rho(x_t)]$ .

#### McLerran-Venugopalan model

- generate random distributions  $\rho(x_T)$  with a Gaussian  $W[\rho(x_t)]$
- solve Y-M equations
- calculate the distribution

#### Numerical formulation

work with the 2D lattice in the transverse plane

• 
$$\rho(x)_n = \rho(x)_n^a \lambda^a$$
 and  $\langle \rho(x)_n^a \rho(y)_l^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \delta^{nl} \delta(x-y) \frac{g^2 \mu^2}{N_v}$ 

• we repeat this  $N_y$  times to model multiple color scatterings

#### McLerran-Venugopalan model

• solve Poisson equation to get the color potential

$$U_n^{ab}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-igA_n^{ab}(\mathbf{x})\right) = \exp\left(-i\frac{g\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{x})}{\nabla^2 - m^2}\right),$$

or more explicitly

$$\frac{\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{x})}{\nabla^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{L_{\mathbf{x}}L_{\mathbf{y}}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\tilde{\Lambda}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Lambda} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})}\rho_n^{ab}(\mathbf{z})}{-\frac{4}{a^2} \left[\sin^2\left(\frac{k_{\mathbf{x}}a}{2}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{k_{\mathbf{y}}a}{2}\right)\right] - m^2},$$

calculate correlation function

$$C(x-y) = \langle \operatorname{tr} U^{\dagger}(x) U(y) \rangle.$$

• physical parameters:  $g^2\mu$ , L, m, a

#### Gaussian model

- work with the 2D lattice in the transverse plane
- $\rho(\mathbf{x})_n = \rho(\mathbf{x})_n^a \lambda^a$  and  $\langle \rho(\mathbf{x})_n^a \rho(\mathbf{y})_l^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \delta^{kl} \exp(-(x-y)^2/(2R^2))$
- we repeat this  $N_y$  times to model multiple color scatterings
- physical parameters: R, L, a

# MV model: initial condition

Fixed volume:  $g^2 \mu L = 30.7$ . Different lattice spacings: L/a = 512,1024,...



Figure: Lattice spacing dependence of the initial dipole distribution as a function of  $Lk_T$ .

# MV model: initial condition

Different volumes:  $g^2 \mu L = 30.7, 61, 122, \dots, 1966$ . Different lattice spacings:  $L/a = 512, 1024, \dots$ 



Figure: Volume and lattice spacing dependence of the initial dipole distribution as a function of  $g^2 \mu x$ .

# MV model: initial condition



Figure: Volume dependence of the saturation radius of the initial distribution.

# Gaussian model: initial condition

Different volumes: R/L = 1/128, 1/256, ...Different lattice spacings: L/a = 1024, 2048, ...



Figure: Volume and lattice spacing dependence of the initial dipole distribution as a function of x/R.

# Numerical implementation of the JIMWLK equation

#### Kernel

Position space kernel

$$K(x) = \frac{x}{x^2} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad K(n) = \frac{\overline{n}}{\overline{n}^2},$$

where  $n = (n_x, n_y)$  is a vector of integers  $(n_i \in (-L, L))$  and the numbers  $\bar{n}_i \ (\bar{n}^2)$  are in the chosen discretizations:

• naive with a discontinuity:

$$\bar{n}_i = \begin{cases} n_i - L & \text{if } n_i \ge L/2, \\ n_i & \text{if } -L/2 \le n_i < L/2, \\ n_i + L & \text{if } n_i < -L/2, \end{cases} \quad \bar{n}^2 = \bar{n}_x^2 + \bar{n}_y^2$$

• regularized with the sine function:

$$\bar{n}_i = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n_i}{L}\right), \qquad \bar{n}^2 = \left(\frac{L}{\pi}\right)^2 \left(\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_x}{L}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_y}{L}\right)\right).$$

#### Kernel

In momentum space we get

$$\int \frac{d^2 x}{2\pi} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \frac{x_i}{x^2} = -2\pi i \frac{k_i}{k^2}.$$

Again, we may discretize the right hand side following one of the two ways

• as is commonly done using lattice momenta  $ar{k}$ 

$$\bar{k}_i = \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n_i}{L_i}\right), \qquad \bar{k}^2 = 4.0\left(\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_x}{L_x}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n_y}{L_y}\right)\right)$$

• or alternatively keeping naive lattice momenta:

$$\bar{k}_i = 2\pi \frac{n_i}{L_i}$$

# Kernel



Figure: Comparison of possible discretizations of the numerator of the kernel.

# Kernel



Figure: Comparison of possible discretizations of the denominator of the kernel.



Figure: Comparison of the position and momentum kernels. The blue and black data points show the Fourier transform; the blue correspond to the naive discretization of the position space kernel, whereas the black to the sin regularized kernel. Surprisingly, both data sets agree quite well. The red data points show the corresponding momentum kernel with the usual  $\bar{k}/\hat{k}^2$  definition, whereas the green data points are calculated using the simple lattice momenta.

# Distribution evolution: MV model and fixed coupling



Figure: Comparison of the evolved two-point correlation function as a function of the lattice spacing. Evolution was performed with time step  $\delta s = 0.0001$  and the total evolution time was s = 0.04.

# Distribution evolution



Figure: Lattice spacing dependence of the evolved saturation scale using position space formulation with continuum and lattice JIMWLK kernels. Extrapolation to infinite volume is attempted.

# Running coupling effects

Recall the entire JIMWLK formulation

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{s}) = \exp\left(-\sqrt{\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{s}}\sum_{\mathbf{y}} U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) (\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{y})) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s})\right) \times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times \\ \times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times \\ \times \exp\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{s}}\sum_{\mathbf{y}} \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{y})\right),$$

where the coupling constant appears in the Langevin step

$$s = \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi^2} y$$
, with  $y = \ln \frac{x_0}{x}$ 

How should we include one-loop running of the coupling constant?

# "Square root" prescription

Proposed by Rummukainen and Weingert in 2003. The running coupling effects are accounted for with the coupling at the scale given by the size of the parent dipole  $(x - y)^2$ , i.e. we introduce a one-loop running

$$lpha_s 
ightarrow lpha_s (1/(x-y)^2) = rac{4\pi}{eta_0 \ln rac{1}{(x-y)^2 \Lambda^2}} \, .$$

with  $\beta_0 = (11N_c - 2N_f)/3$ . In the "square root" prescription becomes

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} + \delta \mathbf{s}) =$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{\sqrt{\delta y}}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \left(\sqrt{\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)} \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \xi(\mathbf{y})\right) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s})\right] \times$$

$$\times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times$$

$$\times \exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{\delta y}}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \sqrt{\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)} \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \xi(\mathbf{y})\right]$$

### Noise prescription

An alternative definition of the running coupling was proposed by Lappi and Mantysaari in 2012 as a modification of noise vectors. This has a different physical motivation as the scale of the running coupling will be provided by the momentum of the emitted gluon and this scale is then argued as corresponding to the smallest one of the three relevant dipole sizes (the "parent" and two "daughter" dipoles)

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} + \delta \mathbf{s}) =$$

$$= \exp\left[-\sqrt{\delta s} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} U(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \left(\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{y})\right) U^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s})\right] \times$$

$$\times U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) \times$$

$$\times \exp\left[\sqrt{\delta s} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{y})\right],$$

where now

$$\langle \eta^{a,i}(\mathbf{x})\eta^{b,j}(\mathbf{y})\rangle = \delta^{ab}\delta^{ij}\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\alpha_s(\mathbf{k})$$

Hatta and lancu provided in 2016 a formulation of the JIMWLK equation with collinear resummation which accounts for DGLAP kind of logarithms suppressing collinear pole of the kernel. In particular they re-investigated the relation of momentum space expression for running of  $\alpha_s$  to small dipole prescription. According to Hatta-lancu the smallest dipole prescription corresponds to dependence of  $\alpha_s$  on virtuality and not transverse momentum. We proceed with implementation directly in the coordinate space

$$\alpha_s = \alpha_s(\min\{|\mathsf{x}-\mathsf{z}|,r\}),$$

where r is the size of the projectile.

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Different volumes: L/R = 1/64, 1/128, 1/256Fixed lattice spacing: L/a = 8192

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Solving numerically the JIMWLK equation

Fixed volume: L/R = 1/64Different lattice spacings: L/a = 2048,4096,8192

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Solving numerically the JIMWLK equation

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

- JIMWLK equation provides a way to describe DIS data deep in the low-x regime
- numerical implementation and solution possible using the reformulation in terms of Langevin equation
- many systematic effects/ambiguities have to be studied and understood
- efficient implementation provides a useful tool for many further investigations

Thank you very much for your attention