



Common Authentication Library

Krzysztof Benedyczak (UWAR)

Plan

- Summary of what has been done&decided.
- General/strategic issues regarding the library.
- Review of the affected components list.
- Java API
- C API
- C++ API
- Schedule on finalization of the API & consultations with affected PTs.
- What's next?

Common authN library

- Aim: provide a **commonly used** library supporting authentication and tightly coupled functions.
- Why?
 - As we have multiple libraries in our 4 stacks doing authentication.
 - ▶ reduced maintenance
 - ▶ uniform features for UMD
- Examples:
 - In gLite some components check if DN conforms to the CA namespace without case-sensitiveness. Some with.
 - In UNICORE Gateway provides more authN features than other servers.

What has been done/decided?

- Wiki page created.
<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiJra1T4SecurityCommonAuthNLib>
- Three languages - Java, C, C++
- Requirements were collected and unified.
- Initial list of components:
 - using authentication libraries,
 - implementing authenticationwas established.
- Requirements collection step showed that there are quite different opinions on the library shape and even purpose.

Library models and purpose

- We support TLS and X.509 authentication - obvious. Other options:
 - add SAML Authentication support too or
 - arbitrary protocol support (Kerberos, LDAP, ...)
- Library models which were considered:
 - consolidated, tuned for the concrete authN mechanism,
 - pluggable with different options hidden under a common API (Joni, Andrea, Daniel)
 - e.g. GSS API

Current status

- We support TLS/X.509 only.
- **If** there is a need for a **common** SAML authentication library it will be provided as a separate entity.

Motivation

- Decision to use STS/SLCS/... eliminates need for wide adoption of SAML AuthN in all components.
- Currently SAML AuthN is not present (or nearly not present?) among EMI components.
- Authentication API is very dependent on the authentication mechanism and offered functionality. Therefore abstract API is very generic and hard to use.
 - Different integration scenarios in various containers.
 - Different credentials.
- Credentials and respective utilities are needed AFTER authN layer too.
- We don't have enough time to work for a long time on this.

Open topics

- General: is the current model widely accepted?
- Minor (those are for the **implementation**):
 - Shall we support RFC 5280?
 - How? By default or only optionally in some selected functions?
 - OCSP support?

List of existing authN code

- gLite: VOMS (C, Java)
 - Nothing more?
 - ?(KB) trustmanager, util-java, L&B, ...?
- ARC: ARC Container (C++ with Java&Python bindings)
 - Nothing more? E.g. update-crls, arcproxy?
- dCache: ????
- No input so far!
- UNICORE - complete list on the wiki.

Review: affected components

- gLite: TFS, CREAM, WMS, VOMS Admin, Argus, SLCS
 - No other components? What's about APEL*, DGAS*, BDII*, STS, delegation-java, gridsite, proxyrenewal?
- ARC: ARC Container
 - Is everything using the container? E.g. libarcclient?
- dCache: No input so far!!
 - ???
- UNICORE: complete list on the wiki

Java API

- We want to reuse X.509 JDK code (JCA), and integrate with SSL engine (JSSE).
- It is impossible to reuse certificate validation code as implementation is hidden and it is not possible to go round proxy certificate validation (lack of CA extension)
 - Additionally the JCA API is quite awkward.
- We can quite easily integrate with JSSE.

Java API (2)

- interface `X509CertChainValidator`
 - Implementations are used to perform a manual certificate chain validation. Implementations shall reuse as many of `CertificateChecker` implementations as possible. Implementations must be thread safe.

```
ValidationResult validate(java.security.cert.CertPath cp)
ValidationResult validate(java.security.cert.X509Certificate[] cp)

java.security.cert.X509Certificate[] getTrustedIssuers()

void addValidationListener(ValidationListener l)
void removeValidationListener(ValidationListener l)
-----EXAMPLE-----
OpensslCertChainValidator v = new OpensslCertChainValidator(
    "/my/certs", CRL.REQUIRE,
    OpensslCertChainValidator.NAMESPACE.IGNORE, 100);

ValidationResult result = v.validate(toBeChecked);
```

Java API: validation implementations

- Internally various X509CertChainValidator implementations should reuse as much of code as possible.
- For further extensibility (new or modified validators) we can define validator building blocks (called checkers)
 - May be stateful, implementations need not to be thread safe - one instance must not be used to check two certificate chains simultaneously.

```
interface CertificateChecker {  
  
    List<ValidationError> check(X509Certificate[] cert, int  
position,  
                               Collection<String> unresolvedCritExts)  
  
    void init()  
}
```

Java API: JSSE integration

- class `CanIX509TrustManager` implements `javax.net.ssl.X509TrustManager`
 - Wraps `X509CertChainValidator` so it can be easily used in standard Java SSL API.
- interface `Credential`
 - `java.security.KeyStore` `getAsKeyStore()`
 - `javax.net.ssl.KeyManager` `getAsKeymanager()`
- class `HostnameToCertificateChecker` implements `javax.net.ssl.HandshakeCompletedListener`
 - Implementation which can be registered on a `SSLSocket` to verify if target hostname is matching a DN of its certificate.

Java API: JSSE integration

- class SocketFactoryCreator
 - Simple utility allowing programmers to quickly create SSLSocket factories.

```
class SocketFactoryCreator {
    static SSLServerSocketFactory createSSF(Credential c,
        X509CertChainValidator v)
    static SSLSocketFactory createSF(Credential c,
        X509CertChainValidator v)
}
```

```
-----EXAMPLE-----
KeystoreCertChainValidator v = new KeystoreCertChainValidator(
    "truststore.jks", CRL.REQUIRE, passwd, "JKS", crls, 100);

Credential c = new KeystoreCredential("/my/keystore.jks",
    ksPasswd, keyPasswd, "JKS");
SSLServerSocketFactory sslSsf =
    SocketFactoryCreator.createSSF(c, v);
ServerSocket sslSS = sslSsf.createServerSocket();
```

Java API: Utilities

- Testing two DNs for equality.
 - What more?
- Proxy certificate utilities:
 - As a class being Credential or
 - X509Certificate?
 - Operations:
 - write to disk
 - load from disk
 - ? creation of a proxy request
 - Creation arguments?

C API

- Vinzenzo

C++ API

- Aleksandr

APIs documentation

- What exactly we shall provide?
 - Overview
 - Examples
 - Functions or methods & classes description
- What format?
- Where to put it?

Short term schedule

- Finalization of the API
 - Applying changes from AHM
 - DATE
 - Documentation
 - How?
 - DATE
 - Final internal review
 - Who which API?
 - DATE
- Consultations with affected PTs
 - End DATE

What's next?

- Common authentication library **Product Team**
 - What are the responsibilities?
 - (?) Actual implementation of the interface, support and evolution (?)
 - Who is involved?
 - Schedule:
 - RC:
 - 1.0 Final:



Thank you

EMI is partially funded by the European Commission under Grant Agreement INFSO-RI-261611