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## The new layout

- The new layout "31" series has been presented by J. Gutleber in the last optics meeting.
- 8 surface sites, 4 IP.
- complete period-4 + mirror symmetries.
- Let us choose "PA31-1.0" for the baseline, for the time being.
- The adaptation to other variants, if necessary, will be minor.


PA31-1.1 \& 1.6 fallback alternatives
J. Gutleber

| Scenario | PA31-1.0 | PA31-1.1 | PA31-1.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of surface sites | 8 (potential additional at sites | small access shafts at CERN or for ventilation ith long access tunnels, e.g. PF) |  |
| Number of arc cells |  | 42 |  |
| Arc cell length |  | 213.04636573 m |  |
| SSS@IP (PA, PD, PG, PJ) | 1400 m | 1400 m | 1410 m |
| LSS@TECH (PB, PF, PH, PL) | 2160 m | 2100 m | 2110 m |
| Azimuth @ PA (0 = East) | $-10.75^{\circ}$ | $-10.45^{\circ}$ | $-10.2^{\circ}$ |
| Sum of arc lengths |  | 76932.686 m |  |
| Total length | 91172.686 m | 90932.686 m | 91052.686 m |

## Fine adjustment to the layout "PA31-1.0"

FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER

- Now the beam line fits within a few cm from the layout in the arc.
- The resulting ring circumference is 1.42 m longer than the layout, due to the IR excursion.
- However, some discrepancy has been found between hh's beam line
- Investigation is going on by M. Giovannozzi, M. Hofer, T. Risselada
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PA31-1.1 \& 1.6 fallback alternatives

| Scenario | PA31-1.0 | PA31-1.1 | PA31-1.6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of surface sites | 8 (potential additiona at sites | small access shafts at CERN or for ventilation ith long access tunnels, e.g. PF) |  | If this number is strictly kept in the design of hh-arc, a discrepancy with the arc may happen? |
| Number of arc cells $\}$ FCC-hh |  | 42 |  |  |
| Arc cell length $\quad$ ( |  | 213.04636573 m |  |  |
| SSS@IP (PA, PD, PG, PJ) | 1400 m | 1400 m | 1410 m |  |
| LSS@TECH (PB, PF, PH, PL) | 2160 m | 2100 m | 2110 m |  |
| Azimuth @ PA (0 = East) | -10.75 ${ }^{\circ}$ | -10.45 | $-10.2^{\circ}$ |  |
| Sum of arc lengths |  | 76932.686 m |  |  |
| Total length | 91172.686 m | 90932.686 m | 91052.686 m | K. Oide, Nov. 29, 2021 |

## The arc cell

- The most preferred phase advances of the FODO in the arc for luminosity: $90 / 90 @ t \bar{t}, 60 / 60 @ \mathrm{~W}, 45 / 45$ (or long 90/90) @Z (D. Shatilov).
- With $45 / 45, \beta_{x, y}$ at $\mathrm{SF} / \mathrm{SD}$ come close to each other: Long 90/90 is better.
- If we need a lattice structure compatible to all $90 / 90,60 / 60$, long $90 / 90$, it will look like (bold letters show the sextupole locations. Only showing a half period):

- Then 70 FODOs are necessary for the periodicity.
- Instead, if we can eliminate $60 / 60$, the structure is simplified to:

```
    0 1
90/90S: FDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFDFD
90/90L: F D F D F D F D F D
```

- Nevertheless, as the $60 / 60$ is only for $W$; the loss of luminosity at $W$ can be compensated by:
- The less tuning time on the transition from $90 / 90 \mathrm{~L}$ to $60 / 60$ (more integrated luminosity).
- Slight increase of luminosity at other energies (D. Shatilov).
- The filling factor of dipoles: with $60 / 60: 80.4 \%$, without $60 / 60: 81.2 \%$.
- Thus we have chosen to eliminate $60 / 60$, for the time being.


## The arc cell optics (1 period = 5 FODOs)

Short 90/90: tt, Zh


Long 90/90: Z, W


- For long 90/90:
- The QDs for short 90/90 of the outer ring are turned off.
- However, their BPMs and correctors are usable for additional orbit/optics correction power.
- The polarity of QFs for short 90/90 are reversed alternatively to serve as QDs. These should have an easy mechanism in the wiring for switching.
- The arc dipoles should be divided into 3 pieces for installation. Then the field at their connection may matter.


## Changes in the spacings \& lengths

(A)

(B)


## D

(C)


| Label | Description | Length (m) | CDR (m) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a | - between quad and dipole, on the <br> - opposite side of sext. <br> usable for dipole correctors | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| b | - between quad and sext, dipole and sext | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| c | - sext thickness | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| d | - between sexts | 0.15 | 0.1 |

- Need technical advices on the spacing and field profile of each magnet to finalize.
- Also for other sections.


## IR optics

## FUTURE
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FCCee_z_528_nosol.sad



- The IR optics basically inherit conditions set at the CDR and later:
- SR strengths
- QC1/2 lengths
- Now LA* are longer than 15.5 m for pol. wigglers (M. Hofer), shown in later page.
- Some dipoles have unrealistic lengths (> 100 m ).


# Ring optics (1/4 ring) 



FCCee_z_528_nosol.sad


- Remarks:
- Polarimeter, injection/extraction, collimation, BPMs, correctors are not included yet.
- Details need technical advices for the actual requirements for spacing, field profile, etc.


## Layout in the RF section $(t t)$

- Each space for RF is extended from 40 m to 52 m according to the request by F.K. Valchkova.
- The center of RF ("FRF") section is now shifted from the geometric center of the section to produce $\lambda_{\mathrm{RF} 400} / 2$ path difference from the IP between $e^{ \pm}$, which is the condition of the common RF to ensure the collision at the IP.
- The harmonic number for 400 MHz is 121648 with $f_{\mathrm{RF}}=399.994627 \mathrm{MHz}$ for $\mathrm{Zh} / \mathrm{tt}$.

- Designed an RF section for $Z / W$, which has a crossing point in the middle. The right part of the section is rebuilt at the transition to $\mathrm{Zh} / \mathrm{tt}$.




## Optimum RF phase $(t t)$

CIRCULAR COLLIDER

If we have two RF frequencies $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ with voltages $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, the total accelerating voltage $V(z)$ and its potential energy $W(z)$ are written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
V(z) & =V_{1} \sin \left(\phi_{1}+k_{1} z\right)+V_{2} \sin \left(\phi_{2}+k_{2} z\right)-U_{0}=-\frac{\partial W(z)}{\partial z},  \tag{1}\\
W(z) & =-\frac{V_{1}}{k_{1}} \cos \left(\phi_{1}+k_{1} z\right)-\frac{V_{2}}{k_{2}} \cos \left(\phi_{1}+k_{2} z\right)+U_{0} z \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{1,2}$ are the RF phases at the equilibrium $z=0$, and $k_{1,2}$ are the wave numbers, respectively. The energy loss per turn is denoted by $U_{0}$. At the equilibrium, $V(z)=0$, obviously.

The bucket hight $\delta$ is obtained by energy conservation at the unstable fixed point $z_{1}>0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(z_{1}\right) & =0  \tag{3}\\
W\left(z_{1}\right) & =-\frac{\alpha C E}{2} \delta^{2}+W(0) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, C$, and $E$ are the momentum compaction, circumference, and beam energy, respectively. Note that the kinetic energy term above has negative sign.

Then once $\phi_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ are given, we can obtain the solution for $\phi_{2}, V_{2}$, and $z_{1}$ to satisfy the equations above, at least numerically.

## Parameters

| Beam energy | [GeV] | 45.6 | 80 | 120 | 182.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Layout |  | PA31-1.0 |  |  |  |
| \# of IPs |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Circumference | [km] | 91.174117 |  | 91.174107 |  |
| Bending radius of arc dipole | [km] | 9.937 |  |  |  |
| Energy loss / turn | [GeV] | 0.0391 | 0.370 | 1.869 | 10.0 |
| SR power / beam | [MW] | 50 |  |  |  |
| Beam current | [mA] | 1280 | 135 | 26.7 | 5.00 |
| Bunches / beam |  | 9600 | 880 | 248 | 36 |
| Bunch population | [10 ${ }^{11}$ ] | 2.53 | 2.91 | 2.04 | 2.64 |
| Horizontal emittance $\varepsilon_{x}$ | [ nm ] | 0.71 | 2.16 | 0.64 | 1.49 |
| Vertical emittance $\varepsilon_{y}$ | [pm] | 1.42 | 4.32 | 1.29 | 2.98 |
| Arc cell |  | Long 90/90 |  | 90/90 |  |
| Momentum compaction $\alpha_{p}$ | $\left[10^{-6}\right]$ | 28.5 |  | 7.33 |  |
| Arc sextupole families |  | 75 |  | 146 |  |
| $\beta_{x / y}^{*}$ | [mm] | 150 / 0.8 | 200 / 1.0 | $300 / 1.0$ | 1000 / 1.6 |
| Transverse tunes/IP $Q_{x / y}$ |  | 53.563 / 53.600 |  | 100.565 / 98.595 |  |
| Energy spread (SR/BS) $\sigma_{\delta}$ | [\%] | 0.039 / 0.130 | 0.069 / 0.154 | $0.103 / 0.185$ | $0.157 / 0.229$ |
| Bunch length (SR/BS) $\sigma_{z}$ | [mm] | 4.37 / 14.5 | 3.55 / 8.01 | $3.34 / 6.00$ | 2.02 / 2.95 |
| RF voltage 400/800 MHz | [GV] | 0.120 / 0 | 1.0 / 0 | $2.08 / 0$ | 4.0 / 7.25 |
| Harmonic number for 400 MHz |  | 121648 |  |  |  |
| RF freuqeuncy ( 400 MHz ) | MHz | 399.994581 |  | 399.994627 |  |
| Synchrotron tune $Q_{s}$ |  | 0.0370 | 0.0801 | 0.0328 | 0.0826 |
| Long. damping time | [turns] | 1168 | 217 | 64.5 | 18.5 |
| RF acceptance | [\%] | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| Energy acceptance (DA) | [\%] | $\pm 1.3$ | $\pm 1.3$ | $\pm 1.7$ | $-2.8+2.5$ |
| Beam-beam $\xi_{x} / \xi_{y}{ }^{a}$ |  | 0.0040 / 0.152 | $0.011 / 0.125$ | 0.014 / 0.131 | $0.096 / 0.151$ |
| Luminosity / IP | $\left[10^{34} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\right]$ | 189 | 19.4 | 7.26 | 1.33 |
| Lifetime ( $\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{BS}$ ) | [sec] | - |  | 1065 | 2405 |
| Lifetime (lum) | [sec] | 1089 | 1070 | 596 | 701 |

[^0]
# Dynamic aperture 
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## Impact of errors/corrections on DA

## FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER

FCCee_z_301_nosol_9.plain_m.sad


An example of errors and corrections by T. Charles, with an old 4IP lattice. New results will be in the next talk!

- The correction by T. Charles looks excellent!
- Tunes are slightly shifted:
- (274.26126, 270.52384) from (274.26400, 270.52000).
- Emittances: ( $0.275 \mathrm{~nm}, 23.2 \mathrm{fm}$ ).
- Remarks:
- The spike of $\Delta \beta_{y} / \beta_{y}$ at IP. 4 corresponds to a shift of waist.
- If we look at $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MAGy}}$, there are several locations with high $B_{\text {MAGy }}$ esp. at crab sexts (see next page).
- The residual orbit looks much smaller than the misalignment; probably the BPMs are placed on the ideal plane in this case?


## Reduction of DA by errors/corrections



- The dynamic aperture shrinks with the errors and corrections ("seed 1") as seen in figures above.
- The errors/corrections for 301_9 were simply applied on $301 \_8$. The resulting vertical emittance raised to 0.2 pm .
- The corresponding momentum acceptance: $\pm 1.3 \%$ (no error) $\rightarrow \pm 0.8 \%$ ? (seed_1).
- Further optimization of sexts with errors/corrections may improve the DA


## Non-periodic placement of RF



|  | e+ | e- | power / station |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Z , \mathbf { w }}$ | PL xor PH | 100 MW |  |
| $\mathbf{Z h}, \mathbf{t t}$ | $\mathrm{PL}, \mathrm{PH}$ <br> (common) | 50 MW, in average |  |
| eeh | PL xor PH | 100 MW |  |

- For Z, W, eeh, placing all RF at one station, same for e+ and e-, is essential to the physics (A. Blondel).
- Placing the RF only at PL \& PH, suggested by K. Hanke, for tt \& Zh looks OK. The difference in the DA is small, within the range of further optimization. - The possibility for common RF at Zh is under investigation (CEPC does so).

FCCee t 512 nosol 8. .sad: $\varepsilon_{x}=1.49 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon_{x}=0.20 \%, \sigma_{\varepsilon}=0.157 \%, \sigma_{z}=1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$,
$\beta_{x, y}=\{1 \mathrm{~m}, 1.59 \mathrm{~mm}\}, v_{x, y, z}=\{402.1697,398.3949,-0.0864\}$, Crab Waist $=40 \%$
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FCCee t 512 nosol_7r.sad: $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.52 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon_{y} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=0.20 \%, \sigma_{\varepsilon}=0.156 \%, \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$,



## Energy sawtooth for RF@ PH,PL ( $t \bar{t}$ )



- What about the case of 2IP?
- PD\&PJ (CDR) is the best, but we cannot go back after the work started at PL/PH...



## Polarization wigglers (M. Hofer)

FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER


- Polarization wigglers can be placed at the straight section "LA*", Icated at downstream of each IP.
- A preliminary calculation of the polarization by tracking with SAD, without machine errors, seems OK...
- The location for polarimeters must be identified \& designed.
- "RF section without RF" can be a candidate.


FCCee z 217w nosol 20.plain pol.sad


## Including a realistic solenoid (M. Koratzinos)

## FUTURE

 CIRCULAR COLLIDER- A realistic solenoid field model can included in the optics.
- The right plot is an example based on the solenoid + multipoles given by M. Koratzinos.
- The L* region ( $\mathrm{IP} \pm 2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is divided into $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ slices, along the tilted straight line ( $\pm 15 \mathrm{mrad}$ ), not along the solenoid axis.
- The optics and leaked hor. dispersions are corrected to the no-solenoid case by outer quads.
- The resulting equilibrium vertical emittance is 0.23 pm .
- Thus the solenoid has been ready on SAD side, waiting for MADX (for years).

FCCee_z_244_47_mksol.sad Solenoid/results_x_minus_200um_screensol.txt



## Summary

- Beam optics for $t \bar{t} \& Z$ with the new layout "31.10" have been generated.
- Arc cells are "short" $90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}(t \bar{t}, Z h)$ and "long" $90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\left(Z, W^{ \pm}\right)$FODOs.
- Small changes are made for some spacings and sext thickness.
- RF sections are redesigned taking the transition from $Z / W^{ \pm}$to $Z h / t \bar{t}$ into account.
- The length of the RF section follows the requirment from the RF group.
- Placement of the RF has been considered with the collision condition of the common RF and the harmonic number at $Z h / t \bar{t}$.
- The optimum phase for the mixed frequency at $t \bar{t}$ has been considered.
- Having non-periodic RF at straight sections PH\&PL at $Z h / t \bar{t}$ seems OK for the DA.
- The dynamic apertures (DA) are optimized up to some extent. The results look acceptable for $t \bar{t} \& Z$.
- The reduction of DA with errors/corrections has a significant impact.
- The luminosity performances basically follow the change in the ring circumference or the bending radius, due to the SR loss.
- The polarization wigglers have found the place.
- A realistic solenoid can be implemented in the lattice.
- (Too) many details in the optics design remain, and require more technical inputs...


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ incl. hourglass.

