

### Higgs physics at FCC-ee



#### Nicola De Filippis

Politecnico and INFN Bari on behalf of the FCC collaboration





Nov 7 – 11, 2022 Pisa

### Landscape of the Higgs physics

#### So far many questions still open for Higgs physics:

- ✓ How well the Higgs boson couplings to fermions, gauge bosons and to itself be probed at current and future colliders?
- How do precision electroweak observables provide us information about the Higgs boson properties and/or BSM physics?
- What progress is needed in theoretical developments in QCD and EWK to fully capitalize on the experimental data?
- $\checkmark$  What is the best path towards measuring the Higgs potential ?
- ✓ To what extent can we tell whether the Higgs is fundamental or composite?



#### N. De Filippis

### 2020 update of European Strategy for Particle Physics

"An electron-positron Higgs factory is the highest priority next collider. For the longer term, the European particle physics community has the ambition to operate a proton-proton collider at the highest achievable energy."

"Europe, together with its international partners, should investigate the technical and financial feasibility of a future hadron collider at CERN with a centre-of-mass energy of at least 100 TeV and with an electron-positron Higgs and electroweak factory as a possible first stage. Such a feasibility study of the colliders and related infrastructure should be established as a global endeavour and be completed on the timescale of the next Strategy update."

FCC project @ CERN: a new 100 km tunnel in the Geneva region, for two complementary machines covering the largest phase space in the high energy frontier:

- extreme precision circular e+e-collider (FCC-ee) with variable collision energy from 90-360 GeV
- highest energy reach in pp collisions (FCC-hh): 100 TeV

FCC Feasibility Study (FS) launched in 2021:

- □ To be carried out in 2021-2025 → input to the next Strategy update
- □ Mid-term review in Autumn 2023



N. De Filippis

### Machine luminosity for physics at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders



Phase	Run duration	Center-of-mass		Integrated	Event	Extracted from
	(years)	Energies (GeV)	Lu	uminosity $(ab^{-1})$	Statistics	FCC CDR
FCC-ee-Z	4	88-95 ± <100	) KeV	150	$3 \times 10^{12}$ visible Z decays	LEP * 10 <sup>5</sup>
FCC-ee-W	2	158-162 <200	KeV	12	10 <sup>8</sup> WW events	LEP * 2.10 <sup>3</sup>
FCC-ee-H	3	240 ± 2 M	1eV	5	10 <sup>6</sup> ZH events	Never done
FCC-ee-tt	5	345-365 ±5N	leV	1.5	$10^6 \text{ t}\overline{\text{t}}$ events	Never done
s channel H	?	125 ± 2 №	1eV	10?	5000 events	Never done

4

### Detector requirements for an experiment at FCC-ee

Critical Detector	Required Performance
Tracker	$\Delta(1/p_{\rm T}) \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$
	$\oplus 1 \times 10^{-3}/(p_{\rm T}\sin\theta)$
Vertex	$\sigma_{r\phi} \sim 5 \oplus 10/(p \sin^{3/2} \theta) \ \mu \mathrm{m}$
ECAL, HCAL	$\sigma_E^{ m jet}/E\sim 3-4\%$
ECAL	$\sigma_E \sim 16\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\%~({\rm GeV})$

#### As an example: IDEA proposal

- a silicon pixel vertex detector
- a large-volume extremely-light drift wire chamber
- surrounded by a layer of silicon micro-strip detectors
- a thin low-mass superconducting solenoid coil
- a preshower detector
- a dual read-out calorimeter
- muon chambers inside the magnet return yoke



#### N. De Filippis

# Higgs production at FCC-ee

#### Higgs-strahlung or e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→ ZH



#### VBF production: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→vvH (WW fus.), e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→He<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> (ZZ fus.)

Higgs production @ FCC-ee				
Threshold ZH production VBF production				
240 GeV / 5 ab <sup>-1</sup>	1e6	2.5e4		
365 GeV / 1.5 ab <sup>-1</sup>	2e5	5e4		



Process	Cross section	Events in 5 ab <sup>-1</sup>			
Higgs boson production, cross section in fb					
$e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$	212	$1.06  imes 10^6$			
$e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}H$	6.72	$3.36  imes 10^4$			
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-H$	0.63	$3.15\times10^3$			
Total	219	$1.10  imes 10^6$			

Background processes, cross section in pb				
$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (Bhabha)	25.1	$1.3  imes 10^8$		
$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$	50.2	$2.5 \times 10^8$		
$e^+e^-  ightarrow \mu\mu$ (or $ au au$ )	4.40	$2.2  imes 10^7$		
$e^+e^- \to WW$	15.4	$7.7  imes 10^7$		
$e^+e^-  ightarrow ZZ$	1.03	$5.2  imes 10^6$		
$e^+e^- \rightarrow eeZ$	4.73	$2.4  imes 10^7$		
$e^+e^- \rightarrow e\nu W$	5.14	$2.6 imes10^7$		



N. De Filippis

# Global strategy for Higgs studies



 $\sigma$ (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  HZ)  $\alpha$  g<sup>2</sup><sub>HZZ</sub>

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$ 

Higgs 2022, Nov. 7-11, 2022, Pisa, Italy

ZH events tagged by the Z, without reconstructing the Higgs decay. Unique to lepton colliders.

e.g. when  $Z \rightarrow$  leptons :

$$m_{\text{recoil}}^2 = s + m_{\ell\ell}^2 - 2\sqrt{s}(E_{\ell^+} + E_{\ell^-})$$

A fit to the recoil mass distribution allows:

- measurement of  $\sigma(ZH)$  independent of the Higgs decay mode with O(%) uncertainty. Hence an absolute determination on  $g_{HZZ}$ 

 $\rightarrow \delta g_{HZZ}/g_{HZZ} \sim 0.2 \%$  (also including Z $\rightarrow$ had)

• a precise meas. of the Higgs mass  $\rightarrow \delta m_H/m_H \sim O(MeV)$ 

Easiest case:  $Z \rightarrow Iep$ .

•  $Z \rightarrow$  had: more careful design of the analysis

N. De Filippis



### Model-independent Higgs couplings measurements

Known  $g_{HZZ}$  it is possible to measure  $\sigma \times BR$  for specific Higgs decays

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\rm ZH} \times \mathcal{B}({\rm H} \to {\rm X}\overline{{\rm X}}) &\propto \frac{g_{\rm HZZ}^2 \times g_{\rm HXX}^2}{\Gamma_{\rm H}} & \bullet {\rm H} \to {\rm ZZ}^* \text{ provides } \Gamma_{\rm H} \\ \bullet {\rm H} \to {\rm XX} \text{ provides } {\rm g}_{\rm HXX} \\ {\rm H} \to {\rm ZZ}^* \text{ provides } \Gamma_{\rm H} : \quad \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{{\rm BR}(H \to ZZ^*)} = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{\Gamma(H \to ZZ^*)/\Gamma_H} \simeq \left[\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{\Gamma(H \to ZZ^*)}\right]_{\rm SM} \times \Gamma_H \\ \to \delta\Gamma_{\rm H} \ /\Gamma_{\rm H} \sim \text{ several } \% \end{split}$$

Select events with  $H \rightarrow bb$ , cc, gg, WW, tt,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $Z\gamma$ , ... Deduce  $g_{Hbb}$ ,  $g_{Hcc}$ ,  $g_{Hgg}$ ,  $g_{Hww}$ ,  $g_{Htt}$ ,  $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$ ,  $g_{H\mu\mu}$ ,  $g_{HZ\gamma}$ , ... Select events with  $H \rightarrow$  "nothing"  $\rightarrow$  deduce  $\Gamma(H \rightarrow invisible)$ 

#### $\rightarrow \delta g_{XX}/g_{XX} \sim 1~\%$

a model-indep determination of Higgs couplings.

Data at higher energy bring important additional observables:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{H\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e} \times \mathcal{B}(H \to X\overline{X}) \propto \frac{g_{HWW}^2 \times g_{HXX}^2}{\Gamma_H} \\ \text{First vvH} \to \text{vvbb} \sim g_{HWW}^2 g_{Hbb}^2 / \Gamma_H \\ \quad \text{vvbb / (ZH(bb) ZH(WW)} \sim g_{HZZ}^4 / \Gamma_H = R \Rightarrow \Gamma_H \text{ precision at 1\%} \\ \text{Then do vvH} \to \text{vvWW} \sim g_{HWW}^4 / \Gamma_H \\ \quad \text{e} R / \text{vvWW} \sim g_{HWW}^4 / g_{HZZ}^4 \\ \quad \text{e} g_{HWW} \text{ precision to few permil} \\ \text{N. De Filippis} \\ \end{split}$$

## Analyses overview

N. De Filippis

### HZ cross section and mass measurement (1)

#### Details at link

#### MC simulation based on Whizard:

- $\sqrt{s} = 240 \text{ GeV}, L = 5 ab^{-1}$
- IDEA detector; detector response modelled with Delphes

#### Analysis workflow based on recoil method using $Z(\mu\mu)$ final state

- Baseline selection, at least 2 muons in the event
  - in case of more than 2 muons in event, select pair closest to Z mass
  - tight selection of Z mass between [86, 96] GeV
- Background reduction by cut on Z p<sub>T</sub> [20, 70] GeV and  $|\cos(\theta_{miss})| < 0.98$ 
  - the former to suppress Z/ $\gamma^*$ , the later for  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu/\tau\tau$  events  $\rightarrow$  to be replaced by MVA

#### **Potential improvements:**

- Inclusion of Z(ee), but larger eeZ backgrounds; Z(qq) worse resolution
- Optimize selection for background rejection

#### Parametric fit based on recoil mass distribution

- Fit function: double-sided Crystal-ball + Gaussian core
- Free parameter: Higgs mass, signal normalization and background norm





#### N. De Filippis

## HZ cross section and mass measurement (2)

Likelihood scans to extract uncertainties on cross section and mass

#### **Stat-only uncertainties:**

- Cross-section: 1.07 %
- Higgs mass: 6.7 MeV

Muon channel only

Potential systematic uncertainties studied and propagated to the fit

- Beam Energy Spread (BES) ~ 1% at 240 GeV, constrained using  $ee \rightarrow ff(\gamma)$
- Initial State Radiation (ISR) estimated using KKMC
- Muon momentum scale relative scale uncertainty ~ 1e-5
- Center-of-mass

~ 2 MeV

- FSR uncertainty to be evalutated

#### **Stat + syst uncertainties:**

- Cross-section: 1.11 %
- Higgs mass: 7.1 MeV Minor impact, stat. driven

This uncertainty would be reduced with the 240 GeV data by adding the electron channel, possibly increasing the magnetic field from 2T to 3T, and by reconstructing explicitly the Higgs mass in exclusive decays.



#### N. De Filippis

# H→hadrons and progress on jet flavour tagging

#### High precision Higgs BRs to hadron measurements:

- Bottom and charm, gluons, probe strange coupling?
- Key ingredients:
  - tagging of b, c and g jets
  - detector requirements (tracking, vertexing, timing)
  - tagging performance from old-ish algorithms
    - large room for improvement for  $\sigma \times BR(cc)$
- State-of-the-art flavour-tagging algorithm developed recently in the context of FCC-ee. Exploits experience gained at the LHC.
  - Advanced machine learning (Dynamic Graph Convolutional Neural Network)



#### Very promising performance

 Mis-id efficiency lower by O(10) compared to traditional approaches

- Z(II)H(qq)
- Z(inv)H(qq)
- Z(qq)H(qq)

N. De Filippis

Higgs 2022, Nov. 7-11, 2022, Pisa, Italy

FCC-ee Simulation (IDEA)

## Higgs to invisible particles analysis

#### Strategy:

- Tag the Z using muon, electron and hadron final states (qq and bb)
- Tight cut on Z peak [87, 96] GeV, based on leptonic system or sum of all visible particles for hadronic
- Calculate missing mass m<sub>miss</sub> as 240 GeV minus visible mass m<sub>vis</sub>
- Additional requirements for Z→bb channel, to cope with worse resolution of bb system
  - relax Z peak constraint by [60, 100]
  - scale visible 4–vector by 91/m<sub>vis</sub> and recalculate m<sub>miss</sub> to optimize resolution



#### Details at link



### Higgs self coupling at $\sqrt{s} < 500$ GeV – i.e. ZH & tt thresholds

 $\kappa_\lambda \equiv rac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2^{
m SM}}$ 

Probe *indirectly* trilinear Higgs self coupling  $\lambda_3$  through higher-order corrections to single-Higgs processes

**NLO correction** to SM observable (i.e the cross section) parameterized according to:

$$\Sigma_{\rm NLO} = \underline{Z_H} \Sigma_{\rm LO} (1 + \kappa_{\lambda} C_1)$$

Universal coefficient from wave function

Process and kinematic dependent coefficient

C<sub>1</sub> process-dependent coefficient that encodes the interference between the NLO amplitudes and the LO ones

The total (NLO) cross section can be measured O(1%):

- possible probing NLO deviations from SM:  $\delta \kappa_{\lambda} = \kappa_{\lambda} 1$
- parameter  $C_1$  sensitive to  $\sqrt{s}$ : exploit different sensitivities

at 240 GeV and 365 GeV:

- ZH @ 240 GeV
- VBF @ 365 GeV





**Details at link** 

N. De Filippis

### Higgs self coupling at $\sqrt{s} < 500$ GeV – i.e. ZH & tt thresholds

 $\lambda_3$  affects single-Higgs prod at NLO



NB: 365 GeV > ZHH threshold, but too low ZHH x-section

e.g. 100% variation on  $\lambda_3$  modifies  $\sigma(ZH)$ by ~ 2% at 240 GeV and ~ 0.5% at 365 GeV. Larger than / comparable with the exp. precision on  $\sigma(ZH)$ 

Precise measurement of  $\sigma(ZH)$  constrains a combination of  $\lambda_3$  and  $g_{HZZ}$ . Measurements at two values of  $\sqrt{s}$  needed to determine separately  $\lambda_3$  and  $g_{HZZ}$ .



> FCC-ee baseline (2 IPs):  $\delta \kappa_{\lambda} \sim 40\%$  (33% when combined with HL-LHC).

> Recent: 4 IPs possible. More than x2 stat: need less time to complete the EW programme, hence can spend more time at 240 and 365 GeV  $\rightarrow \delta \kappa_{\lambda} \sim 24\%$  (combined with HL-LHC) JHEP01(2020)139

With 4 IPs: 5 $\sigma$  observation of  $\lambda_3$  within reach with 15 years of operation at FCC-ee.

N. De Filippis

## Higgs Yukawa coupling to electron

arXiv:2107.02686

**FCC-ee**: unique opportunity to study the Higgs Yukawa coupling to electron,  $y_e$ , via resonant schannel production  $e^+e^- \rightarrow H$  in a dedicated run at the Higgs pole,  $\sqrt{s} = m_{H_c}$ 

• Stat. limited



- Beams must be monochromatized such that the spread of their center-of-mass energy is commensurate with the narrow width of the SM Higgs boson
- Prerequisite: Higgs mass extraction δm<sub>H</sub> = O (3 MeV) via HZ@ 240,217 GeV
- Generator-level study for signal+background for 10 decay channels:
  - most significant channels:  $H \rightarrow gg$  (for light mistag ~ 1%),  $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow Iv$  +jets



N. De Filippis

### Higgs couplings from global fits (1)

Recent example of a global fit in the SMEFT </br>
framework from arXiv:2206.08326

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{i} \frac{C_{j}^{(6)}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{j}^{(6)}$ 

Future colliders combined with HL-LHC

> Input Higgs measurements: main difference w.r.t. ESU is the updated CEPC running scenario (20 ab<sup>-1</sup> vs 5 ab<sup>-1</sup>)

precision reach on effective couplings from SMEFT global fit



## Conclusions

- FCC is a unique project, offering an extremely complete and compelling programme, with synergies and complementarities between the various machines and running scenarios (FCC-ee, FCC-hh, FCC-eh and heavy ions) → prospects for 100 years of great physics at energy and intensity frontiers!
- FCC-ee provides ultimate precision in Higgs sector, aimed at starting at CERN in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> mode, shortly after the end of the HL-LHC.
- FCC-ee will produce 1-2 millions Higgs in a clean environment (low systematics):
  - allows for model independent measurement of Higgs properties
  - high precision in abundant Higgs decay channels
- New experimental developments coming in: progress on detector R&D, reconstruction algorithms, ML revolution, allow to contemplate more ambitious goals
  - an exciting future for HEP ahead...join the team!

Credits: E. Perez, D. d'Enterria, M. Selvaggi, C. Grojean, P. Azzi et al.

N. De Filippis

### Backup

N. De Filippis

### Higgs physics requirements for an experiment at FCC-ee

Physics Process	Measured Quantity	Critical Detector	Required Performance
$ZH \to \ell^+ \ell^- X$	Higgs mass, cross section	Tracker	$\Delta(1/p_{\rm T}) \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$
$H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$\mathrm{BR}(H\to\mu^+\mu^-)$	Hacker	$\oplus 1 \times 10^{-3}/(p_{\rm T}\sin\theta)$
$H \to b \bar{b}, \ c \bar{c}, \ g g$	$BR(H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, c\bar{c}, gg)$	Vertex	$\sigma_{r\phi} \sim 5 \oplus 10/(p \sin^{3/2} \theta) \ \mu \mathrm{m}$
$H \to q\bar{q}, \ VV$	$BR(H \to q\bar{q}, VV)$	ECAL, HCAL	$\sigma_E^{ m jet}/E\sim 3-4\%$
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	$\mathrm{BR}(H\to\gamma\gamma)$	ECAL	$\sigma_E \sim 16\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1\% \text{ (GeV)}$

#### As an example: **IDEA** proposal

- a silicon pixel vertex detector
- a large-volume extremely-light drift wire chamber
- surrounded by a layer of silicon micro-strip detectors
- a thin low-mass superconducting solenoid coil
- a preshower detector
- a dual read-out calorimeter
- muon chambers inside the magnet return yoke



#### N. De Filippis

# FCC-ee Higgs motivation and contacts

FCC-ee offers broad potential for precision Higgs measurements:

- 5 ab<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity to two detectors over 10 years  $\rightarrow$  10<sup>6</sup> clean Higgs events
- clean environment
- relative small backgrounds, high S/B

 $\rightarrow$  FCC-ee can measure the Higgs boson production cross sections and most of its properties with precisions far beyond achievable at the LHC

#### Higgs-strahlung (m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV)



Max.  $\sigma$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$  :  $\sigma \approx 200 \text{ fb}$ 

N. De Filippis

### Model-independent Higgs couplings measurements

Known  $g_{HZZ}$  it is possible to measure  $\sigma \times BR$  for specific Higgs decays

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\rm ZH} \times \mathcal{B}({\rm H} \to {\rm X}\overline{{\rm X}}) \propto \frac{g_{\rm HZZ}^2 \times g_{\rm HXX}^2}{\Gamma_{\rm H}} & \bullet {\rm H} \to {\rm ZZ}^* \text{ provides } \Gamma_{\rm H} \\ \bullet {\rm H} \to {\rm XX} \text{ provides } {\rm g}_{\rm HXX} \\ {\rm H} \to {\rm ZZ}^* \text{ provides } \Gamma_{\rm H} : \quad \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{{\rm BR}(H \to ZZ^*)} = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{\Gamma(H \to ZZ^*)/\Gamma_H} \simeq \left[\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH)}{\Gamma(H \to ZZ^*)}\right]_{\rm SM} \times \Gamma_H \\ \to \delta\Gamma_{\rm H} \ /\Gamma_{\rm H} \sim \text{ several } \% \end{split}$$

Select events with  $H \rightarrow bb$ , cc, gg, WW, tt,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $Z\gamma$ , ... Deduce  $g_{Hbb}$ ,  $g_{Hcc}$ ,  $g_{Hgg}$ ,  $g_{Hww}$ ,  $g_{Htt}$ ,  $g_{H\gamma\gamma}$ ,  $g_{H\mu\mu}$ ,  $g_{HZ\gamma}$ , ... Select events with  $H \rightarrow$  "nothing"  $\rightarrow$  deduce  $\Gamma(H \rightarrow invisible)$ 

$$\rightarrow \delta g_{XX} / g_{XX} \sim I \%$$

a model-indep determination of Higgs couplings.

Data at higher energy bring important additional observables:  $\sigma_{\mathrm{H}\nu_{\mathrm{e}}\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}}} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{X}\overline{\mathrm{X}}) \propto \frac{g_{\mathrm{HWW}}^2 \times g_{\mathrm{HXX}}^2}{\Gamma_{\mathrm{T}}}$ 

In practice: Higgs couplings and  $\Gamma_{H}$  extracted from a global fit to all  $\sigma$  x BR measts

- Kappa framework
- SMEFT framework

N. De Filippis

### Higgs measurements: a broad analysis programme

Global fits in  $\kappa$ -3 framework (arXiv:1905.03764)

Expected relative uncertainties (%) on Higgs couplings

Decay-mode independent cross section					
Width	Ch.	HL-LHC	+ 240 GeV	+ 240+365 GeV	+ FCC-hh
	κ <sub>w</sub>	0.99	0.88	0.41	0.19
- Self coupling	κ <sub>z</sub>	0.99	0.20	0.17	0.16
	κ <sub>g</sub>	2.00	1.20	0.90	0.5
	κγ	1.60	1.3	1.3	0.31
	κ <sub>Ζγ</sub>	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.7
		-	1.50	1.30	0.96
	κ <sub>t</sub>	3.20	3.10	3.10	0.96
<ul> <li>Vector boson couplings, WW, ZZ</li> <li>Fermions</li> </ul>	κ <sub>b</sub>	2.50	1.00	0.64	0.48
- Electron Yukawa coupling	κ <sub>μ</sub>	4.40	4.00	3.90	0.43
Exotics	κ <sub>τ</sub>	1.60	0.94	0.66	0.46
	Inv.	1.9	0.22	0.19	0.024
- Exotic/rare Higgs decays (γγ, μμ, γΖ), flavor	An	alvsis ong	oina	Analysis not	covered

Light scalar Higgs searches (@ Z pole)

Higgs 2022, Nov. 7-11, 2022, Pisa, Italy

N. De Filippis

Mass

### Cross section and mass: detector

**Different IDEA detector configurations studied:** 

- Magnetic field increased from 2T to 3T  $\rightarrow$  expected better momentum resolution
- FullSilicon tracker instead of drift chamber  $\rightarrow$  degraded resolution due to enhanced multiple scattering, especially at low p<sub>T</sub> and in the range relevant for this analysis

Effect on mass scales with resolution, impact on cross-section uncertainty limited



N. De Filippis

# Higgs to hadron couplings: **Z(II)H(qq)**

#### Hadronic couplings extracted from Z(II)H(hadrons) final states

- Using recoil method, for Z muon or electron decays
- Categorization based on tagged b and c jets + gluon jets
- Processes split in bb, cc, gg, and non-hadronic (remainings)

#### Strategy:

- Jet algorithm: ee anti-kT with E recombination scheme require max 2 jets
- Jet flavor labelling based on matching to highest energy true parton in cone of DR < 0.5
- Jet flavor tagging: apply tagger WPs for the labelled jets
  - Flavor tagger arXiv:2202.03285, discriminating b,c and gluons baseline 80% efficiency

Strategy	b-tag ε <sub>b,</sub> ε <sub>c</sub> , ε <sub>l</sub> , ε <sub>g</sub>	c-tag ɛ <sub>b,</sub> ɛ <sub>c</sub> , ɛ <sub>l</sub> , ɛ <sub>g</sub>	g-tag ɛ <sub>b,</sub> ɛ <sub>c</sub> , ɛ <sub>l</sub> , ɛ <sub>g</sub>
Nominal	80 / 0.4 / 0.05 / 0.7	2.0 / 80 / 0.9 / 2.5	2.0 / 5.0 / 15 / 80
Fake rates x2	80 / <b>0.8 / 0.1 / 1.4</b>	4.0 / 80 / 1.8 / 5.0	<b>4.0 / 10 / 30</b> / 80
Fake rates x5	80 / <b>2.0 / 0.25 / 3.5</b>	10 / 80 / 4.5 / 12.5	<b>10 / 25 / 75</b> / 80
Eff -10%	70 / 0.4 / 0.05 / 0.7	2.0 / <b>70</b> / 0.9 / 2.5	2.0 / 5.0 / 15 / <b>70</b>
Eff -20%	<b>60</b> / 0.4 / 0.05 / 0.7	2.0 / <b>60</b> / 0.9 / 2.5	2.0 / 5.0 / 15 / 60
WPc 90%	80 / 0.4 / 0.05 / 0.7	4.0 / 90 / 7.0 / 7.0	2.0 / 5.0 / 15 / 80
WPc 70%	80 / 0.4 / 0.05 / 0.7	0.9 / 70 / 0.2 / 1.0	2.0 / 5.0 / 15 / 80

Effect on tagging WP



## Higgs self coupling: selection

240 GeV: ZH recoil method using Z(mumu), Z(ee), Z(bb)

- Muon final state ~ identical to mass/cross section analysis
- Electron final state suffers from larger backgrounds (eeZ)
- Added Z(bb)H final state to profit from large statistics
  - Adaptive BDT for efficient background rejection
- Fit on recoil mass distribution

#### 365 GeV: VBF analysis:

- WW fusion (vvH) ~ 50k H
  - 2 b-jets, H<sub>T</sub> > 10 GeV, MET > 10 GeV
- ZZ fusion (eeH) ~ 4k H
  - 2 electrons + 2 jets, mee > 80 GeV
- Use BDT for background rejection
- Fit on missing mass variable (peaks around 365–125=240 GeV)



N. De Filippis

#### Higgs 2022, Nov. 7-11, 2022, Pisa, Italy

cp / Np (N/I

### Higgs self coupling at higher energies: HH production

- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>: σ(ZHH) and σ(ννHH), from 0.1 fb (250 GeV) to O(1 fb) at 3 TeV.
   Best sensitivity: HH → 4b.
- µCol: VBF, vvHH
- pp 100 TeV : σ = LHC x40

Best channel is  $bb\gamma\gamma$ . Sensitivity studied for several assumptions on detector performance.

FCC-hh Simulation (Delphes) δ k<sub>λ</sub> (%), μ=1 √s = 100 TeV 60 — L = 30 ab<sup>-1</sup> 2004.03505 40 20 +10% -20 68% C.I. on k, -40scenario stat+syst -60stat only -802.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 0.0 0.4 0.7 1.0 1.3 1.5 1.7

Going below the 10% level requires highest energies. Not for the "first stages".

	ILC 500 GeV 4 ab-1	27% ( oldish )
	ILC + 1 TeV 8 ab-1	10%
	CLIC 3 TeV, 5 ab-1	-8% / +11%
µCol	3 TeV 0.9 ab-1	~ 25%
	10 TeV 10 ab-1	4 %
рр	100 TeV 30 ab-1	3 – 8%

N. De Filippis



### Higgs couplings from global fits (2)

Recent example of a global fit in the Kappa framework from arXiv:1905.03764



#### complementarity of 240/365 GeV



ECFA Higgs study group '19

### Higgs@FCC-ee: complementarity with HL-LHC



N. De Filippis

### Higgs couplings from global fits (3)

### complementarity with HL-LHC

 $\kappa_b$  (%)  $\kappa_Z(\%)$  $\kappa_W(\%)$  $\kappa_c$  (%)  $\kappa_{\tau}$  (%) FCC-ee can reconstruct charm ves and gain access to charm Yukawa Thanks to HL-LHC, top Yukawa doesn't 0.0 0.4 0.8 1.2 0 0 2 0 2 3 4 3 require tth threshold measured  $\kappa_{e}$  (%  $\kappa_t$  (%)  $\kappa_{\mu}$  (%)  $\kappa_{Z\gamma}(\%)$ measured 2 3 0.0 1.5 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 0.0 15 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5 8 12 0 0 8 12 16 0 16 kappa-3 modified version (x-scale) of the plot in the report for illustration purposes ,95% C.L.) Br<sub>unt</sub> (< %, 95% C.L.)  $Br_{inv}$  (< Higgs@FC WG Kappa-3, May 2019 Important synergy HL-LHC — low energy lepton LHC brings statistics FCC-ee adds a bit of sensitivity colliders free  $\kappa_V$ 1. Top/Charm Yukawa  $|\kappa_V| \le 1$ 2 Statistically limited channels: γγ, μμ 0.0 0.6 1.2 1.8 2.4 3.0 0 2 3

ECFA Higgs study group '19

include HL-LHC

 $BR_{unt}$ 

BRinv

Scenario

## Summary direct measurements

	HL-LHC	FCC-ee
δГн / Гн (%)	SM	1.3
δg <sub>HZZ</sub> / g <sub>HZZ</sub> (%)	1.5	0.17
δg <sub>нww</sub> / g <sub>нww</sub> (%)	1.7	0.43
δg <sub>Hbb</sub> / g <sub>Hbb</sub> (%)	3.7	0.61
δg <sub>Hcc</sub> / g <sub>Hcc</sub> (%)	~70	1.21
δg <sub>Hgg</sub> / g <sub>Hgg</sub> (%)	2.5 (gg->H)	1.01
δg <sub>Ηττ</sub> / g <sub>Ηττ</sub> (%)	1.9	0.74
δg <sub>Hμμ</sub> / g <sub>Hμμ</sub> (%)	4.3	9.0
δg <sub>Hγγ</sub> / g <sub>Hγγ</sub> (%)	1.8	3.9
δg <sub>Htt</sub> / g <sub>Htt</sub> (%)	3.4	—
δg <sub>HZγ</sub> / g <sub>HZγ</sub> (%)	9.8	—
δgннн / gннн (%)	50	~30 (indirect)
BR <sub>exo</sub> (95%CL)	$BR_{inv} < 2.5\%$	< 1%