# LATEST CMS RESULTS IN THE $H \rightarrow 22 \rightarrow 41$ CHANNEL

Alessandra Cappati

LLR, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3

On behalf of CMS Collaboration



**EuroTech**Postdoc2 Programme

Higgs 2022 11 November 2022



### THE $H \rightarrow 22 \rightarrow 4$ CHANNEL

- **Golden channel**: important for the discovery and to study of H properties
  - Clear 4 lepton signature provides large S/B
  - Complete reconstruction of the final state decay products

New!

- But very small Branching fraction (0.012%)
- Results presented in this talk:
  - Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 488
  - <u>CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009</u>







- Selection:
  - →**4I** (e, µ)
  - $\rightarrow$ **Z** candidate
  - $\rightarrow$ **ZZ** candidate
  - $\rightarrow$ **best ZZ** candidate chosen (if more than 1) with:
    - Kinematic discriminant (MELA pkg)
    - Highest  $p_T$  of  $Z_2$  (for differential cross sections)
- Background:
  - ZZ estimated from MC
  - Z+X (reducible) from data
- Additional objects for event categorization
- Matrix element discriminants and multidimensional ML fits to extract results



Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 488





Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 488

### SIGNAL STRENGTHS



- Defined as ratio of the measured cross section and the SM expectation
- Inclusive:  $\mu = 0.94 \pm 0.07(\text{stat})^{+0.07}_{-0.06}(\text{th})^{+0.06}_{-0.05}(\text{exp})$
- Consistent with SM expectations



### SIMPLIFIED TEMPLATE CROSS SECTIONS (STXS)



- kinematic regions based on the production modes of the Higgs →Built to maximize sensitivity to isolate BSM effects while reducing theory dependence
- Dedicated categories to measure STXS Stage 1.2 : splitting based on number of jets and kinematic selections (p<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup>)
- Some STXS bins merged to avoid large uncertainties or high correlations (reduces model-independence)



### SIMPLIFIED TEMPLATE CROSS SECTIONS (STXS)



Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 488

- Good sensitivity to ggH process
- Because of low statistics, some bins merged and result to be fit to 0
- Consistent with SM expectations



### FIDUCIAL DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS

- Fiducial volume defined to match experimental selections →achieve model-independence
- Large number of **new observables** considered
- Differential xsec bin boundaries chosen to:
  - Be aligned for the combination with other channels
  - Have enough data for low expected uncertainties
  - Ensure a good level of S/B
- **Improved** event reconstruction, object calibration, systematics estimate
- Interpretation of  $p_T^H$  spectrum ( $k_{\lambda}, k_b, k_c$ )



New results!

 $\rightarrow$  Alessandro's talk



### INCLUSIVE FIDUCIAL CROSS SECTION

 $\sigma^{\text{fid}} = 2.73^{+0.22}_{-0.22} \text{ (stat)}^{+0.15}_{-0.14} \text{ (syst) fb}$ = 2.73^{+0.22}\_{-0.22} \text{ (stat)}^{+0.12}\_{-0.12} \text{ (electrons)}^{+0.06}\_{-0.05} \text{ (lumi)}^{+0.04}\_{-0.04} \text{ (bkg)}^{+0.03}\_{-0.02} \text{ (muons) fb}

- Overall precision of 10%
- Good agreement with SM expectations
- 40% decrease of systematic uncertainties w.r.t. previous measurements!
- Systematic component dominated by electron reconstruction efficiency







**New strategy** investigated: measure the **ZZ** irreducible bkg normalization together with the inclusive fiducial xsec

- Standard approach: ZZ shape and normalization from MC
- Useful to:
  - Reduce uncertainty on ZZ normalization
  - Be sensitive to possible BSM effects in the bkg
- Results consistent with standard approach
- But not yet enough data to profit from this method in differential measurements



 $\rightarrow$  more variables in the paper

### PRODUCTION OBSERVABLES

- New bin boundaries choice
- p<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup> spectrum measurement precision improved

dơ<sub>fid</sub> /dp<sup>H</sup> (fb/GeV)

10-

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

Ratio to NNLOPS

• **jets** phase space extension (up to  $|\eta_j| < 4.7$ ) thanks to improved CMS jet reconstruction

**CMS** Preliminary 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) **CMS** Preliminary 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 10 ----dơ<sub>fid</sub> /dp<sub>T</sub> (fb/GeV) Data (stat. @ svs. unc.) p-value(POWHEG): 0.30 Data (stat. 
 sys. unc.) p-value(POWHEG): 0.85 Systematic uncertainty Systematic uncertainty gg→H (amcatnloFXFX + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH gg→H (amcatnloFXFX + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH gg→H (NNLOPS + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH gg→H (NNLOPS + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH gg→H (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH  $gg \rightarrow H$  (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pythia) XH = VBF + VH + ttH (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pythia) gg→H (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pythia) + XH ..... XH = VBF + VH + ttH (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pythia) 10 (LHCHWG YR4, m\_=125.38 GeV) (LHCHWG YR4, m\_=125.38 GeV)  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-3}$ Ratio to NNLOPS 1.6 1.4 1.2 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 200 50 100 150 o(Miers 0) 30 210 240 60 90 120 150 180 p<sub>T</sub><sup>H</sup> (GeV) p<sub>T</sub><sup>j1</sup> (GeV)



#### CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

 $\rightarrow$  more variables in the paper

12

### **PRODUCTION OBSERVABLES**

dơ<sub>fid</sub> /dm<sub>jj</sub> (fb/GeV)

- New observables
- Information on di-jet and H+jet system
- First bin contains events for which the observables are not defined



Higgs 2022



#### CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

### PRODUCTION OBSERVABLES





- Jet transverse momentum
   weighted by a function of jet rapidity
- Useful to test QCD resummation
- 0-jet phase space redefinition





$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{max}} = \max_{j} \left( m_{\mathrm{T}}^{j} e^{-|y_{j} - y_{\mathrm{H}}|} \right)$$



A.Cappati

### DECAY OBSERVABLES

- 7 parameters fully describing the  $H \rightarrow 4I$  decay:
  - Z masses (m<sub>z1</sub>, m<sub>z2</sub>)
  - Angular variables for fermion kinematics ( $\Phi$ ,  $\cos \theta_1$ ,  $\cos \theta_2$ )
  - Angular variables connecting production and decay ( $\Phi_1$ , cos  $\Theta^*$ )
- New observables
- Results divided for identical (4e+4µ) and different (2e2µ) flavour final states

 $\rightarrow$  highlight sensitivity of identical flavour final state to interference effects

 $\rightarrow$  more variables in the paper





Higgs 2022

2

Φ(2e2μ)



#### CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

- New observables
- ME discriminants sensitive to HVV anomalous couplings
- Results compared to different BSM hypotheses
- Presented separately for identical (4e+4µ) and different (2e2µ) flavour final states

 $\rightarrow$  more variables in the paper



#### Sensitive to possible CP-violation effects

A.Cappati

### DOUBLE DIFFERENTIAL OBSERVABLES

- New observables
- Large set of observables to improve characterization of the decay channel and maximize coverage of different phase space regions
   → more variables in the paper



Higgs 2022



CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

# INTERPRETATION

- NLO EW corrections induce dependence of single-H cross sections on  $\lambda_{HHH} \rightarrow$  extract information from  $p_T^H$  spectrum
- Large contribution from ttH and VH
- H cross section parametrized as function of  $k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{\text{HHH}} / \lambda_{\text{HHH}}^{\text{SM}}$ :
  - Cross section and BR fixed to SM values
  - Scaling function  $\mu(\lambda)$  in each bin of  $p_T^H$  spectrum for all production mechanisms
- Observed (expected) limits at 95% CL:

-5.5 (7.7) < k<sub>λ</sub> < 15.1 (17.9)

Competitive with many limits from HH direct searches!



10

15

-10

-5

20 k



## INTERPRETATION

- ggH  $\mathbf{p}_{\tau}^{H}$  spectrum used to set constraints on  $\mathbf{k}_{h}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_{c}$  coupling modifiers  $\rightarrow$  Quadratic polynomials to parametrize CMS Preliminary simultaneous variations of H couplings in each bin  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}}$
- Observed (expected) 95% CL limits assuming branching fractions dependent on k<sub>b</sub>, k

-1.1 (-1.3) < k<sub>b</sub> < 1.1 (1.2) -5.3 (-5.7) < k<sub>c</sub> < 5.2 (5.7)

Observed (expected) 95% CL limits if treating  $H \rightarrow ZZ$ branching fraction as unconstrained parameters in fit

> -5.6 (-5.5) < k<sub>b</sub> < 8.9 (7.4) -20 (-19) < k<sub>c</sub> < 23 (20)





CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

1.5

0.5

-0.5



- $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$  is a fundamental channel to study the Higgs boson
- Most recent full Run2 results presented, overall good agreement with SM
- Super fresh results from differential fiducial cross section measurements
  - $\circ$  Comprehensive characterization of the H $\rightarrow$ 4l channel
  - Many new observables considered
  - 3 interpretation performed
  - **Improved** event reconstruction, object calibration, systematics estimate
    - $\rightarrow$  Very precise measurements (10% inclusive)!

The precision exploration of the scalar sector has just started!



### BACK UP





#### CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009



A.Cappati



#### CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009



A.Cappati

### DECAY OBSERVABLES





A.Cappati



CMS-PAS-HIG-21-009

Sensitive to possible BSM contribution from heavy H bosons



### DOUBLE DIFFERENTIAL OBSERVABLES





 $p_T^{\ H}$  ,  $y^H$ 





### INTERPRETATION





Credits: Alessandro Tarabini



$$\mu_{i}^{f} = \mu_{i} \times \mu^{f} = \frac{\sigma^{NLO}}{\sigma_{SM}^{NLO}} \frac{BR(H \to ZZ)}{BR^{SM}(H \to ZZ)} = \frac{1 + k_{\lambda}C_{1,i} + \delta Z_{H}}{(1 - (k_{\lambda}^{2} - 1)\delta Z_{H})(1 + C_{1,i} + \delta Z_{H})} \times \left[1 + \frac{(k_{\lambda} - 1)(C_{1}^{\Gamma ZZ} - C_{1}^{\Gamma tot})}{1 + (k_{\lambda} - 1)C_{1}^{\Gamma tot}}\right]$$

 $\delta Z_H = -1.536 \times 10^{-3}$  universal quantity

 $C_1(p_n)$  dependent on H production model and kinematics

 $C_1^{\Gamma_{ZZ}} = 0.0082$  $C_1^{\Gamma_{tot}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ 

### INTERPRETATION



$$\sigma_{ggH} = \left| \sum_{i} A_{i} k_{i} \right|^{2} = A k_{b}^{2} + B k_{c}^{2} + C k_{t}^{2} + D k_{b} k_{c} + E k_{b} k_{t} + F k_{c} k_{t}$$
set k<sub>t</sub> = 7

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{2} \\ \sigma_{3} \\ \sigma_{4} \\ \sigma_{5} \\ \sigma_{6} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{b,1}^{2} & \kappa_{c,1}^{2} & \kappa_{t,1}^{2} & \kappa_{b,1}\kappa_{c,1} & \kappa_{b,1}\kappa_{t,1} & \kappa_{c,1}\kappa_{t,1} \\ \kappa_{b,2}^{2} & \kappa_{c,2}^{2} & \kappa_{t,2}^{2} & \kappa_{b,2}\kappa_{c,2} & \kappa_{b,2}\kappa_{t,2} & \kappa_{c,2}\kappa_{t,2} \\ \kappa_{b,3}^{2} & \kappa_{c,3}^{2} & \kappa_{t,3}^{2} & \kappa_{b,3}\kappa_{c,3} & \kappa_{b,3}\kappa_{t,3} & \kappa_{c,3}\kappa_{t,3} \\ \kappa_{b,4}^{2} & \kappa_{c,4}^{2} & \kappa_{t,4}^{2} & \kappa_{b,4}\kappa_{c,4} & \kappa_{b,4}\kappa_{t,4} & \kappa_{c,4}\kappa_{t,4} \\ \kappa_{b,5}^{2} & \kappa_{c,5}^{2} & \kappa_{t,5}^{2} & \kappa_{b,5}\kappa_{c,5} & \kappa_{b,5}\kappa_{t,5} & \kappa_{c,5}\kappa_{t,5} \\ \kappa_{b,6}^{2} & \kappa_{c,6}^{2} & \kappa_{t,6}^{2} & \kappa_{b,6}\kappa_{c,6} & \kappa_{b,6}\kappa_{t,6} & \kappa_{c,6}\kappa_{t,6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \\ E \\ F \end{bmatrix}$$