Long-lived light mediators from Higgs boson decays



Based on

Long-Lived Light Mediators from Higgs boson Decay at HL-LHC, FCC-hh and a Proposal of Dedicated LLP Detectors for FCC-hh Biplob Bhattacherjee(Bangalore, Indian Inst. Sci.), Shigeki Matsumoto(Tokyo U., IPMU), Rhitaja Sengupta(Bangalore, Indian Inst. Sci.) e-Print: 2111.02437 [hep-ph]

FCC physics meeting, 29th November 2021

Biplob Bhattacherjee Centre for High Energy Physics Indian Institute of Science Bengaluru, India

Long-lived Particles (LLP)

Nature of the new physics is completely unknown **Probably very unconventional, exotic final states**

> **NOT YET SEARCHED FOR ? EXPERIMENTALLY CHALLENGING ?**

One such interesting possibility : Long-lived particles(LLPs)

Presence of LLP is not unnatural

- LLPs are present in the Standard Model

Small coupling, and/or suppression in the phase space makes particles long-lived

Many well motivated BSM models predict the presence of LLPs : SUSY, dark matter models



Unusual signatures of LLPs

Signatures of LLPs not only depend on the decay modes but also where these decay

Many unusual possibilities at the LHC :

- displaced vertex search
- **Disappearing tracks/tracklets**
- **Randomly timed large energy response**
- Non pointing objects....
- For slow moving LLPs, decay product can move in the backward direction ! (Banerjee, Bélanger, **BB**, Boudjema, Godbole and Mukherjee, *P hys.Rev.D* 98 (2018) 11, 115026)

Two possible ways to search:

- **B. USE DEDICATED TRIGGERS FOR LLPS**

See LLP White paper, 1903.04497, for the list of possible signatures

A. USE STANDARD TRIGGER MADE FOR PROMPT ANALYSIS AND TRY FIND THE PRESENCE OF LLP OFF-LINE

Scalar Mediator : Production and decays

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \Phi)^2 - A_{\Phi H} \Phi |H|^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi H}}{2} \Phi^2 |H|^2 - \mu_1^3 \Phi - \frac{\mu_{\Phi}^2}{2} \Phi^2 - \frac{\mu_3}{3!} \Phi^3 - \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4!}$$

Minimal model of scalar mediator with a mixing With the SM Higgs boson

 $\Phi |H|^2 \rightarrow$ Induces mixing between Φ and $H \rightarrow$ Mass eigenstates : ϕ and hSingle production of ϕ possible, Mixing highly constrained (For current bounds see 1811.03292)

 $\Phi^2 |H|^2 \rightarrow Mot$ severely constrained so far, as it must be accompanied by an on-shell Higgs boson to probe it sensitively.

If $m_{\phi} < m_{h} / 2$ the interaction induces the Higgs boson to decay into a pair of mediator particles, i.e. $h \rightarrow \phi \phi$

$$g_{SM SM \phi} \propto \sin \theta$$

Mixing angle θ determines the strength of the ϕ with SM particles

For heavy dark sector, ϕ will behave like SM Higgs boson with suppressed Decay width (suppression factor = sin² θ

For very small θ , ϕ can be long-lived



Scalar Mediator: Dominant production modes

$\sqrt{s} [\text{TeV}]$	Process	
	ggF	
14	VBF	
	Vh	2.

Several production processes and many decay modes of ϕ – -> mostly studied in ggF channel



Boost distribution mildly depends on the production process



Cross section [pb] 50.354.172387 (Wh:1.504, Zh:0.8830)

Mediator from Higgs decays: Tracker based search

φ mostly decays to jets unless it is very light.

- We will always have some decays inside the Tracker for a large range of lifetimes
- The displaced vertex position can be identified most precisely in the Tracker.
- In Phase-II upgrade, some tracking will be available at L1.
- Highly affected by the increased amount of PU in HL-LHC



PU contribution, being more uniform, reduces with reduced cone-size

L1 tracks:

 $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV},$ $|\eta| < 2.5$, $L_{xy} < 1 \, {\rm cm},$ $|z_0| < 30 \text{ cm}$

T. James, **CERN-THESIS-2018-241**



LLP signals not much affected with reduced cone-size







Tracker and MTD based search using classifiers



BB, Swagata Mukherjee, Rhitaja Sengupta, Prabhat Solanki JHEP 08 (2020) 141

MIP timing detector (MTD): Timing of charged particles with $p_T > 0.7 \text{ GeV}$ up to $|\eta| = 1.5$; p > 0.7 GeV for $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.0$ with 30 ps resolution



- Reasonable performance can be achieved, degrades with the lifetime of the mediator
- Similar performance of tracking and timing variables.
- More improvement possible using the tracking of displaced tracks at L1 (CMS-TDR-021)
- For higher decay length, ECAL timing provides good sensitivity (most sensitive decay length is cτ=50 cm for $m_{\Phi} = 10 \text{ GeV}$



Tracker vs CMS Muon spectrometer

	ϵ_{MS}						
	$\epsilon_{Tracker}$						
m_{ϕ} $c\tau_{\phi}$	$0.5{ m GeV}$	$5{ m GeV}$	50				
0.01 m	0.09	0.00	0.				
$0.1\mathrm{m}$	1.10	0.09	0.				
$1.0\mathrm{m}$	1.68	1.07	0.				
10.0 m	2.04	1.67	0.				
$100.0\mathrm{m}$	_	1.59	1.				
$1000.0\mathrm{m}$	_	_	1.				

The ratio of efficiencies for the LLP (the mediator particle) which decays inside the muon spectrometer and the tracker of the CMS detector

MS volume : dT > 4m or $|d_z| > 7m$, and, dT < 7m and $|d_z| < 10m$ tracker volume : (dT < 1.29m and |dz| < 3m)

Why Muon spectrometer ?

- Muon spectrometer is least affected by the increased PU rate (farthest from the IP)
- Large decay volume, suitable for LLPs
- MS has the capability to detect various final states from the mediator decay other than muons
- There exists a range of decay lengths where this ratio is equal to or greater than one

LLP searches using MS by CMS/ATLAS collaborations: 1811.07370, 1911.12575, CMS PAS EXO-20-015, 2107.04833

decay length GeV with the .00 .00 increases .07.85 The ratio .53.52



Fast detector level studies using Delphes

Two important effects

- The decay products of light LLPs can be boosted -> small opening angle
- For highly displaced LLPs -> the decay products will have small physical distance in the η - ϕ plane



It might create problems in the identification of the displaced vertex, isolation, etc



Fast detector level studies using Delphes

- Presence of the magnetic field can modify the opening angle among charged decay products
- In the Delphes, a fast simulation package, magnetic field is implemented up to the tracker coverage
- We have modified the Delphes propagation part to implement the magnetic field up to the Muon spectrometer (assuming CMS geometry)



For heavy LLPs, the effect is not that strong

SIDE NOTE : Delphes calorimeter has η - ϕ segmentation, but no layered structure, and no segmentation in the physical z direction. Non-pointing nature of the jets can not be seen in the default settings. We have implemented this effect in Delphes and studied the signatures of LLPs decaying to jets

Study of energy deposition patterns in hadron calorimeter for prompt and displaced jets using convolutional neural network by BB, Swagata Mukherjee, Rhitaja Sengupta arXiv: 1904.04811, JHEP, 2019, 156 (2019)





Analysis strategies for HL-LHC

Trigger for Prompt objects

- We can trigger the event using the prompt associated objects like jets, leptons etc.
- Cuts on prompt objects motivated from CMS Level-1 trigger TDR to select events

Simple analysis

- We have not applied any efficiency for the HLT/offline analysis
- We have assumed SM and instrumental background is negligible
- On the other hand, we put limits assuming 50 signal events (This can take care of the above two points)

Activity in the Muon Spectrometer

Particles except muons will look different in the CMS MS due to their interactions with the iron yokes, i.e., they shower and give rise to a cluster of hits.

Experimental Questions : how they exactly look in the MS ? whether these hits can be reconstructed ? whether the position of the dSV can be identified with such clusters of hits .

We cannot address properly in a phenomenological study such as the one in this paper. -> However CMS and ATLAS collaborations have developed algorithms to identify such clusters.

We just devise our cuts to ensure that a cluster with a high multiplicity of hits can be detected in the MS for various final states other than muons.





$\Phi \rightarrow \mu \mu$ channel

	Hard cut	Soft cut	
Cuts	D^H_{\cdots}	D^{S}_{\cdots}	
	$p_T^\mu > 20{ m GeV}$	$p_T^\mu > 10 \mathrm{GeV}$	
Muons	$\begin{aligned} n_{\mu} \ge 2 \\ \eta^{\mu} < 2.8 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} n_{\mu} \ge 2 \\ \eta^{\mu} < 2.8 \end{aligned}$ $ d^{\mu} > 1 \text{ mm}$	
Muon pair from	$ a_0 > 1 \text{ mm}$ $d_T > 1 \text{ cm}$	$ a_0 > 1 \text{ mm}$ $d_T > 1 \text{ cm}$	
the same dSV	$ d_T < 6 \mathrm{m} \& d_z < 9 \mathrm{m} \ \Delta \phi_{\mu\mu} > 0.015$	$\frac{ d_T < 6 \mathrm{m} \& d_z < 9 \mathrm{m}}{\Delta \phi_{\mu\mu} > 0.015}$	
Event	$n_{vtx} \ge 1 \text{ or } n_{vtx} = 2$	$n_{vtx} \ge 1 \text{ or } n_{vtx} = 2$	

 10^{-1} Efficiency 10⁻² 10⁻³

CMS MS - $\mu\mu$. Set: $D_{+}^{H} \ge 1$ vtx. 50 events. Combined

					μm,	000	$\mu = \mu$	-		.,		00	,							
	0.5	9.9e-07	1.6e-06	2.6e-06	1.1e-05	2.2e-05	1.1e-04	2.1e-04	8.5e-04	1.2e-03			$\sqrt{s} =$	14 Te	V	-	L0°		•	Ρτα
	1.0	1.2e-06	1.2e-06	1.7e-06	6.1e-06	1.2e-05	5.5e-05	1.0e-04	5.1e-04	1.0e-03										
	1.2	1.2e-06	1.1e-06	1.5e-06	5.3e-06	1.0e-05	4.2e-05	9.0e-05	4.2e-04	1.2e-03						-	L0 ⁻¹		•	FOr
	1.4	1.1e-06	1.1e-06	1.4e-06	4.5e-06	8.2e-06	3.8e-05	7.8e-05	3.0e-04	7.5e-04									•	Dat
	1.6	1.1e-06	1.0e-06	1.3e-06	4.0e-06	7.4e-06	3.4e-05	7.2e-05	2.7e-04	6.5e-04						-	10 ⁻²			
	1.8	1.1e-06	9.9e-07	1.2e-06	3.6e-06	6.7e-06	3.1e-05	6.7e-05	4.3e-04	1.1e-03						-				
	2.0	1.1e-06	9.7e-07	1.2e-06	3.3e-06	6.1e-06	2.7e-05	5.3e-05	2.4e-04	4.8e-04									Roo	sulte
_	2.5	1.0e-06	9.1e-07	1.1e-06	2.8e-06	4.9e-06	2.3e-05	4.3e-05	2.3e-04	4.9e-04						-	LO ⁻³		nea	Juit
ر وو	4	9.6e-07	8.3e-07	9.1e-07	1.9e-06	3.2e-06	1.4e-05	2.7e-05	1.4e-04	3.1e-04	1.0e-02						4 4 Φ	•	The	limi
Шф	6	9.1e-07	7.8e-07	8.1e-07	1.4e-06	2.2e-06	9.0e-06	1.8e-05	7.5e-05	1.6e-04	6.5e-04					-			_	
	8	9.1e-07	7.6e-07	7.7e-07	1.2e-06	1.7e-06	6.6e-06	1.3e-05	6.3e-05	1.2e-04	5.9e-04	1.2e-03				-	20	•	For	limit
	10	9.2e-07	7.6e-07	7.6e-07	1.0e-06	1.5e-06	5.3e-06	9.9e-06	4.7e-05	9.1e-05	4.0e-04	5.5e-04						•	Sen	sitivi
	20	1.0e-06	7.8e-07	7.5e-07	8.3e-07	1.0e-06	2.8e-06	5.0e-06	2.4e-05	4.8e-05	2.5e-04	7.5e-04				-	L0 ⁻⁵		CCI	
	30	1.2e-06	8.1e-07	7.7e-07	7.9e-07	8.9e-07	2.1e-06	3.6e-06	1.6e-05	3.1e-05	1.5e-04	3.1e-04	4.6e-02					•	The	mos
	10				75 07			24.05						17.00			6		_	
	40	1.4e-06	8.3e-07	7.7e-07	7.5e-07	8.0e-07	1.5e-06	2.4e-06	1.0e-05	1.9e-05	9.4e-05	2.0e-04	1.1e-03	1.7e-02		-	L0 ⁻⁶	•	For	m φ =
	50	1.8e-06	7.9e-07	7.0e-07	6.4e-07	6.7e-07	1.0e-06	1.5e-06	5.1e-06	9.8e-06	5.0e-05	9.4e-05	5.5e-04	1.0e-03					If wa	o tru
	60	2.6e-06	7.0e-07	5.5e-07	4.6e-07	4.7e-07	6.2e-07	8.2e-07	2.3e-06	4.2e-06	1.8e-05	3.8e-05	2.1e-04	4.5e-04			0-7	•		euy
		-2				0		log ₁₀	(<i>cτ</i> [r	2 m])				4		-				



cut on muons mostly affects the selection efficiency. small mediator mass, $\Delta \phi$ cut between muons mildly reduce the efficiency a collection using soft cut may be achievable using di-muon scouting.

<u>S</u>

t grid is computed by combining ggF, VBF and Vh production process. calculation, we assume $Br(\Phi \rightarrow \mu \mu) = 1$ (translation to other model possible) ity shifts from 1cm for $m_{\Phi} = 0.5$ GeV to 0.5 m for $m_{\Phi} = 50$ GeV. st sensitive limit on Br(h -> $\Phi\Phi$) = 9.9 10⁻⁷ for m $_{\Phi}$ = 0.5 GeV and ct=1cm. = 60 GeV, very high $c\tau$ values ~ 10⁴ m with Br(h -> $\Phi\Phi$) =5 10⁻⁴ accessible. to identify both the vertices, no sensitivity above $c\tau=1m$, for $m_{\Phi}=0.5$ GeV











$\Phi \rightarrow b b channel$

Trigger

- We can trigger the event using the prompt associated objects like jets, leptons etc.
- It is possible to reduce the prompt threshold if we can consider activity in the MS.

						D_{ii}^H	D^S_{ii}	
Trigger	In P_{Mode}^H	In P^S_{Mode}	Mode		actuana nhatana	$ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_$	$ \longrightarrow 0 \in O_2 V $	
Single jet	$ p_T^j > 180 \text{GeV}, \eta_j < 2.4.$	$ p_T^j > 90 \text{GeV}, \eta_j < 2.4.$			ectrons, photons, \lfloor	$p_T > 0.5 \mathrm{Gev}$	$p_T > 0.3 \mathrm{Gev}$	
Di-jet	$p_T^{j} > 112 \text{GeV}, \ \eta_j < 2.4, \ \Delta \eta < 1.6.$	$p_T^j > 90 \text{GeV}, \eta_j < 2.4, \Delta \eta < 1.6.$				hadrons	$ \eta < 2.8$	$ \eta < 2.8$
	$p_T > 70 \text{GeV}$ for Leading jet,	$p_T > 60 \text{GeV}$ for Leading jet,	ggF,		$d_T > 4 \mathrm{m} \mathrm{or} d_r > 7 \mathrm{m}$	$d_T > 4 \mathrm{m}$ or $ d_r > 7 \mathrm{m}$		
VBF jet	$p_T > 40 \text{GeV}$ for Sub-leading jet,	$p_T > 30 \text{GeV}$ for Sub-leading jet,	VBF,		MS cluster from	$a_1 > 1 \text{ m or } a_2 > 1 \text{ m}$	$\omega_1 > 1 \text{ m or } \omega_2 > 1 \text{ m}$	
	$ \eta_j < 5, \eta_{j_1} \times \eta_{j_2} < 0, \Delta \eta > 4.0,$	$ \eta_j < 5, \ \eta_{j_1} \times \eta_{j_2} < 0, \ \Delta \eta > 4.0,$	Vh-jet.	1		$d_T < 6 \mathrm{m}$ and $ d_z < 9 \mathrm{m}$	$d_T < 6 \mathrm{m}$ and $ d_z < 9 \mathrm{m}$	
	$\Delta \phi < 2.0,$	$\Delta \phi < 2.0,$				$n_{\rm dSV}^{\rm ch} \ge 5$	$n_{\rm dSV}^{\rm ch} \ge 3$	
	$m_{jj} > 1000 \mathrm{GeV}.$	$m_{jj} > 500 \mathrm{GeV}.$		sai	me dSV (< 1 cm) $+$	$\frac{dSV}{\sum m} > 50 CoV$	$\frac{u v}{\sum n} > 20 C v$	
Single electron	$p_T^e > 36 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$	$p_T^e > 18 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$				$\sum p_{T,dSV} > 50 \text{ GeV}$	$\sum p_{T, dSV} > 20 \text{ GeV}$	
Double electron	$p_T^{e_1} > 25 \text{GeV}, p_T^{e_2} > 12 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$	$p_T^{e_1} > 12 \text{GeV}, p_T^{e_2} > 12 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$	Vh lop			$\Delta \phi_{ m max} > 0.3$	$\Delta \phi_{ m max} > 0.2$	
Single muon	$p_T^{\mu} > 22 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$	$p_T^{\mu} > 11 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$			Event	$n_{\text{cluster}} > 1$, $n_{\text{cluster}} = 2$	$n_{\text{cluster}} > 1$, $n_{\text{cluster}} = 2$	
Double muon	$p_T^{\mu_1} > 15 \text{GeV}, p_T^{\mu_2} > 7 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$	$p_T^{\mu_1} > 7 \text{GeV}, p_T^{\mu_2} > 7 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.4.$]					

P^H : a hard set of cuts **P**^s: a softer set of cuts





We have applied a set of cuts on prompt objects motivated from CMS Level-1 trigger TDR to select events .

Activity in the Muon spectrometer

Observations

 Fraction of decays inside the fiducial volume ~ 10-15% at most Efficiency drops by about half if we apply $\Delta \phi$ cut for $m_{\phi} = 10 \text{ GeV}$





$\Phi \rightarrow b b channel$

CMS MS - $b\bar{b}$, Set: $P^S \times D^S_{jets} >=1$ vtx, 50 events, Combined



Results

- The limit grid is computed by combining ggF, VBF and Vh production process.
- For limit calculation, we assume $Br(\Phi \rightarrow b b) = 1$ (translation to other model possible)
- Sensitivity shifts from 50 cm for $m_{\Phi} = 10$ GeV to 5 m for $m_{\Phi} = 50$ GeV.
- for $m_{\Phi} = 10 \text{ GeV}$: The most sensitive limit on Br(h -> $\Phi \Phi$) = 3.4 10⁻⁵ and ct=50 cm.
- For $m_{\Phi} = 60$ GeV: The most sensitive limit on Br(h -> $\Phi \Phi$) = 1.7 10⁻⁵ and ct=5 m.
- Limit using P^H X D^s_{jet} is weaker than P^S X D^S_{jet} set ~ 5 in some cases







$Φ \rightarrow τ τ$ channel

MS analysis



Results

- The τ lepton can decay hadronically, and leptonically
- Modified/relaxed Φ -> b b analysis is used as well as di-muon analysis
- The most sensitive limit on Br(h -> $\Phi\Phi$) = 2.3 10⁻⁴ for m_{Φ} = 4 GeV and c_T=5 cm in di-muon channel.

Di-muon analysis

Limits from displaced di-muon analysis and jet analysis in the MS is sensitive, although the branching to muon is small



Combination and Future Projections for HL-LHC with Integrated Luminosity =3000 ifb



Results

- We combine all these decay modes taking into account the branching ratios predicted in the minimal model ($m_{\Phi} = 0.5-60$ GeV)
- We can probe Br(h -> $\Phi\Phi$) = 2 x 10⁻⁵ for m_{Φ} = 50 GeV for P^s X D^s_{jet} ≥ 1 vertex.
- combination of various production modes of Higgs boson as well as the decay modes of the mediator contribute non-trivially to the limits





Dedicated LLP detectors : Mathusla and CODEX-b

- complementary to the CMS MS.
- These proposed detectors will be placed a few tens of meters away from the IP of the pp collision.

- We have computed the limits assuming CODEX-b and Mathusla LLP detectors for our minimal model.



Compared with these two references

C. Alpigiani, "Exploring the lifetime and cosmic frontier with the MATHUSLA detector," JINST 15 no. 09, (2020) C09048, arXiv:2006.00788 [physics.ins-det]. V. V. Gligorov, S. Knapen, M. Papucci, and D. J. Robinson, "Searching for Long-lived Particles: A Compact Detector for Exotics at LHCb," Phys. Rev. D 97 no. 1, (2018) 015023, arXiv:1708.09395 [hep-ph].



The dedicated detectors placed far away from the IP might be sensitive to a range of lifetimes which is

Enough shielding of rock or concrete as well as active veto to guarantee very little or almost no backgrounds. • Therefore, observation of even a few events (~ 4) can be claimed as a discovery of displaced decays of particles.



Sensitivities of CODEX-b and MATHUSLA



Results

- The limits are obtained by combining the ggF, VBF and Vh channels for the production of the Higgs boson.
- Assumed 4 signal events (0 background) for discovery
- For the 50 GeV mediator, the most sensitive limit from MATHUSLA is Br(h $\rightarrow \phi \phi$) < 4.6 × 10⁻⁶ at c τ = 100 m
- nearer to the IP



• The most sensitive decay length for CODEX-b detectors are always smaller than that of MATHUSLA, since CODEX-b is



Combination of results of CMS and dedicated LLP detectors



Complementarity of the CMS analyses using the muon spectrometer and the MATHUSLA LLP detector at 14 TeV with an integrated luminosity of 3000 ifb



FCC-hh

- Conceptual Design Report (CDR) published in 2019
- 25 years of run can accumulate 20k-30k ifb of data
- 2 main detectors will be placed (combination of results possible)
- For 125 GeV Higgs boson gain ~150 in the ggF channel and ~ 400 in the di-Higgs, ~ 500 in the ttH

$\sqrt{s} [\text{TeV}]$	Process	Cross section [pb]
	ggF	50.35
14	VBF	4.172
	Vh	2.387 (Wh: 1.504, Zh: 0.8830)
	ggF	740.3
100	VBF	82.00
	Vh	27.16 (Wh:15.90, Zh:11.26)



https://indico.cern.ch/event/789349/contributions/3298692/attachments/1805766/2946875/fcc_hh_detector_cdr_presentation_feb_2019.pdf

International FCC collaboration has been working on the design for PP collider at the CoM energy 100 TeV



90% of 'heavy' physics will take place in n<2.5.

Increase of acceptance for precision spectroscopy and calorimetry from 2.5 at LHC to 3.8-4 for SM physics.



Comparison of distributions : LHC vs FCC-hh









FCC-hh: φ->μ μ



- We use the same cuts as we used for the CMS analysis
- Combined 100 TeV efficiency is larger than that achieved in 14TeV by a factor of ~ 1.4.
- Addition of forward MS with that in the barrel and endcap MS of FCC-hh, improves the limits by around 15-20%.
- The enhancement due to the forward MS is more for lower decay lengths



(Comparison of the efficiencies as a function of decay lengths)



FCC-hh : φ->μ μ

FCC-hh MS - $\mu\mu$, Set: $P^H \times D^S_{\mu} >=1$ vtx, 50 events, Combined





- We have an improvement by a factor of around 200 in the FCC-hh detector compared to the CMS detector.
- Increase in the cross-section and the luminosity alone accounts for a factor of \sim 150.

 An increase in the efficiency by a factor of ~ 1.4 in going from the CMS to the FCC-hh.

FCC-hh : φ ->b b



with the \geq 1 vtx cuts for mediator mass of 10 GeV \Rightarrow mostly due to the $\Delta \phi$ cut.

FCC-hh MS - $b\bar{b}$, Set: $P^S \times D^S_{iets} >=1$ vtx, 50 events, Combined





Slight reduction in efficiency for low mass region, improvement of the 14 TeV CMS results by a factor of~120-140



Role of forward MS at FCC-hh : φ ->b b



when decay is restricted within MS

$$m_{\phi} = 10 \text{ GeV}, P_{ggF}^{S} \times D_{jets}^{S} >=1 \text{ vtx}$$

More stringent cuts at FCC-hh ?



- of \sim 4.5 (34.5) due to the loss in efficiency.
- regaining the improvement in sensitivity.

With the computed efficiency of the $p_T > 100$ GeV (50 GeV) cut, we can improve the results only by a factor

• The future detectors can be expected to have a higher granularity that can help in relaxing the $\Delta \phi$ cut and





Dedicated LLP detector for FCC-hh

<u>Advantage</u>: The collider, as well as the detectors, are not yet constructed, possible to optimise the position as well as the size of the detector to maximise its sensitivity, rather than finding empty spaces near the various IPs to place and fit the LLP detectors for the HL-LHC experiment.

> We here propose three designs of a dedicated LLP detector DELIGHT (Detector for long-lived particles at high energy of 100 TeV), a box-type detector in the periphery of the FCC-hh collider



A position starting at around 25 m in the x-direction around $\eta = 0$ region can be kept empty for placing a dedicated LLP detector. LLP detectors for FCC-ee is proposed here : 2011.01005

DELIGHT (A): The same as the dimensions of the MATHUSLA detector,

i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 25 \times 100 \times 100 \,\mathrm{m^3}$.

DELIGHT (B): Four times bigger than the MATHUSLA detector,

i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ m}^3$.

DELIGHT (C): The same decay volume as the MATHUSLA detector with different dimensions, i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 200 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ m}^3$.





DELIGHT (A): The same as the dimensions of the MATHUSLA detector,

i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 25 \times 100 \times 100 \,\mathrm{m^3}.$

	•	-2		0			
	60				1.9e-07	6.4e-08	1.8e-08
	50				1.1e-07	3.8e-08	1.2e-08
	40				6.8e-08	2.4e-08	1.1e-08
m	30			8.9e-07	3.3e-08	1.4e-08	1.1e-08
ه [Ge	20			3.2e-07	1.8e-08	1.0e-08	1.2e-08
[\scale="block"	10		3.5e-07	6.8e-08	1.0e-08	8.7e-09	1.9e-08
	8.0		2.1e-07	4.8e-08	9.0e-09	9.1e-09	2.2e-08
	6.0		1.1e-07	3.0e-08	8.4e-09	9.7e-09	2.6e-08
	4.0		5.1e-08	1.7e-08	8.9e-09	1.2e-08	3.7e-08
	-						

DELIGHT(A) vs MATHUSLA: an improvement by a factor of ~ 540, around ~ 150 from increased cross-section and integrated luminosity, another factor of ~ 3–4 is gained by moving the detector close to the IP. Central position of the detector can benefit light LLPs.





DELIGHT (B): Four times bigger than the MATHUSLA detector, i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ m}^3$.

	-2	<u>)</u>		0			log ₁₀
60	D				1.6e-07	5.3e-08	1.3e-08
50	C				9.0e-08	3.2e-08	8.1e-09
40	D			1.7e-06	5.4e-08	1.9e-08	6.8e-09
£ 3(D			8.4e-07	2.8e-08	1.1e-08	6.1e-09
ອ <u>ິ</u> 20	0		1.6e-06	2.8e-07	1.4e-08	7.2e-09	6.6e-09
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 10^{n}$	D		3.1e-07	6.1e-08	7.2e-09	5.5e-09	9.4e-09
8.0	D		1.8e-07	4.2e-08	6.1e-09	5.4e-09	1.1e-08
6.0	D		9.7e-08	2.5e-08	5.5e-09	5.5e-09	1.2e-08
4.0	0		4.2e-08	1.4e-08	5.4e-09	6.3e-09	1.8e-08

DELIGHT(B): The best limits come from DELIGHT(B), highest decay volume among the three (about four times bigger than the decay volume of MATHUSLA), and the performance is better by a factor of 2 compared to DELIGHT (A).





DELIGHT (C):

4.0 6.5e-08 2.3e-08 1.1e-08 1.3e-0	8 4.0e-08
6.0 1.6e-07 4.1e-08 1.0e-08 1.1e-0	08 2.7e-08
8.0 2.8e-07 6.5e-08 1.1e-08 1.1e-0	08 2.2e-08
∑ ¹⁰ 5.5e-07 1.0e-07 1.3e-08 1.1e-0	08 2.0e-08
0 20 5.6e-07 2.3e-08 1.3e-0	08 1.4e-08
E 30 1.2e-06 4.5e-08 1.9e-0)8 1.2e-08
40 9.4e-08 3.4e-0	08 1.4e-08
50 1.5e-07 5.3e-0	08 1.6e-08
60 2.4e-07 9.1e-0)8 2.5e-08
-2 0	logı

LLP models (needs more detailed analysis) (A).

The same decay volume as the MATHUSLA detector with different dimensions, i.e. $\Delta x \times \Delta y \times \Delta z = 200 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ m}^3$.



DELIGHT (A) vs **DELIGHT**(C): have the same decay volumes, lower $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\varphi$ coverage, limits slightly weaker (factor of around 0.8 – 0.9), may have better shielding from cosmic rays, tunnel like structure might be useful for other

Some discussions on DELIGHT

- 100 TeV collider will have very high luminosity => a distance of 25 m might not seem enough to provide a background free environment.
- Still in the designing phase => significant shielding may be placed as well as active veto to reject backgrounds. It can be placed deep inside the ground to suppress cosmic ray backgrounds.
- sensitivity.
- Presence of calorimeter can extend sensitivity to LLP decays to photons, and other neutral particles.
- The detector can be integrated with one of the detectors => coordinated triggers can be developed

• Current RPC technology should provide adequate sensitivity => future technology can further improve the

- Higgs boson at the LHC and future 100 TeV FCC-hh collider.
- of the mediator particle. The Limits can be easily translated for different branching ratios.
- We study the sensitivity of the muon spectrometer of the proposed 100TeV hadronic collider experiment, FCC-hh.
- We propose detector designs for dedicated LLP searches in the periphery of the 100 TeV FCC-hh collider, named DELIGHT.
- results, motivating further studies in this direction for other LLP models.

• We have studied the production of the Long-lived scalar mediator from the on-shell decay of the SM

• Combined the dominant production modes of the Higgs as well as consider a variety of decay modes

• We believe that our detailed analysis and findings will be useful for the a range of LLP models. Our analyses for the future 100 TeV collider can be a starting point for further detailed studies exploring their prospects for LLPs. The proposal put forth by us in this work for the DELIGHT detector near the FCC-hh collider shows promising

Thank You



Effects of cuts : Extra Slides





- cluster of particles from the mediator particle decay more collimated.

The $\Delta\phi$ cut selects only events with a much lesser displacement of the dSV for the 10 GeV *mediator particle than the 50 GeV one.*

The 10 GeV mediator particle has more boost and that combined with longer displacements, make the

• The 50 GeV mediator particle having less boost can satisfy the $\Delta \phi$ cut even for longer displacements.

