



Overview of worldwide efforts in the search for charged lepton flavour violation with muons



Content

- Introduction: charged lepton flavour violation with muons. The physics cases
- The Most Intense DC and Pulsed Muon beams in the World: Present and future prospects
- Overview of current experimental activities based on DC and Pulsed Low Energy muon beams

• The Standard Model of particle physics: A great triumph of the modern physics but not the ultimate theory



Low energy precision physics: Rare/forbidden decay searches, symmetry tests, precision measurements very sensitive tool for unveiling new physics and probing very high energy scale

•

• The Standard Model of particle physics: A great triumph of the modern physics but not the ultimate theory



 Low energy precision physics: Rare/forbidden decay searches, symmetry tests, precision measurements very sensitive tool for unveiling new physics and probing very high energy scale

- Two main strategies to unveil new physics
 - Indirect searches
 - Precision tests

- Two main strategies to unveil new physics
 - Indirect searches
 - Precision tests

Charged lepton flavour violation

Neutrino oscillations: Evidence of physics Behind Standard Model (BSM)
 Neutral lepton flavour violation



$\Delta N_i eq 0$ with i = 1,2,3

Charged lepton flavour violation: NOT yet observed

Charged lepton flavour violation search: Motivation



Current upper limits on \mathcal{B}_i

					Γ_i
					$\mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{tot}}$
0 10 ⁻⁵⁰	10 -40	10 -30	10-20	10-13 10-10	10 ⁰
<u>SM</u>			Ne	<u>w Physics</u>	

Muon golden channels



Current upper limits on \mathcal{B}_i

					Γ_i
					$\mathcal{B}_i = rac{\Gamma_{tot}}{\Gamma_{tot}}$
• 0 10 ⁻⁵⁰	1 0-40	10-30	10-20	10-13 10-10	100
<u>SM</u>			Ne	w Physics	

Complementary to "Energy Frontier"



cLFV searches with muons: Status and prospects

In the near future impressive sensitivities:

	Current upper limit	Future sensitivity
$\mu ightarrow e\gamma$	4.2 x 10 ⁻¹³	~ 6 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
$\mu \rightarrow eee$	1.0 x 10 ⁻¹²	~1.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
$\mu N \to e N'$	7.0 x 10 ⁻¹³	few x 10 ⁻¹⁷

· Strong complementarities among channels: The only way to reveal the mechanism responsible for cLFV





11

Beam features vs experiment requirements

- Dedicated beam lines for high precision and high sensitive SM test/BSM probe at the world's highest beam intensities
 - $DC {or Pulsed}?$ $\frac{10^{8} 10^{10} \mu/s}{10^{11} \mu/s}$ DC beam for coincidence experiments
 μ→eγ, μ→e e e
 μ-e conversion
 μ-e conversion



Beam features vs experiment requirements

- Dedicated beam lines for high precision and high sensitive SM test/BSM probe at the world's highest beam intensities
- eam ~ 10⁸ 10¹⁰ µ/s • DC beam for coincidence experiments
 - $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow e e e$

- DC or Pulsed?
 - ISEC ?
 Pulse beam for noncoincidence experiments
 - μ-e conversion



The world's most intense continuous muon beam

- τ ideal probe for NP
 w. r. t. μ
 - Smaller GIM suppression
 - Stronger coupling
 - Many decays
- µ most sensitive probe
 - Huge statistics

- PSI delivers the most intense continuous low momentum muon beam in the world (**Intensity Frontiers**)
- MEG/MEG II/Mu3e beam requirements:
 - Intensity O(10⁸ muon/s), low momentum p = 29 MeV/c
 - Small straggling and good identification of the decay



590 MeV proton ring cyclotron **1.4 MW**

PSI landscape



The world's most intense continuous muon beam

• PSI High Intensity Proton Accelerator experimental areas



The MEGII and Mu3e beam lines

- MEGII and Mu3e (phase I) similar beam requirements:
 - Intensity O(10⁸ muon/s), low momentum p = 28 MeV/c
 - · Small straggling and good identification of the decay region
- MEG II beam settings released since 2019. More then 10^8 mu/s can be transport into Cobra (up to 1.6e8@2.2 mA during the 2022 beam time)
- A dedicated compact muon beam line (CMBL) sharing a large fraction of the native piE5&MEG elements will serve Mu3e
 - Proof-of-Principle: Delivered 8 x 10⁷ muon/s during 2016 test beam (up to 1e8@2.4 mA during the 2022 beam time with the full assembled Mu3e beam line)



A. Baldini et al. (MEG Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2365

A. Baldini et al. (MEG Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no. 8, 434

- The MEG experiment aims to search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ with a sensitivity of ~10⁻¹³ (previous upper limit BR($\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$) $\leq 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ @90 C.L. by MEGA experiment)
- Five observables (E_g, E_e, t_{eg}, ϑ_{eg} , φ_{eg}) to characterize $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ events

Signature



Signature

• Five observables (E_y, E_e, Δt_{ey} , θ_{ey} , φ_{ey}) to identify a $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ event and reject background events



Vertex decay reconstruction

A. Baldini et al. (MEG Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2365

A. Baldini et al. (MEG Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) no. 8, 434

- The MEG experiment aims to search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ with a sensitivity of ~10⁻¹³ (previous upper limit BR($\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$) $\leq 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ @90 C.L. by MEGA experiment)
- Five observables (E_g, E_e, t_{eg}, ϑ_{eg} , φ_{eg}) to characterize $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ events



MEG: The key elements

Differential Branching Ratio



MEG: The spectrometer



- Low momentum positrons swept away without hitting the chambers
- Projected radius independent of the emission angle
- Very low material budget (~ 2 10⁻³ X₀)
- High momentum resolution ($\sigma_p \sim 315 \text{ keV/}$ c), angular resolutions ($\sigma_{\phi} \sim 7.5 \text{ mrad}$, $\sigma_{\theta} \sim 10.6 \text{ mrad}$) and timing resolution ($\sigma_t \sim 100 \text{ ps}$) never reached up to now with a single detector at 52.8 MeV!



a) Constant projected bending radius for positrons with equal momentum.



b) Quick sweep-out of particles with $\cos \theta_{e^+} \approx 0$.

MEG: The LXe calorimeter

- High detection efficiency (High Z/ Low X₀)
- High energy, timing and position resolutions (High LY, Fast time constants, High density, High photosensor coverage)
- Purity < 1 ppm and stable conditions over the time
- Particle ID
- Energy ($\sigma_E / E < 2.5\%$) and timing resolutions($\sigma_t < 70$ ps) never reached up to now with a single detector at 52.8 MeV!





MEG: The Data Acquisition (DAQ)

- Flexible and efficient trigger system, to select the candidate events, using fast detectors only
 - FADC digitization at 100 MHz
 - online selection algorithms implemented into FPGAs
- Domino Ring Sampler (DRS) chip for excellent pile-up rejection and timing resolutions with a full waveform digitization (> 100 MHz)
 - all 1000 PMTs signals (LXe and TC) digitize at 1.6 GSample/s
 - all 3000 DC channels (anodes and cathodes) digitize at 800 MSample/s



MEG: The calibration methods

• Multiple calibration and monitoring methods: detector resolution and stability are the key points in the search for rare events over the background

Pro	Cess	Energy (MeV)	Frequency	
CEX reaction	$p(\pi^-, \pi^0)n, \pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$	55, 83	annually	
	$^{7}{ m Li}(p,\gamma_{17.6})^{8}{ m Be}$	17.6	weekly	Before calibration
C-W accelerator	$^{11}B(p,\gamma_{11.6})^{12}C$	4.4&11.6	weekly	"Noise" "Signal"
Neutron Generator	$^{58}\mathrm{Ni}(n,\gamma_9)^{59}\mathrm{Ni}$	9	daily	
Mott Positrons	$p(e^+, e^+)p$	53	annually	
If the second of the second				

MEG: The calibration methods

• Multiple calibration and monitoring methods: detector resolution and stability are the key points in the search for rare events over the background

Process		Energy (MeV)	Frequency
CEX reaction	$p(\pi^-,\pi^0)n,\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$	55, 83	annually
C \M appalarator	$^{7}\mathrm{Li}(p,\gamma_{17.6})^{8}\mathrm{Be}$	17.6	weekly
C-W accelerator	$^{11}B(p,\gamma_{11.6})^{12}C$	4.4&11.6	weekly
Neutron Generator	$^{58}\mathrm{Ni}(n,\gamma_9)^{59}\mathrm{Ni}$	9	daily
Mott Positrons	$p(e^+, e^+)p$	53	annually







How the sensitivity can be pushed down?

• More sensitive to the signal...



• More effective on rejecting the background...



A.M. Baldini et al. (MEGII collab.) Eur. Phys. J. 78 (2018) 380

The MEGII experiment



The MEG experiment vs the MEGII experiment



The MEG experiment vs the MEGII experiment



Where we will be



MEGII: The upgraded LXe calorimeter

- Increased uniformity/resolutions
- Increased pile-up rejection capability
- Increased acceptance and detection
 efficiency
- Detector performance in final conditions: analysis ongoing



	MEG	MEGII
u [mm]	5	2.4
v [mm]	5	2.2
w [mm]	6	3.1
E [w<2cm]	2.4%	1.1%
E [w>2cm]	1.7%	1.0%
t [ps]	67	60





MEGII: The upgraded LXe calorimeter

Detector commissioning:



MEGII: The upgraded LXe calorimeter

Data from the first Physics Run2021



MEGII: The new single volume chamber

- Improved hit resolution: $\sigma_r \sim < 120$ um (210 um)
- High granularity/Increased number of hits per track/ cluster timing technique
- Less material (helium: isobutane = 90:10, $1.6 \times 10^{-3} X_0$)
- High transparency towards the TC
- Detector performance in final conditions: analysis ongoing

	MEG	MEGII
p [keV]	306	90
heta [mrad]	9.4	6.3
ϕ [mrad]	8.7	5.0
€ [%]*	40	70

(*) It includes also the matching with the Timing Counter





drift tube

MEGII: The new single volume chamber

- Improved hit resolution: $\sigma_r \sim < 120 \text{ um}$ (210 um)
- High granularity/Increased number of hits per track/cluster timing technique
- Less material (helium: isobutane = 90:10, $1.6 \times 10^{-3} X_0$)
- High transparency towards the TC
- Detector performance in final conditions: analysis ongoing

	MEG	MEGII
p [keV]	306	90
heta [mrad]	9.4	6.3
ϕ [mrad]	8.7	5.0
ϵ [%]*	40	70

(*) It includes also the matching with the Timing Counter



Michel Edge

Data from the **first** Physics Run2021



MEGII: the pixelized Timing Counter

- Higher granularity: 2 x 256 of BC422 scintillator plates (120 x 40 (or 50) x 5 mm³) readout by AdvanSiD SiPM ASD-NUM3S-P-50-High-Gain
- Improved timing resolution: from 70 ps to 35 ps (multi-hits)
- Less multiple scattering and pile-up
- Assembly: Completed
- Expected detector performances confirmed with data during pre-eng. 2016 and 2017






MEGII: The Radiative Decay Counter

 Added a new auxiliary detector for background rejection purpose. Impact into the experiment: Improved sensitivity by 20%



MEGII: new calibration methods and upgrades

- CEX reaction: $p(\pi^-, \pi^0)n, \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- 1MV Cockcroft-Walton accelerator
- Pulsed D-D Neutron generator
- NEW: Mott scattered positron beam to fully exploit the new spectrometer
- NEW: SciFi beam monitoring. Not invasive, ID particle identification, vacuum compatible, working in magnetic field, online beam monitor (beam rate and profile)
- NEW: Luminophore (CsI(TI) on Lavsan/Mylar equivalent) to measure the beam properties at the Cobra center



MEGII: The new electronic - DAQ and Trigger

- DAQ and Trigger
 - ~9000 channels (5 GSPS)
 - Bias voltage, preamplifiers and shaping included for SiPMs
- Run 2021: Electronics fully installed and tested with all sub-detectors and calibration tools
- Run 2021: All calibration and physics trigger configurations released



Mu3e: The $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$ search

- The Mu3e experiment aims to search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ with a sensitivity of ~10⁻¹⁵ (Phase I) up to down ~10⁻¹⁶ (Phase II). Previous upper limit BR($\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^-$) $\leq 1 \times 10^{-12}$ @90 C.L. by SINDRUM experiment)
- Observables (E_e, t_e, vertex) to characterize $\mu \rightarrow$ eee events



The Mu3e experiment: Schematic 3D



The Mu3e experiment: R&D completed. Prototyping phase



The pixel tracker: The principle

- Central tracker: Four layers; Re-curl tracker: Two layers
- Minimum material budget: Tracking in the scattering dominated regime



The pixel tracker: The performances

- Momentum resolution: < 0.5 MeV/c over a large phase space
- Geometrical acceptance: ~ 70%
- X/X₀ per layer: ~ 0.011%



The pixel tracker: Overview

- Central tracker: Four layers; Re-curl tracker: Two layers
- Minimum material budget: Tracking in the scattering dominated regime
- Momentum resolution: < 0.5 MeV/c over a large phase space; Geometrical acceptance: ~ 70%; X/X₀ per layer: ~ 0.011%



The pixel tracker: The MuPix detector

- Based on HV- MAP: Pixel dimension: 80 x 80 μm^2 , Thickness: 50 μm , Time resolution: < 20 ns, Active area chip: 20 x 20 mm², Efficiency: > 99 %, Power consumption : < 350 mW/cm²
- MuPix 7: The first small-scale prototype which includes all Mu3e functionalities
- MuPix 8, the first large area prototype: from O(10) mm² to 160 mm²: Ready and extensively tested!
- MuPix 9, small test chip for: Slow Control, voltage regulators and other test circuits.
 2019 year test beam campaign
- MuPix 10, towards the final version: 380 mm²

Ivan Peric, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A582 (2007) 876-885



MuPix8

Mupix 7 telescope





Prototype	Active Area [mm ²]	
MuPix1	1.77	
MuPix2	1.77	
MuPix3	9.42	
MuPix4	9.42	
MuPix6	10.55	
MuPix7	10.55	

The timing detectors: Fibers and tiles

- Precise timing measurement: Critical to reduce the accidental BGs
 - Scintillating fibers (SciFi) O(1 ns), full detection efficiency (>99%)
 - Scintillating tiles O(100 ps), full detection efficiency (>99%)



The timing detectors: Fibers and tiles

- Precise timing measurement: Critical to reduce the accidental BGs
 - Scintillating fibers (SciFi) O(1 ns), full detection efficiency (>99%)
 - Scintillating tiles O(100 ps), full detection efficiency (>99%)



SciFi prototypes: Results

- Studied a variety of fibres (SCSF 78 MJ, clear; SCSF 78 MJ, with 20% TiO2; NOL 11, clear; NOL 11, with 20% TiO2; SCSF 81 MJ, with 20% TiO2; BCF12 clear; BCF12, with 100 nm Al deposit)
- Confirmed full detection efficiency (> 96 % @ 0.5 thr in Nphe) and timing performances for multi-layer configurations (square and round fibres) with several prototypes: individual and array readout with standalone and prototyping (STiC) DAQ



49

Tile Prototype: Results

- Mu3e requirements fulfilled: Full detection efficiency (> 99 %) and timing resolution O (60) ps
- 4 x 4 channel BC408
- 7.5 x 8.5 x 5.0 mm³
- Hamamatsu S10362-33-050C (3 x 3 mm²)
- readout with STiC2



Mu3e Phase I sensitivity



Experimental sensitivity as a function of beam rate for few experimental approaches



DC muon beams. Future prospects: HiMB

- Aim: O(10¹⁰ muon/s); Surface (positive) muon beam (p = 28 MeV/c); DC beam
- Time schedule: O(2027)
- Key elements: Slanted Target and optimised beam line (higher capture efficiency and large space acceptance transport channel)



Slanted target: First test on 2019 and since then in operation

- Expect ~30-60 % enhancement
- Measurements successfully done in different experimental areas in fall 2019
- Increased muon yield CONFIRMED!
- To be seen: impact of higher thermal stress on long term stability of target wheel



Beam features vs experiment requirements

 Dedicated beam lines for high precision and high sensitive SM test/BSM probe at the world's highest beam intensities



Signal of mu-e conversion is single mono-energetic electron

$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\mu^{-} + A(Z,N) \rightarrow e^{-} + A(Z,N)}{\mu^{-} + A(Z,N) \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + A(Z-1,N)}$$

Background: Any event at the endpoint energy can mimic the signal



Signal of mu-e conversion is single mono-energetic electron

$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\mu^{-} + A(Z,N) \rightarrow e^{-} + A(Z,N)}{\mu^{-} + A(Z,N) \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + A(Z-1,N)}$$

Background: Any event at the endpoint energy can mimic the signal



- Signal of mu-e conversion is single mono-energetic electron
- Stop a lot of muons! O(10¹⁸)

The two giants campus delivering astonishing intese pulsed muon beams

Fermilab



- Booster provides 8 GeV protons to the Recycler
- Recycler stacks protons into 4 bunches
- Delivery Ring takes 1 out of every 4 bunches from the Recycler
- Mu2e slow extracts protons every 1695 ns



Bunched 8 GeV protons extracted from the Main Ring and delivered to the pion target production inside a capture solenoid

Muons are charge and momentum selected using curved superconducting solenoids

JPARC



South to North Synchrotree Fino Beams amioka South to North Synchrotree Materials and Life Experimental Facility Synchrotron Hadron Exp. Facility Bird's eye photo in January of 2008

J-PARC Facility

(KEK/JAEA)

59

More and selected pulsed muons in three steps

• 1. Pion production in magnetic field

 Pion/muon collection using gradient magnetic filed

Matching Solenoid λX Proton Beam Production Target High momentum \sim Low momentum Pion Capture Solenoid Radiation Shield P_T $\rightarrow P_L$ 7 B(low) B(high) Vertical Field

 3. Beam transport with curved solenoid magnets



More and selected pulsed muons in three steps

Matching Solenoid

 $\rightarrow P_L$

B(high)

Production Target

Radiation Shield

B(low)

 \mathcal{M}

High momentum p

Low momentum

7



 2. Pion/muon collection using gradient magnetic filed

collection magnetic

Proton Beam

Pion Capture Solenoid

 3. Beam transport with curved solenoid magnets







- Signal of mu-e conversion is single mono-energetic electron
- Stop a lot of muons! O(10¹⁸)
- Backgrounds:
 - Beam related, Muon Decay in orbit, Cosmic rays
- Use timing to reject beam backgrounds (extinction factor 10⁻¹⁰)
 - Pulsed proton beam 1.7 µs between pulses
 - Pions decay with 26 ns lifetime
 - Muons capture on Aluminum target with 864 ns lifetime
- Good energy resolution and Particle ID to defeat muon decay in orbit
- Veto Counters to tag Cosmic Rays



62

The Mu2e experiment

- Three superconducting solenoids: Production, Transport and Detector solenoids
- Muons stop in thin aluminum foils
- High precision straw tracker for momentum measurement
- Electromagnetic calorimeter for PID
- Scintillators for the Veto



The Mu2e experiment

• Proton absorber:

made of high-density polyethylene
designed in order to reduce proton flux on the tracker and minimize energy loss

• Tracker:

◆ ~20k straw tubes arranged in planes on stations, the tracker has 18 stations
◆ Expected momentum resolution < 200 keV/c



• Targets:

♦ 34 Al foils; Aluminum was selected mainly for the muon lifetime in capture events (864 ns) that matches nicely the need of prompt separation in the Mu2e beam structure.

• Muon beam stop:

made of several cylinders of different materials: stainless steel and polyethylene



The COMET experiment

Stage phase approach: Phase I and Phase II



The COMET experiment: Status

• Stage phase approach: ultimate sensitivity with phase II [Data taking in: 2021/2022]



D. Taqqu, PRL 97 (2006) 194801 Y. Bao et al., PRL 112 (2014) 224801

The muCool project at PSI

- Aim: High-brightness low energy muon beam
- Phase space reduction based on: dissipative energy loss in matter (He gas) and position dependent drift of muon swarm
- Increase in brightness by a factor 10¹⁰ with an efficiency of 10⁻³



D. Taqqu, PRL 97 (2006) 194801Y. Bao et al., PRL 112 (2014) 224801I. Belosevic et al., EPJ C 79 (2019) 430

The muCool project at PSI

- Aim: low energy high-brightness muon beam
- Phase space reduction based on: dissipative energy loss in matter (He gas) and position dependent drift of muon swarm
- Increase in brightness by a factor 10¹⁰ with an efficiency of 10⁻³
- Longitudinal and transverse compression (1st stage + 2nd stage): experimentally proved
- Next Step: Extraction into vacuum



muEDM at PSI

- FNAL/JPARC g-2 experiments aims at $d_{\mu} \sim O(10^{-21}) ecm$
- Dedicated muEDM search at PSI in stages. Precursors: $d_{\mu} < 3 \times 10^{-21}$ ecm. Final: $d_{\mu} < 6 \times 10^{-23}$ ecm



Electric dipole moments (EDMs) of fundamental particles are intimately connected to the violation of time invariance and the combined symmetry of charge and parity

Impressive limits on the electron EDM deduced from measurements using atoms or molecules, e.g., thorium oxide molecules $d_e e < 1.1 \times 10^{-29-2}$ ecm (CL 90%) lead to $d_\mu e$ <2.3×10^{-27–}– ecm (CL 90%), which is many orders of magnitude better than the direct limit d_μ

 m_{μ}/m_{e} naive rescaling assumes minimal flavor violation (MFV), that is a model dependent assumption

The **muon plays an exceedingly prominent role in unveiling path towards BSM**. All substantial evidence found in laboratory experiments for a departure from SM physics involves the muon

- g-2 experiment at FNAL ($a = (g-2)/2 \longrightarrow 4.2\sigma$)
- LFU in B-meson decays (3.1 σ , more than 5 σ evidence when combining all LFU observable in B-meson decays)

deficit in the 1st-row unitarity of the CKM matrix may be interpreted as LFU violation (about 4σ)

DC and Pulsed muon beams - present and future



DC and Pulsed muon beams - present and future

Laboratory	Beam Line	DC rate (μ/sec)	Pulsed rate (μ /sec)
PSI (CH) (590 MeV, 1.3 MW)	$\mu E4, \pi E5$ HiMB at EH	$2 \div 4 \times 10^8 \ (\mu^+) \\ \mathcal{O}(10^{10}) \ (\mu^+) \ (>2018)$	
J-PARC (Japan) (3 GeV, 210 kW) (8 GeV, 56 kW)	MUSE D-Line MUSE U-Line COMET		$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \times 10^7 (\mu^+) \\ 6.4 \times 10^7 (\mu^+) \\ 1 \times 10^{11} (\mu^-) (2020) \end{array} $
FNAL (USA) (8 GeV, 25 kW)	Mu2e		$5 \times 10^{10} (\mu^{-}) (2020)$
TRIUMF (Canada) (500 MeV, 75 kW)	M13, M15, M20	$1.8 \div 2 \times 10^6 (\mu^+)$	
RAL-ISIS (UK) (800 MeV, 160 kW)	EC/RIKEN-RAL		$7 imes 10^4(\mu^-)\ 6 imes 10^5(\mu^+)$
KEK (Tsukuba, Japan) (500 MeV, 25 kW)	Dai Omega		$4 \times 10^5 (\mu^+)(2020)$
RCNP (Osaka, Japan) (400 MeV, 400 W)	MuSIC	$10^{4}(\mu^{-}) \div 10^{5}(\mu^{+}) 10^{7}(\mu^{-}) \div 10^{8}(\mu^{+})(>2018)$	
JINR (Dubna, Russia) (660 MeV, 1.6 kW)	Phasotron	$10^{5}(\mu^{+})$	
RISP (Korea) (600 MeV, 0.6 MW)	RAON	$2 \times 10^8 (\mu^+) (> 2020)$	
CSNS (China) (1.6 6eV, 4 kW)	HEPEA	$1 \times 10^8 (\mu^+) (> 2020)$	
Outlooks

- Astonishing sensitivities in muon cLFV channels are foreseen for the incoming future
- cLFV remains one of the most exciting place where to search for new physics
- Submitted inputs to the European Strategy Committee



Searches for Charged-Lepton Flavor Violation in Experiments using Intense Muon Beams

Thanks for your attention!

cLFV search landscape



Back-up

EDM: From the "frequency" approach to the frozen-spin technique

$$\vec{\omega} = \frac{q}{m} \left[a\vec{B} - \left(a + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma^2}\right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right] + \frac{q}{m} \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} + \frac{\vec{E}}{c} \right)$$

- Wa
- The frozen-spin technique uses an Electric field perpendicular to the moving particle and magnetic field, fulfilling the condition:

$$a\vec{B} = \left(a - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right)\frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}_f}{c}$$

- Without EDM, $\omega = 0$, the spin follows the momentum vector as for an ideal Dirac spin-1/2 particle, while with an EDM it will result in a precession of the spin with $\omega_e \parallel E$
- The sensitivity to a muon EDM is given by the asymmetry up/down of the positron from the muon decay



 ω_{e}

EDM: From the "frequency" approach to the frozen-spin technique

• Putting everything together, here a summary:



muEDM final at PSI: Frozen spin and longitudinal injection



cLFV best upper limits

Process	Upper limit	Reference	Comment
μ+ -> e+ γ	4.2 x 10 ⁻¹³	arXiV:1605.05081	MEG
µ+ -> e+ e+ e-	1.0 x 10 ⁻¹²	Nucl. Phy. B299 (1988) 1	SINDRUM
µ⁻ N -> e⁻ N	7.0 x 10 ⁻¹³	Eur. Phy. J. c 47 (2006) 337	SINDRUM II
τ -> e γ	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁸	PRL 104 (2010) 021802	Babar
τ -> μ γ	4.4 x 10 ⁻⁸	PRL 104 (2010) 021802	Babar
T⁻ -> e⁻ e+ e⁻	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁸	Phy. Let. B 687 (2010) 139	Belle
τ> μ- μ+ μ-	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁸	Phy. Let. B 687 (2010) 139	Belle
τ> μ+ e- e-	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁸	Phy. Let. B 687 (2010) 139	Belle
Z -> µ e	7.5 x 10 ⁻⁷	Phy. Rev. D 90 (2014) 072010	Atlas
Z -> µ e	7.3 x 10 ⁻⁷	CMS PAS EXO-13-005	CMS
Η -> τ μ	1.85 x 10 ⁻²	JHEP 11 (2015) 211	Atlas (*)
Η -> τ μ	1.51 x 10 ⁻²	Phy. Let. B 749 (2015) 337	CMS
K _L -> μ e	4.7 x 10 ⁻¹²	PRL 81 (1998) 5734	BNL

Charged lepton flavour violation



5 bosons (+1 opposite charged W

Charged lepton flavour violation

Neutrino oscillations: Evidence of physics Behind Standard Model (BSM)
 Neutral lepton flavour violation



 $\Delta N_i
eq 0$ with i = 1,2,3

Muon golden channels





					Γ_i
					$\mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{tot}}$
0 10 ⁻⁵⁰	10 -40	10 -30	10-20	10-13 10-10	10 ⁰
<u>SM</u>		New Physics			

Muon golden channels



Current upper limits on \mathcal{B}_i

					Γ_i
:					$\square \mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{tot}}$
• 10 ⁻⁵⁰	10 -40	10 -30	10-20	10-13 10-10	10 ⁰
<u>SM</u>			Ne	w Physics	

Muon golden channels



					Γ_i
					$\mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{tot}}$
0 10 ⁻⁵⁰	10-40	10-30	10-20	10-13 10-10	10 ⁰
<u>SM</u>		New Physics			

cLFV searches with muons: Status and prospects

In the near future impressive sensitivities:

	Current upper limit	Future sensitivity
$\mu ightarrow e \gamma$	4.2 x 10 ⁻¹³	~ 6 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
$\mu \rightarrow eee$	1.0 x 10 ⁻¹²	~1.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
$\mu N \to e N'$	7.0 x 10 ⁻¹³	few x 10 ⁻¹⁷

• Strong complementarities among channels: The only way to reveal the mechanism responsible for cLFV



cLFV: "Effective" lagrangian with the k-parameter



cLFV searches with muons: Status and prospects

In the near future impressive sensi	tivities: Set at PSI	
	Current upper limit	Future sensitivity
$\mu ightarrow e\gamma$	4.2 x 10 ⁻¹³	~ 4 x 10 ⁻¹⁴
$\mu \rightarrow eee$	1.0 x 10 ⁻¹²	~1.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
$\mu N \to e N'$	7.0 x 10 ⁻¹³	few x 10 ⁻¹⁷

· Strong complementarities among channels: The only way to reveal the mechanism responsible for cLFV

