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## Mergers of binary black holes produce detectable gravitational waves


M. Favata/SXS/K. Thorne

Detailed prediction of waveform needed for precision studies and tests of new physics. Techniques from amplitudes can help in the inspiral phase.

## Classical gravitational observables from amplitudes

- Classical observables are analytically continued from bound orbits to hyperbolic scattering orbits. [KKalin, Porto]
- Scatter two wavepackets with impact parameter $b^{\mu}$ and measure the change in an observable $\mathcal{O}$. [Kosower, Maybee, o'Connell]

$$
\Delta \mathcal{O}=\langle\text { out }| \mathcal{O} \mid \text { out }\rangle-\langle\text { in }| \mathcal{O} \mid \text { in }\rangle
$$

- S-matrix relations: $\mid$ out $\rangle=S \mid$ in $\rangle, S=1+i T$.


## Gravitational radiation

- Massless particles aren't localized
- Classical wave in a pure state ~ coherent state
- Coherence characterizes statistics of
 emitted particles: Poisson distribution
- For the two-body problem, we consider particle statistics of emitted gravitons and examine deviations from Poisson distribution.
- Aim: identify amplitudes that do/don't contribute to the classical limit.
- Related work motivates the close study of 2-graviton emission at tree level. [Luna, Nicholson, O'Connell, White; Cristofoli, Gonzo, Moynihan, O'Connell, Ross, Sergola, White]
- Amplitudes computed from a Lagrangian with an auxiliary field
- On-shell recursion gives compact expressions, better suited for analysis of the classical limit.


## Classical observables: the KMOC formalism

## [Kosower, Maybee, O'Connell]

$$
\left|\psi_{\mathrm{in}}\right\rangle=\int d \Phi\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) e^{i b \cdot p_{1} / \hbar} \psi_{A}\left(p_{1}\right) \psi_{B}\left(p_{2}\right)\left|p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle
$$

where $d \Phi(p)=d^{4} p \delta\left(p^{2}-m^{2}\right) \theta\left(p^{0}\right)$,
and $\psi(p) \sim m^{-1} \exp \left[-\frac{p \cdot v}{\hbar \ell_{c} / \ell_{w}^{2}}\right]$.
Separation of scales for classical scattering: $\ell_{c} \ll \ell_{w} \ll b$.
Massive particles localized on classical trajectories as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.

## Coherent states for gravitons

[Cristofoli, Gonzo, Kosower, O'Connell]

- Massless particles (e.g. gravitons) cannot be localized; single gravitons are not classical.
- Every quantum state of radiation (or density matrix) is a superposition of coherent states. [Glauber]
- But our in state $\left|\psi_{\text {in }}\right\rangle$ is pure, and the $S$ matrix is unitary, so the out state is a pure state. Thus it must be a single coherent state in the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.
[Hillery]
- Coherent state for a graviton of momentum $k$ and helicity $\sigma$ :

$$
\left|\alpha_{k}^{\sigma}\right\rangle=\exp \left[\alpha_{k} a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(k)-\alpha_{k}^{*} a_{\sigma}(k)\right]|0\rangle \quad \text { [Glauber-Suradashan] }
$$

- Promote to infinite superposition of momenta:

$$
\left|\alpha^{\sigma}\right\rangle=\exp \left[\int d \Phi(k)\left(\alpha(k) a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(k)-\alpha^{*}(k) a_{\sigma}(k)\right)\right]|0\rangle
$$

## Coherence and the Poisson distribution

Coherent state expanded in graviton-number states:

$$
\left|\alpha^{\sigma}\right\rangle=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int d \Phi(k)\left|\alpha^{\sigma}(k)\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[d \Phi\left(k_{i}\right) \alpha^{\sigma}\left(k_{i}\right)\right]\left|k_{1}^{\sigma} \ldots k_{n}^{\sigma}\right\rangle
$$

Probability of detecting $n$ gravitons with helicity $\sigma^{\prime}$ :
$P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}}=\delta_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}} \exp \left(-\int d \Phi(k)\left|\alpha^{\sigma}(k)\right|^{2}\right) \frac{1}{n!}\left(\int d \Phi(k)\left|\alpha^{\sigma}(k)\right|^{2}\right)^{n}$
Poisson statistics are equivalent to coherence of the state.

## Counting emitted gravitons

[cf. Gelis, Venugopalan in QCD]
Probability of emitting $n$ gravitons:
$\left.\bar{P}_{n}=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}= \pm} \int d \Phi\left(p_{3}\right) d \Phi\left(p_{4}\right) \int d \Phi(k)\left|\left\langle k_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} \ldots k_{n}^{\sigma_{n}} p_{3} p_{4}\right| S\right| p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}$
with an implicit IR cutoff.
Unitarity: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_{n}=1$.

## Graviton number operator

$$
\hat{N}=\sum_{\sigma= \pm} \int d \Phi(k) a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(k) a_{\sigma}(k) . \quad \text { Mean: } \mu_{\text {out }}=\left\langle\psi_{\text {out }}\right| \hat{N}\left|\psi_{\text {out }}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_{n} .
$$



## Graviton particle statistics

. Mean: $\mu_{\text {out }}=\left\langle\psi_{\text {out }}\right| \hat{N}\left|\psi_{\text {out }}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_{n}$

- Variance:

$$
\Sigma_{\text {out }}=\left\langle\psi_{\text {out }}\right|(\hat{N})^{2}\left|\psi_{\text {out }}\right\rangle-\left(\left\langle\psi_{\text {out }}\right| \hat{N}\left|\psi_{\text {out }}\right\rangle\right)^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2} P_{n}-\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

- In a Poisson distribution, Mean=Variance. Hence we define $\Delta_{\text {out }}=\Sigma_{\text {out }}-\mu_{\text {out }}$ and check whether this deviation vanishes. Also check higher moments.


## Graviton particle statistics

Expand in powers of the gravitational coupling $G$.

$$
P_{n}=\sum_{L_{1}, L_{2}} G^{2+n+L_{1}+L_{2}} P_{n}^{\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\text { Leading order: }\left.\Delta_{\mathrm{out}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}\left(G^{4}\right)}=2 G^{4} P_{2}^{\left(6_{0}^{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{O}\right)} \text {. }
$$

Product of 6-point tree amplitudes. Do these amplitudes survive in the classical limit?

For the classical limit, we will examine $\hbar$ scaling and check whether $\hbar \Delta_{\text {out }} \rightarrow 0$.

## Computing amplitudes

- The Einstein-Hilbert action suffers from a proliferation of vertices with gauge dependence.
- With an auxiliary field and explicit gauge fixing, a compact form of the tree-level Lagrangian is obtained with only cubic interactions.
[Cheung, Remmen]
- We add minimally coupled scalars for the massive particles.
$S_{\mathrm{GR}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int d^{4} x\left[-\left(A_{b c}^{a} A_{a d}^{b}-\frac{1}{3} A_{a c}^{a} A_{b d}^{b}\right) \sigma^{c d}+A_{b c}^{a} \partial_{a} \sigma^{b c}\right]$
where $\sigma^{a b}=\sqrt{-g} g^{a b}$;
$S_{\text {matter }}=-\sum_{\substack{j=A, B \\ \text { two scalacs }}} d^{4} x\left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{a b} \partial_{a} \phi_{j} \partial_{b} \phi_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)} m_{j}^{2} \phi_{j}^{2}\right]$
$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{GF}}=-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{c d} \partial_{a}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{a c}\right) \partial_{b}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{b d}\right)$
With the massive scalars, interaction vertices do proliferate beyond cubic ones, but are under control at lower orders.

Interactions through order $h^{3}: h h h, h h A, h A A, h \phi \phi, h h \phi \phi, h h h \phi \phi$.

## 4-point amplitude



- $n=0$. No graviton emission.
- Irrelevant for mean, variance, etc.; ingredient for recursive constructions.
- Single Feynman diagram

$$
u=\sqrt{32 \pi G}
$$

$\mathscr{A}_{4}^{(0)}\left(\mathbf{1}^{A}, \mathbf{2}^{B}, \mathbf{3}^{A}, \boldsymbol{4}^{B}\right)=-\frac{i \kappa^{2}}{2 t}\left(\frac{1}{2} t\left(-m_{A}^{2}-m_{B}^{2}+s\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(-m_{A}^{2}-m_{B}^{2}+s\right)^{2}-m_{A}^{2} m_{B}^{2}\right)$

## 5-point amplitude



- 7 Feynman diagrams
- Prefer a compact formula, but with only one massless particle, there is no BCFW shift
- Introduce a new equal-mass shift


## BCFW Recursion

## [RB, Cachazo, Feng, Witten]

- Consider a tree-level amplitude as a function of momenta, $\mathscr{A}_{n}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)$. Introduce a complex variable $z$ through a momentum shift, $\hat{p}_{i}=p_{i}+z r_{i}$.
- If momentum is conserved, $\Sigma_{i} r_{i}=0$, and momenta stay on shell, $\hat{p}_{i}^{2}=m_{i}^{2}$, then the shifted function $\mathscr{A}_{n}\left(\left\{\hat{p}_{i}\right\}\right)$ maintains properties of an amplitude.
- Further, if all $r_{i} \cdot r_{j}=0$, then propagators depend linearly on $z$, so $\mathscr{A}_{n}\left(\left\{\hat{p}_{i}\right\}\right)$ has only simple poles. If the residue at infinity (boundary term) vanishes, then we can apply Cauchy's residue theorem.


## BCFW Recursion

- $\oint_{\gamma_{\infty}} d z \frac{\mathscr{A}(z)}{z}=\mathscr{A}_{n}(0)+\sum_{I} \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\mathscr{A}_{n}(z)}{z}\right]$
. $\sum_{I} \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\mathscr{A}_{I}(z)}{z}\right]=-\sum_{I} \sum_{\sigma= \pm} \mathscr{A}_{L}\left(\left\{\hat{p}_{L}\right\}, \hat{P}_{I}^{\sigma}\right) \frac{i}{P_{I}^{2}-m_{I}^{2}} \mathscr{A}_{R}\left(-\hat{P}_{I}^{-\sigma},\left\{\hat{p}_{R}\right\}\right)$


Convenient momentum shift with spinor variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{p}_{3}^{a \dot{b}}=|3\rangle^{a}\left[\left.\hat{3}\right|^{\dot{b}}=|3\rangle^{a}\left(\left[3 \mid+z[4 \mid)^{\dot{b}},\right.\right.\right. \\
& \hat{p}_{4}^{a \dot{b}}=|\hat{4}\rangle^{a}\left[\left.4\right|^{\dot{b}}=(|4\rangle-z|3\rangle)^{a}\left[\left.4\right|^{\dot{b}} .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{A}_{4}^{(0)}\left(\mathbf{1}^{A}, \mathbf{2}^{A}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}\right)=-i \kappa^{2} \frac{m_{A}^{4}[34]^{3}}{\langle 34\rangle\left(s_{31}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{32}-m_{A}^{2}\right)}, \\
& \mathscr{A}_{4}^{(0)}\left(\mathbf{1}^{A}, \mathbf{2}^{A}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}\right)=i \kappa^{2} \frac{[4|1| 3\rangle^{4}}{s_{34}\left(s_{31}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{32}-m_{A}^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The equal-mass shift

- Since the masses are just parameters in the procedure, we can relax the on-shell condition: $\hat{p}_{i}^{2}=\hat{m}_{i}^{2}$. Nonzero masses can vary, provided that any equal masses remain equal in the shift.
- For our 5-point amplitude, use

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}_{5} & =|\hat{5}\rangle[\hat{5}|=(|5\rangle+z(1-3) \mid 5])[5 \mid \\
\hat{p}_{1} & \left.=p_{1}+z 3 \mid 5\right][5 \mid \\
\hat{p}_{3} & \left.=p_{3}-z 1 \mid 5\right][5 \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\hat{p}_{1}^{2}=p_{1}^{2}-z[5|13| 5]=m_{A}^{2}+z[5|31| 5]=\hat{p}_{3}^{2}$.
- Vanishing of boundary term is hard to prove. We checked it with FD.


## 5-point factorization diagrams



## 5-point result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{5}^{(0)}\left(\mathbf{1}^{A}, \mathbf{2}^{B}, \mathbf{3}^{A}, \mathbf{4}^{B}, 5^{+}\right)=\frac{i \kappa^{3}}{8}\left(\left[\frac{-p_{4} \cdot p_{2}[5|13| 5]^{2}}{s_{24}\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)}+\frac{\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]^{2}-8[5|13| 5]^{2}}{16 s_{13} s_{24}}\right.\right. \\
&+\frac{\left(m_{A}^{2}+m_{B}^{2}\right)[5|13| 5]\left(2\left(s_{13}-s_{24}\right)[5|13| 5]+\left[5\left|K_{B}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]\right)}{8\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)} \\
&-\frac{K_{A} \cdot K_{B}\left(s_{24}-s_{13}\right)^{2}[5|13| 5]\left(4 s_{24}[5|42| 5]-\left[5\left|K_{A}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]\right)}{32 s_{13} s_{24}\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)} \\
&-\frac{K_{A} \cdot K_{B}[5|42| 5]\left(\left[5\left|K_{B}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]-4\left(s_{13}+s_{24}\right)[5|13| 5]\right)}{8 s_{13} s_{24}\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)} \\
&-\frac{K_{A} \cdot K_{B}\left[5\left|K_{A}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{B}\right| 5\right\rangle\left(\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]^{2}-8[5|42| 5]^{2}\right)}{64 s_{13}\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)} \\
&+\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(K_{A} I K_{B} \not K_{A} I K_{B}\right)+2\left[5\left|K_{A}\right| 5\right\rangle^{2}+2\left[5\left|K_{B}\right| 5\right\rangle^{2}-2 s_{13}^{2}-2 s_{24}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\left[5\left|K_{A}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{B}\right| 5\right\rangle[5|13| 5][5|42| 5]}{64 s_{13} s_{24}\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\quad \quad+\frac{[5|42| 5]\left(2\left(s_{13}-s_{24}\right)[5|42| 5]-\left[5\left|K_{A}\right| 5\right\rangle\left[5\left|K_{A} K_{B}\right| 5\right]\right)}{64 s_{13}\left(s_{51}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{53}-m_{A}^{2}\right)\left(s_{52}-m_{B}^{2}\right)\left(s_{54}-m_{B}^{2}\right)}\right)\right]+\left[\left(1,3, K_{A}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(2,4, K_{B}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The 6-point amplitude



$$
\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}\right\}=\left\{1^{A}, 3^{A}\right\} \text { or }\left\{2^{B}, 4^{B}\right\}
$$

Usual BCFW shift on graviton pair.
Vanishing of boundary term verified from FD.

## The classical limit

- KMOC told us how to set up the states. They also provide a detailed prescription for taking the classical limit. [Kosower, Maybee, o'connell]
- Study how all quantities scale with $\hbar$, then take the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.

- Momentum transfers $q_{j}, w_{j}$
- Wavenumbers

$$
\bar{q}=q / \hbar, \bar{w}_{j}=w_{j} / \hbar, \bar{k}_{i}=k_{i} / \hbar
$$

- Coupling $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa / \sqrt{\hbar}$


## Classical limit of the tree amplitudes

- Implement explicit scaling: $\bar{q}=q / \hbar, \bar{w}_{j}=w_{j} / \hbar, \bar{k}_{i}=k_{i} / \hbar, \kappa \rightarrow \kappa / \sqrt{\hbar}$
- Replace velocities on classical trajectories: $p_{j}=\tilde{m}_{j} v_{j}, \quad \tilde{m}_{j}^{2}=m_{j}^{2}-\hbar^{2} \frac{\bar{q}^{2}}{4}$
- Naively expected behavior from Feynman diagrams:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{A}_{5}=C_{1}^{(5)} \hbar^{-\frac{9}{2}}+C_{2}^{(5)} \hbar^{-\frac{7}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{-\frac{5}{2}}\right) \\
& \mathscr{A}_{6}=C_{1}^{(6)} \hbar^{-6}+C_{2}^{(6)} \hbar^{-5}+C_{3}^{(6)} \hbar^{-4}+\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{-3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

. Leading orders are suppressed:

$$
C_{1}^{(5)}=0, \quad C_{2}^{(5)} \neq 0
$$

$$
C_{1}^{(6)}=C_{2}^{(6)}=0, \quad C_{3}^{(6)} \neq 0
$$

## Classical limit of the 5-point amplitude

- $n=1$, and $\mathscr{A}_{5}=C_{2}^{(5)} \hbar^{-\frac{7}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{-\frac{5}{2}}\right)$.
- Leading order matches a known result. [Luna, Nicholson, o'Connell, White]
- The scaling of the energy of emitted radiation must remain finite, so the leading-order scaling for a contribution to the classical limit is compensated by precisely $\hbar^{5 / 2+n}$ for each amplitude.
- Probabilities should be treated as $\hbar P_{n}$.
- The 5-point tree does give a classical contribution: $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{1}^{(0,0)} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$.


## Classical limit of the 6-point amplitude

- $n=2$, and $\mathscr{A}_{6}=C_{3}^{(6)} \hbar^{-4}+\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{-3}\right)$.
- No classical contribution: $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{2}^{(0,0)}=0$.
- Leading order is new, and provides the first check of coherence.

$$
\left.\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar \Delta_{\text {out }}\right|_{\mathcal{O}\left(G^{4}\right)}=0
$$

## Coherence at higher orders

- At tree-level, we see the origin of $\hbar$ suppression from the BCFW shift, so we conjecture: $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \mathscr{A}_{4+n} \sim \hbar^{-3-\frac{n}{2}}$. Hence only the 5-point amplitude provides a classical contribution.
- Conjecturally then, $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{n}^{(0,0)}=0$ for $n>2$.
- Consistent with expectations of coherence. If coherence holds to higher orders in $G$ and $L$, then there must be further relations among amplitudes, in the classical limit.


## Coherence at higher orders

- Higher (factorial) moments: $\Gamma^{(m)}=\langle\psi| \hat{N}(\hat{N}-1) \ldots(\hat{N}-m+1)|\psi\rangle$.
- For a Poisson distribution, $\Gamma^{(m)}=\mu^{m}$.
- Thus we check the vanishing of $\Delta^{(m)}=\Gamma^{(m)}-\mu^{m}$. We have already done $m=2$.
$\Delta^{(m)}=\sum_{n} \sum_{L_{1}, L_{2}} G^{2+n+L_{1}+L_{2}} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} P_{n}^{\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)}$
$-\sum_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}} \sum_{L_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, L_{1}^{(m)}} \sum_{L_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, L_{2}^{(m)}} G^{2 m+\sum_{k}\left[n_{k}+L_{1}^{(k)}+L_{2}^{(k)}\right]} \prod_{j}\left[n_{j} P_{n_{j}}^{\left(L_{1}^{(j)} L_{2}^{(j)}\right)}\right]$.


## Relations among amplitudes

Up to $\mathcal{O}\left(G^{7}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar \Delta^{(2)} & =\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar\left(G^{6}\left(2 P_{2}^{(2,0)}+2 P_{2}^{(0,2)}\right)\right) \\
& +\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar\left(G^{7}\left(2 P_{2}^{(3,0)}+2 P_{2}^{(0,3)}+6 P_{3}^{(2,0)}+6 P_{3}^{(0,2)}+6 P_{3}^{(1,1)}\right)\right. \\
& +\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar\left[G^{6}\left(2 P_{2}^{(1,1)}-\left(P_{1}^{(0,0)}\right)^{2}\right)+G^{7}\left(2 P_{2}^{(1,2)}+2 P_{2}^{(2,1)}-2 P_{1}^{(0,1)} P_{1}^{(0,0)}-2 P_{1}^{(1,0)} P_{1}^{(0,0)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar \Delta^{(3)}=\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar\left(G^{7}\left(6 P_{3}^{(0,2)}+6 P_{3}^{(2,0)}+6 P_{3}^{(1,1)}\right)\right)$
Thus, coherence implies $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar\left(G^{7}\left(6 P_{3}^{(0,2)}+6 P_{3}^{(2,0)}+6 P_{3}^{(1,1)}\right)\right)=0$.
We make one more assumption, $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{n}^{(L, 0)}=\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{n}^{(0, L)}=0$ for $n \geq 2$.

## Relations among amplitudes

- $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar P_{3}^{(1,1)}=0$ : the 7-point 1-loop amplitude is classically suppressed.

$$
\hbar P_{2}^{(1,1)}=\frac{1}{2} \hbar\left(P_{1}^{(0,0)}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\text { as } \hbar \rightarrow 0:
$$

- $\hbar\left(P_{2}^{(1,2)}+P_{2}^{(2,1)}\right)=\hbar\left(P_{1}^{(0,1)} P_{1}^{(0,0)}+P_{1}^{(1,0)} P_{1}^{(0,0)}\right)$

6- and higher-point amplitudes are related to 5-point at lower loop level.


## Summary \& Outlook

- "Amplitudes" techniques have been useful for precision calculations in the study of gravitational waves.
- Main result: classical suppression of a 6-pt tree amplitude as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Evidence for coherence of final semiclassical radiation state in binary scattering.
- Introduced an equal-mass shift for on-shell recursion.
- Conjectured higher-order relations in this framework, such that the 4- and 5-point amplitudes encode all information of the final state.
- Future directions:
- explore higher-order relations, and connections to classical soft theorems
- nonperturbative effects may spoil coherence
- spin/tidal effects may spoil coherence
- resummation of radiation reaction effects is desirable

