11th International Workshop on Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detectors

12 - 16 September 2022



Measurement of the Muon Lifetime and the Michel Spectrum in the LAGO Water Cherenkov Detectors as a tool to improve energy calibration and to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio

L. Otiniano^{1,2}, A. Taboada^{3,4}, H. Asorey^{3,5}, I. Sidelnik^{4,5}, C. Castromonte¹, A. Campos-Fauth⁶, for the LAGO Collaboration⁷

¹ Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería (UNI), ² Comisión Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Aeroespacial (CONIDA), ³ Instituto de Tecnologías en Detección y Astropartículas (ITeDA), ⁴ Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), ⁵ Centro Atómico Bariloche, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica (CNEA), ⁶ Universidade Estadual de Campinas [IFGW] ⁷ The LAGO Collaboration, see the complete list of authors and institutions at <u>https://laqoproject.net/collab.html</u>





Two WCDs of the LAGO project: one in Bariloche (Nahuelito, left) the other in Peru's Antarctica Camp (ANTAR, center). The Cherenkov light produced in purified water by air showers entering the detector is measured by a 9" photomultiplier tube (PMT) centered at the top of the detector (right).

2. Noise Rejection Method in WCD







LAGO DAQ digitizes **pulses** at 25 ns sampling rate on windows of 300 ns. Also uses a single threshold-based trigger in the **3rd temporal acquisition** bin (T1). We implement secondary trigger threshold at the 4th bin (T2) to improve noise (short pulses) rejection.



Sources of noise in a LAGO's WCD come from light leakage, electronic noise, thermionic emission and after-pulses; noise signals are expected to present a short pulse width (few nanoseconds) and characteristic differential time spectrums ²⁵ signal below 5 μs.



trigger levels searching for expected two exponential behavior of time difference histogram of signal in the WCDs (from secondary cosmic radiation and muon decay inside WCDs)

T1 = 80 (ADC)

• T1 = 75 (ADC)

T1 = 70 (ADC)

75

70

80



The process is used in the data set of two other LAGO WCDs located in other sites: ANTAR (left) and Chitaga (right).

4. Simulation



We performed simulations in order to compare the results with the measured data. The response of a WCD to the flux of secondary particles was simulated Geant4. A using comparison between a **measured** and a simulated time trace of a decayed muon is shown in the left.



The integral of the time trace results in the total charge collected at the PMT. A comparison between the

charge spectrum of the measured and simulated flux is shown in the right. The shapes of both distributions (also for the **muon decay**) are in good agreement.

5. Conclusions

We have developed a method to reject noise in WCDs of LAGO by implementing a secondary trigger based on the calculation of the muon lifetime in water. Currently, we are in process of improving our simulation to take into account the particularities of each LAGO WCDs. Our method could be implemented at the DAQ level of our WCDs. Evenmore, by taking advantage of the precise determination of the Michel spectrum we are able to improve the energy calibration of the detector and establish an energy threshold for particle detection.