

# Resource Trust Evolution Task Force Status

David Crooks *et al*

# Introduction

---

- Current status of the Resource Trust Evolution task force
- Clarification of use-cases
- Plans for the next few months

# Background

---

- WLCG has used user and host X.509 certificates for many years
  - Interoperable Global Trust Federation ([IGTF](#)) trust anchors
- User auth is transitioning to tokens (see talk after this one)
  - Still need host certificates
    - support encrypted transport
    - give assurance on the identity of host endpoints.
- Need to consider modern host certificate use-cases to make sure we are addressing these appropriately
  - Resource Trust Evolution Task Force

# Status

---

- Infrequent meetings so far
- Last meeting in June allowed us to clarify our themes and use-cases
- Review these today, discuss next steps
- Work towards a workshop in the autumn

# Themes

---

- Site and service needs
- Workflow needs
- Experiment and community needs

# Site and service needs

---

- In the US, Digicert last year (temporarily) stopped issuing IGTF certificates
  - Raised the question of how to address this
- Having spoken recently with Jeny T, Digicert has now started issuing certs again
  - But unease remains that this could happen again
- Use case: How can we address cases like this?

# Site and service needs

---

- Some sites/facilities are offering services that support multiple communities, not all of which may use the IGTF trust anchors.
- In addition, it could be advantageous for the WLCG to make use of some of these facility services that use public trust frameworks (eg S3)
  - See next slide
- Use case: How do we square this situation in a manner that works best for all parties?

# Workflow needs

---

- Development of modern cloud-based workflows requires an understanding of the trust framework
- Mario described their work with a number of cloud providers, where at this stage the cloud infrastructure is fronted by load balancers with IGTF certs to provide a grid interface
  - This is not ideal from a trust perspective
  - And has technical drawbacks - traffic being routed via the load balancers
- Mario has agreed to discuss this further at the next meeting



# Experiment and community needs

---

- From an experiment perspective, important to address the development requirements
  - Cloud workflows
- Looking beyond WLCG, want to align with other work
- DUNE is also working in this area so we should work to make sure we don't diverge

# Theme and use case summary

---

- Track US certificate situation and examine options
- Consider services that support multiple communities with different trust frameworks
- Examine cloud workflows and how best to architect the trust frameworks for these
- Align with other communities such as DUNE to avoid divergence in approach where possible

# Next steps

---

- Next meeting to be arranged this month
  - In any case plan to review cloud workflows with Mario
- Work towards pre-GDB in the autumn
- Aim is not to solve all of these use-cases at this time but to understand what is possible now and what requires further work
  - And document this

# Task force details

---

- Task force wiki
  - <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/ResourceTrustEvolution>
- Contact
  - David Crooks and Maarten Litmaath

---

# Questions?