



CP–Violating Invariants in the SMEFT

Emanuele Gendy Abd El Sayed

(based on 2112.03889, with Q. Bonnefoy, E.G., C. Grojean, J. Ruderman)

CP–Violation in the Standard Model

CP–Violation must have a flavor–independent meaning. In the SM, this is provided by the Jarlskog Invariant

$$J_4 \equiv \text{Im Tr} \left[Y_u Y_u^\dagger, Y_d Y_d^\dagger \right]^3 = 6(y_t^2 - y_c^2)(y_t^2 - y_u^2)(y_c^2 - y_u^2)(y_b^2 - y_s^2)(y_b^2 - y_d^2)(y_s^2 - y_d^2)\mathcal{J}$$

where $\mathcal{J} = s_{12}c_{12}s_{13}c_{13}^2s_{23}c_{23} \sin(\delta_{\text{CKM}})$

In the standard parametrization

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

CP–Violation in the SMEFT

Which phases are physical for 3 flavors? When does the SMEFT break CP?

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(4)} + \mathcal{A}^{(6)} + \dots \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}^{(4)}|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(4)} \mathcal{A}^{(6)*} \right)$$

CP–Violation in the SMEFT

Which phases are physical for 3 flavors? When does the SMEFT break CP?

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(4)} + \mathcal{A}^{(6)} + \dots \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}^{(4)}|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(4)} \mathcal{A}^{(6)*} \right)$$

Conserves CP iff $J_4 = 0$

CP-Violation in the SMEFT

Which phases are physical for 3 flavors? When does the SMEFT break CP?

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(4)} + \mathcal{A}^{(6)} + \dots \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}^{(4)}|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(4)} \mathcal{A}^{(6)*} \right)$$

Conserves CP iff $J_4 = 0$

Conserves CP iff $J_4 = 0$ & ???=0

CP–Violation in the SMEFT

Which phases are physical for 3 flavors? When does the SMEFT break CP?

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(4)} + \mathcal{A}^{(6)} + \dots \Rightarrow |\mathcal{A}^{(4)}|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(\mathcal{A}^{(4)} \mathcal{A}^{(6)*} \right)$$

Conserves CP iff $J_4 = 0$

Conserves CP iff $J_4 = 0$ & $???=0$

More precisely, what are the order parameters of CP–Violation in the SMEFT?

CP-odd invariants

Given a SMEFT dimension-6 operator containing fermions, we can build a set of CP-odd flavor invariants by giving it spurionic transformation properties.

For example turn on only $\mathcal{O}_{uH} = \frac{C_{uH,ij}}{\Lambda^2} |H|^2 \bar{Q}_{L,i} u_{R,j} \tilde{H}$

CP-odd invariants

Given a SMEFT dimension-6 operator containing fermions, we can build a set of CP-odd flavor invariants by giving it spurionic transformation properties.

For example turn on only $\mathcal{O}_{uH} = \frac{C_{uH,ij}}{\Lambda^2} |H|^2 \bar{Q}_{L,i} u_{R,j} \tilde{H}$

$$C_{uH} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{lll}
 L_1^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger \right] & L_2^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u \right] & L_3^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d \right] \\
 L_4^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u X_d \right] & L_5^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d X_u \right] & L_6^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u^2 X_d^2 \right] \\
 L_7^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d^2 X_u^2 \right] & L_8^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u X_d^2 X_u^2 \right] & L_9^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} \left[C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d X_u^2 X_d^2 \right]
 \end{array}$$

CP-odd invariants

Given a SMEFT dimension-6 operator containing fermions, we can build a set of CP-odd flavor invariants by giving it spurionic transformation properties.

For example turn on only $\mathcal{O}_{uH} = \frac{C_{uH,ij}}{\Lambda^2} |H|^2 \bar{Q}_{L,i} u_{R,j} \tilde{H}$

$$C_{uH} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{lll}
 L_1^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger] & L_2^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u] & L_3^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d] \\
 L_4^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u X_d] & L_5^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d X_u] & L_6^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u^2 X_d^2] \\
 L_7^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d^2 X_u^2] & L_8^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_u X_d^2 X_u^2] & L_9^{uH} = \text{Im Tr} [C_{uH} Y_u^\dagger X_d X_u^2 X_d^2]
 \end{array}$$

CP is conserved **iff** $J_4 = L_i^{uH} = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, 9$

CPV in the SM: a collective effect

In the Standard Model, the smallness of the phenomenological parameters from all three generations conspire to produce a non-zero but small J_4 . Using the Wolfenstein parametrization

CPV in the SM: a collective effect

In the Standard Model, the smallness of the phenomenological parameters from all three generations conspire to produce a non-zero but small J_4 . Using the Wolfenstein parametrization

$$Y_u = \text{diag}(a_u \lambda^8, a_c \lambda^4, a_t \lambda^0)$$

$$Y_d = V_{\text{CKM}} \text{diag}(a_d \lambda^7, a_c \lambda^4, a_b \lambda^3)$$

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda \approx 0.2$, $a_i = \mathcal{O}(1)$

CPV in the SM: a collective effect

In the Standard Model, the smallness of the phenomenological parameters from all three generations conspire to produce a non-zero but small J_4 . Using the Wolfenstein parametrization

$$Y_u = \text{diag}(a_u \lambda^8, a_c \lambda^4, a_t \lambda^0)$$

$$Y_d = V_{\text{CKM}} \text{diag}(a_d \lambda^7, a_c \lambda^4, a_b \lambda^3)$$

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$J_4 \approx \lambda^{36}$$

with $\lambda \approx 0.2$, $a_i = \mathcal{O}(1)$

CPV in the SMEFT: flavor assumptions

The SMEFT invariants are not as suppressed as J_4 . Different flavor models affect the different number of new CP-violating observables

CPV in the SMEFT: flavor assumptions

The SMEFT invariants are not as suppressed as J_4 . Different flavor models affect the different number of new CP-violating observables

Flavor degenerate assumption:

$$C_{uH,ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} + i\eta_{11} & \rho_{12} + i\eta_{12} & \rho_{13} + i\eta_{13} \\ \rho_{21} + i\eta_{21} & \rho_{22} + i\eta_{22} & \rho_{23} + i\eta_{23} \\ \rho_{31} + i\eta_{31} & \rho_{32} + i\eta_{32} & \rho_{33} + i\eta_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

CPV in the SMEFT: flavor assumptions

The SMEFT invariants are not as suppressed as J_4 . Different flavor models affect the different number of new CP-violating observables

Flavor degenerate assumption:

$$C_{uH,ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} + i\eta_{11} & \rho_{12} + i\eta_{12} & \rho_{13} + i\eta_{13} \\ \rho_{21} + i\eta_{21} & \rho_{22} + i\eta_{22} & \rho_{23} + i\eta_{23} \\ \rho_{31} + i\eta_{31} & \rho_{32} + i\eta_{32} & \rho_{33} + i\eta_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$



18 new parameters



CPV in the SMEFT: MFV

CPV in the SMEFT: MFV

In Minimal Flavor Violation, the flavor structure is dictated by the Yukawa matrices

$$C_{uH,ij} = aY_u + b(Y_u Y_u^\dagger)Y_u + c(Y_d Y_d^\dagger)Y_u$$

CPV in the SMEFT: MFV

In Minimal Flavor Violation, the flavor structure is dictated by the Yukawa matrices

$$C_{uH,ij} = aY_u + b(Y_u Y_u^\dagger)Y_u + c(Y_d Y_d^\dagger)Y_u$$



3 new parameters at this order



CPV in the SMEFT: $U(2)^5$

CPV in the SMEFT: $U(2)^5$

We can assume a $U(2)^5 = U(2)_L \otimes U(2)_Q \otimes U(2)_e \otimes U(2)_u \otimes U(2)_d$ flavor symmetry broken by the spurions

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_\ell &\sim (2, 1, 1, 1, 1) , & V_q &\sim (1, 2, 1, 1, 1) , \\
 \Delta_e &\sim (2, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1) , & \Delta_u &\sim (1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1) , & \Delta_d &\sim (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2})
 \end{aligned}$$

whose vevs can be related to the SM Yukawa and CKM matrix entries. Up to $\mathcal{O}(V\Delta)$:

$$C_{uH,ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^5(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & -\lambda^4(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & 0 \\ \lambda^6(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & \lambda^3(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & \lambda^2(\rho_2 + i\eta_2) \\ \lambda^8(\rho_3 + i\eta_3) & \lambda^5(\rho_3 + i\eta_3) & \rho_4 + i\eta_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

CPV in the SMEFT: $U(2)^5$

We can assume a $U(2)^5 = U(2)_L \otimes U(2)_Q \otimes U(2)_e \otimes U(2)_u \otimes U(2)_d$ flavor symmetry broken by the spurions

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_\ell &\sim (2, 1, 1, 1, 1) , & V_q &\sim (1, 2, 1, 1, 1) , \\
 \Delta_e &\sim (2, 1, \bar{2}, 1, 1) , & \Delta_u &\sim (1, 2, 1, \bar{2}, 1) , & \Delta_d &\sim (1, 2, 1, 1, \bar{2})
 \end{aligned}$$

whose vevs can be related to the SM Yukawa and CKM matrix entries. Up to $\mathcal{O}(V\Delta)$:

$$C_{uH,ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^5(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & -\lambda^4(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & 0 \\ \lambda^6(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & \lambda^3(\rho_1 + i\eta_1) & \lambda^2(\rho_2 + i\eta_2) \\ \lambda^8(\rho_3 + i\eta_3) & \lambda^5(\rho_3 + i\eta_3) & \rho_4 + i\eta_4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 8 \text{ new parameters}$$

CPV in the SMEFT

The number of independent CP-violating parameters in C_{uH} at fixed order in the λ expansion changes depending on the flavor assumption

	Generic	MFV	$U(2)^5$
Rank 1	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$
Rank 2	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^6)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^8)$
Rank 3	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^8)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^8)$	$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{10})$
	⋮		

More generically, fixing the flavor symmetry allows us to count the number of independent CPV parameters that can appear in observables

Flavour symmetries of the quark sector of the SM	Bilinears						4-Fermi				
	C_{eH} C_{eW} C_{eB}	C_{uH} C_{uG} C_{uW} C_{uB} C_{dH} C_{dG} C_{dW} C_{dB} C_{Hud}	$C_{HL}^{1,3}$ C_{He}	$C_{HQ}^{1,3}$ C_{Hu} C_{Hd}	C_{LL} C_{ee}	C_{Le}	$C_{QQ}^{1,3}$ C_{uu} C_{dd}	$C_{LQ}^{1,3}$ C_{Qe} C_{Lu} C_{eu} C_{Ld} C_{ed}	$C_{ud}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qu}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qd}^{1,8}$	C_{LedQ} $C_{LeQu}^{1,3}$	$C_{QuQd}^{1,8}$
$U(1)_B$	3	9	0	3	0	3	18	9	36	27	81
$U(1)_B \times U(1)_{u_R}$	3	$6^4, 9^4, 6$	0	3,1,3	0	3	18,6,18	$9^2, 3^2, 9^2$	$18^2, 36$	27,18	54
$U(1)_B \times U(1)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$6^8, 4$	0	$3,1^2$	0	3	$18,6^2$	$9^2, 3^4$	$8, 18^2$	18^2	36
$U(1)_B \times U(2)_{u_R}$	3	$3^4, 9^4, 3$	0	3,0,3	0	3	18,0,18	$9^2, 0^2, 9^2$	$6^2, 36$	27,9	27
$U(1)_B \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$3^4, 6^4, 2$	0	3,0,1	0	3	18,0,6	$9^2, 0^2, 3^2$	2,6,18	18,9	18
$U(1)^2$	3	5	0	1	0	3	5	3	12	15	33
$U(1)^2 \times U(1)_{u_R}$	3	$(3 \text{ or } 4)^4, 5^4, 3 \text{ or } 4$	0	1,0 or 1,1	0	3	5,0 or 5,5	$3^2, (0 \text{ or } 3)^2, 3^2$	$(5 \text{ or } 8)^2, 12$	15,9 or 12	21 or 24
$U(1)^2 \times U(1)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$(3 \text{ or } 4)^8, 2 \text{ or } 4$	0	$1, (0 \text{ or } 1)^2$	0	3	$5, (0 \text{ or } 5)^2$	$3^2, (0 \text{ or } 3)^4$	$1 \text{ or } 3 \text{ or } 8, (5 \text{ or } 8)^2$	9 or 12	12 or 13 or 16
$U(1)^2 \times U(2)_{u_R}$	3	$(1 \text{ or } 2)^4, 5, 1 \text{ or } 2$	0	1,0,1	0	3	5,0,5	$3^2, 0^2, 3^2$	$2^2, 12$	15,3	12
$U(1)^2 \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$(1 \text{ or } 2)^4, (3 \text{ or } 4)^4, 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 2$	0	1,0,0 or 1	0	3	5,0,0 or 5	$3^2, 0^2, (0 \text{ or } 3)^2$	$0 \text{ or } 2, 2, 5 \text{ or } 8$	9 or 12,3	8
$U(1)^2 \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	$(1 \text{ or } 2)^8, 0 \text{ or } 1$	0	$1,0^2$	0	3	5,0 ²	$3^2, 0^4$	$0, 2^2$	3 or 6	4
$U(1)^3$	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	9	15
$U(1)^3 \times U(1)_{u_R}$	3	$2^4, 3^4, 2$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$1^2, 3$	9,6	10
$U(1)^3 \times U(1)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$2^8, 1 \text{ or } 2$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0 \text{ or } 1, 1^2$	6	7 or 8
$U(1)^3 \times U(2)_{u_R}$	3	$1^4, 3^4, 1$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 3$	9,3	5
$U(1)^3 \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$1^4, 2^4, 0 \text{ or } 1$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 1$	6,3	3 or 4
$U(1)^3 \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	$1^8, 0 \text{ or } 1$	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2
$U(1)^3 \times U(3)_{u_R}$	3	$0^4, 3^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 3$	9,0	0
$U(1)^3 \times U(3)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$0^4, 2^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 1$	6,0	0
$U(2) \times U(1)$	3	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	6	7
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)_{u_R}$	3	$1^4, 2^4, 1$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 1$	6,3	3
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1 or 2
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R}$	3	$1^4, 2^4, 1$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 1$	6,3	3
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$1^8, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(3)_{u_R}$	3	$0^4, 2^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	$0^2, 1$	6,0	0
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(3)_{u_R} \times U(1)_{d_R}$	3	$0^4, 1^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3,0	0
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(3)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	$0^4, 1^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3,0	0
$U(3)$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	2
$U(3) \times U(3)_{u_R}$	3	$0^4, 1^4, 0$	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3,0	0
$U(3) \times U(3)_{u_R} \times U(3)_{d_R}$	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Two degenerate electron-type leptons	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$		$\times 1$		$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$
All electron-type leptons degenerate	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$		$\times 1$		$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$
One vanishing electron-type mass	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$		$\times 1$		$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times 1$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$
Two vanishing electron-type masses	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$		$\times 1$		0	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{2}{3}$	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$
All electron-type masses vanishing	0	$\times 1$		$\times 1$		0	$\times 1$	$\times \frac{1}{3}$	$\times 1$	0	$\times 1$



Universität Hamburg

DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE
QUANTUM UNIVERSE



For example, zooming on $U(2)^5$

	Bilinears					4-Fermi					
	C_{eH}	C_{uH} C_{uG} C_{uW} C_{uB}	$C_{HL}^{1,3}$ C_{He}	$C_{HQ}^{1,3}$ C_{Hu} C_{Hd}	C_{LL} C_{ee}	C_{Le}	$C_{QQ}^{1,3}$ C_{uu} C_{dd}	$C_{LQ}^{1,3}$ C_{Qe} C_{Lu} C_{eu} C_{Ld} C_{ed}	$C_{ud}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qu}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qd}^{1,8}$	C_{LedQ} $C_{LeQu}^{1,3}$	$C_{QuQd}^{1,8}$
Flavour symmetries of the quark sector of the SM	C_{eW} C_{eB}	C_{dH} C_{dG} C_{dW} C_{dB} C_{Hud}									
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1

To be compared with
(arxiv:1802.07237)

four heavy quarks 11 + 2 CPV
two light and two heavy quarks 14
two heavy quarks and bosons 9 + 6 CPV
two heavy quarks and two leptons (8 + 3 CPV) × 3 lepton flavours

For example, zooming on $U(2)^5$

	Bilinears					4-Fermi						
Flavour symmetries of the quark sector of the SM	C_{eH}	C_{uH} C_{uG} C_{uW} C_{uB}	$C_{HL}^{1,3}$	$C_{HQ}^{1,3}$	C_{LL}	C_{Le}	$C_{QQ}^{1,3}$	$C_{LQ}^{1,3}$ C_{Qe}	C_{Lu}	$C_{ud}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qu}^{1,8}$ $C_{Qd}^{1,8}$	C_{LedQ} $C_{LeQu}^{1,3}$	$C_{QuQd}^{1,8}$
\vdots	C_{eW}	C_{dH}	C_{He}	C_{Hu}	C_{ee}		C_{uu}	C_{Lu}	C_{eu}			
\vdots	C_{eB}	C_{dG}		C_{Hd}			C_{dd}	C_{Ld}	C_{ed}			
\vdots		C_{dW} C_{dB} C_{Hud}										
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	
		$\times 6$										

To be compared with
(arxiv:1802.07237)

- four heavy quarks 11 + 2 CPV
- two light and two heavy quarks 14
- two heavy quarks and bosons 9 + 6 CPV ←
- two heavy quarks and two leptons (8 + 3 CPV) × 3 lepton flavours

For example, zooming on $U(2)^5$

	Bilinears					4-Fermi						
Flavour symmetries of the quark sector of the SM	C_{eH}	C_{uH} C_{uG} C_{uW} C_{uB}	$C_{HL}^{1,3}$	$C_{HQ}^{1,3}$	C_{LL}	C_{Le}	$C_{QQ}^{1,3}$	$C_{LQ}^{1,3}$	C_{Qe}	$C_{ud}^{1,8}$	C_{LedQ} $C_{LeQu}^{1,3}$	$C_{QuQd}^{1,8}$
	C_{eW}	C_{dH}	C_{He}	C_{Hu}	C_{ee}		C_{uu}	C_{Lu}	C_{eu}	$C_{Qu}^{1,8}$		
	C_{eB}	C_{dG}		C_{Hd}			C_{dd}	C_{Ld}	$C_{Qd}^{1,8}$			
		C_{dW}						C_{ed}				
		C_{dB}										
		C_{Hud}										
\vdots												
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	
\vdots												

× 6
× 3

To be compared with
(arxiv:1802.07237)

- four heavy quarks 11 + 2 CPV
- two light and two heavy quarks 14
- two heavy quarks and bosons 9 + 6 CPV ←
- two heavy quarks and two leptons (8 + 3 CPV) × 3 lepton flavours ←

For example, zooming on $U(2)^5$

	Bilinears					4-Fermi						
Flavour symmetries of the quark sector of the SM	C_{eH}	C_{uH} C_{uG} C_{uW} C_{uB}	$C_{HL}^{1,3}$	$C_{HQ}^{1,3}$	C_{LL}	C_{Le}	$C_{QQ}^{1,3}$	$C_{LQ}^{1,3}$	C_{Qe}	$C_{ud}^{1,8}$	C_{LedQ} $C_{LeQu}^{1,3}$	$C_{QuQd}^{1,8}$
	C_{eW}	C_{dH}	C_{He}	C_{Hu}	C_{ee}		C_{uu}	C_{Lu}	C_{eu}	$C_{Qu}^{1,8}$		
	C_{eB}	C_{dG}		C_{Hd}			C_{dd}	C_{Ld}	$C_{Qd}^{1,8}$			
		C_{dW}						C_{ed}				
		C_{dB}										
		C_{Hud}										
⋮												
$U(2) \times U(1) \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	
⋮												
		$\times 6$								$\times 3$	$\times 2$	

To be compared with
(arxiv:1802.07237)

- four heavy quarks 11 + 2 CPV ←
- two light and two heavy quarks 14
- two heavy quarks and bosons 9 + 6 CPV ←
- two heavy quarks and two leptons (8 + 3 CPV) × 3 lepton flavours ←



Universität Hamburg

DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE
QUANTUM UNIVERSE



Thank you!