Tower Jazz 180 nm MALTA monolithic active pixel sensor Test Beam results

Dumitru-Vlad Berlea (DESY, Humboldt University)

Philip Allport (UOB), Ignacio Asensi Tortajada (CERN), Marlon Barbero (CPPM), Pierre Barrillon (CPPM), Christian Bespin (Bonn), Daniela Bortoletto (Oxford), Patrick Breugnon (CPPM), Edoardo Charbon (EPFL), Florian Dacks (CERN), Valerio Dao (CERN), Yavuz Degerli (CEA), Jochen Christian Dingfelder (Bonn), Dominik Dobrijevic (CERN, Zagreb), Leyre Flores Sanz de Acedo (CERN), Andrea Gabrielli (CERN), Giuliano Gustavino (CERN), Amr Habib (CPPM), Tomas Hemperek (CPPM), Matt LeBlanc (CERN), Magdalena Munker (CERN), Patrick Pangaud (CPPM), Heinz Pernegger (CERN), Francesco Piro (EPFL, CERN), Petra Riedler (CERN), Heidi Sandaker (Oslo), Abhishek Sharma (CERN), Water Snoeys (CERN), Tomislav Suligoj (Zagreb), Milou van Rijnbach (CERN, Oslo), Tianyang Wang (Bonn), Julian Weick (CERN, Darmstadt), Norbert Wermes (Bonn), Steven Worm (DESY), Abdelhak Zoubir (Darmstadt)





Future-proofing design

- Very high radiation tolerance • (1 – 2E15 neq/cm²; 80 Mrad TID)
- High granularity (2MHz/mm² hit rates) •
- Good timing response (<25 ns)
- Low material budget (cooling, power consumption)
- Large surface area •
- Very thin



ALICE - LHCb

upgrade

2 x nominal Lumi

2 x nominal Lum

nominal Lum





MAPS

Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors advantages:

- Integration of RO electronics and sensor in one die
- Smaller pixel pitch
- Small sensor capacitance (< 5 fF)
 - higher voltage signal
 - better power/performance
 - reduced material budget for powering and cooling





- Two chips (sensor, ROC)
- Large electrodes, capacitance
- Expensive bump bonding
- Well understood radiation hardness



Monolithic sensors

- One chip = (Sensor + ROC)
- Smaller electrodes, capacitance
- Less material; lower power needs
- Radiation effects still being studied



3 CMOS flavours:

- STD Extra N-type implant for improved depletion zone
- NGAP Gap in the low dose N-type implant
- **XDPW** Extra Deep P-Well

2 different substrates:

- High Resistivity Epitaxial layer (Epi)
- Czochralski (Cz)

Cz substrate



R&D MALTA Timeline

Standard Modified process	MALTA and Monopix designs	opix Sensor characterization		Further improvements miniMALTA	MALTA-C	MALTA-C MALTA2		MALTA3	
NACS PADS WIELCOLECTION ELECTRODE PVELL WIEL DEPLETED ZONE P EPITXXXLLIVER DEPLETED ZONE P EPITXXXLLIVER DEPLETED ZONE DEPLETED ZONE		9 6 7 6 5 4 3 2 1							
First promising measurements	First engineering run with large designs	Efficiency/charge lo at the corner of pixe	els	Pixel modifications and RTS improvements	Epi and CZ starting material	New cascoded front- end and slow control		Time resolution, full reticle size	
2014	2014 07/2017 01/2018			09/2018	09/2019	01/2021		2023	
MALTA				miniMALTA			MALTA2		
 First large demonstrator (2x2 cm²) 			•	Small demonstrator (1.7x0.5 mm ²)		Smaller matrix (2x1 cm ²)			
8 sectors with different pixel flavors			•	Serial output		New Slow control			
Asynchronous readout			•	Cascoded FE		Mini-MALTA FE			
 Sensor functional. Slow control issues 			•	Rad hard, RTS improvements		Under test!			

DESY. MALTA@TREDI2022 Vlad Berlea

TowerJazz MALTA2

- 224 x 512 pixels (36.4 µm pitch)
- Small collection electrode (2-3 µm²)
- **Low** power consumption:
 - $1 \mu W/pixel$ analog power
 - 70 mW/cm² analog power
 - 10 mW/cm² digital power
- Asynchronous readout (No distributed clock across matrix)
- New cascoded FE (M3) & enlarged M4







Threshold & noise

- Threshold & noise scans for MALTA, MALTA2 (Epi, NGAP, high doping of the n-blanket at -6V substrate voltage)
- Similar threshold dispersion (10%) around 340 electrons for both MALTA & MALTA2
- MALTA2 (cascoded FE) has much lower non-gaussian tail (RTS), compared to MALTA



MALTA SPS Telescope

- Malta Telescope operated the whole of 2021 at CERN SPS H6 beamline. Aiming for the same for 2022!
- **2021 SPS Test beam** Testing of MALTA2:
 - MALTA 2 performance
 - Radiation tolerance
 - Timing performance
- Six MALTA tracking planes (2x Cz, 4 Epi), Scintillator and Cold Box with capacity for up to 2 DUTs





SPS Testbeam Monitoring plots



- **DAQ** with **online monitoring** (hit map, time distribution, number of hits per-event)
- Fast track reconstruction due to low noise/ occupancy (~1.2 hits/plane/event)

MALTA2 Timing

Time of arrival of the fastest hit in a matched cluster w.r.t scintillator reference, as a function of the matrix X/Y coordinate

- Timing corrections:
 - **(Y)** Linear due to column time propagation (**top**)
 - (X) Non-uniform chip response in the row direction (bottom)
- The **timing plots** are a convolution of:
 - Electronics jitter
 - Time-walk
 - Charge collection effects
 - Scintillator jitter (500ps)
 - FPGA readout jitter (900ps)



MALTA2 Timing

In-time efficiency for a sliding window of 25,15,10,8 ns w.r.t a scintillator



- LHC 25 ns bunch spacing: >98% of MALTA2 signals arrive; FCC-ee <15 ns bunch spacing: >95% of MALTA2 signal arrive;> 90% in-time efficiency for 8 ns window
- **Cz** has **5% better** collection for a 8 ns window

MALTA2 Timing

In pixel cluster timing, projected over 2x2 pixel matrix for a Cz and for an Epi MALTA2 sample (XDPW, 100 micron, low doping of the n-implant)



- Pixel corners generate late-arriving signals
- Non-irradiated Cz and Epi sample have similar in pixel cluster timing

CZ VS EP Average cluster size vs threshold in electrons for a MALTA2 Epi and a MALTA2 Cz sample



- Strong dependence of cluster size on threshold for both Epi and Cz samples at -6V substrate voltage
- Larger depletion voltage & signal of the high resistivity Cz substrate \rightarrow higher cl size at lower thresholds

100 Mrad xray

Average cl size (left) and efficiency (right) in terms of threshold in electrons before and after 100 Mrad Xray irradiation of the same MALTA2 Epi



- Strong dependence of cluster size on threshold; relatively flat dependence of efficiency on threshold for -6V substrate voltage
- After 100 Mrad Xray, MALTA2 performance is similar: cluster size and efficiency (>97%) within 2%

Backside metallization Average cluster size vs SUB voltage of a MALTA2 Cz, back metallized



- No P+ substrate \rightarrow non-homogeneous depletion regions. Back metallization leads to better propagation of the ٠ substrate voltage \rightarrow homogeneous electric field
- Very strong increase in cluster size with SUB voltage. A cl size of **2.7±0.1** was achieved for -9V SUB voltage

Backside metallization

The cluster size is computed only for hits arriving in a time window (75,50,25 ns) relative to the median hit arrival time



- Full cluster collection needs 3 bunch crossings (75ns). (for ITK outerlayer occupancy acceptable)
- To be improved with MALTA3

Conclusion and outlook

- Significant improvements in terms of noise for MALTA2, due to its cascoded FE and also improved slow control and radiation hardness.
- SPS 2021 Measurement Campaign:
 - MALTA 2 performance
 - Radiation tolerance
 - Timing performance
- Further analysis is planned on neutron and proton irradiated MALTA 2 samples during the 2022 SPS Testbeam!
- MALTA3 expected for 2023!

Acknowledgments

- This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement No 101004761.
- Supported by the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Innovative Training Network of the European Commission Horizon 2020 Programme under contract number 675587 (STREAM).
- This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 654168.(IJS, Ljubljana, Slovenia)

Thank you

Bonus





Standard modified process



Gap in the n- layer (NGAP)









Contact

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY Berlea Vlad

DET-Z Vlad.berlea@desy.de

www.desy.de