



# Test Beam results of FBK 3D pixel sensors interconnected to RD53A readout chip after high irradiation

Giulio Bardelli, On behalf of CMS Phase-2 inner tracker group

17th "Trento" Workshop on Advanced Silicon Radiation Detectors

03/03/2022



## High Luminosity Phase of LHC

- Instantaneous Luminosity reaches 7.5  $\times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s.
- Collect data up to 4100 fb<sup>-1</sup> over 10 years.
- CMS needs to be upgraded to cope with higher luminosity.
  - Up to 200 events per Bunch Crossing.
  - $\begin{tabular}{ll} $$\circ$ Fluence for innermost pixel layer $$$\sim 1.9 $\times 10^{16}$ n_{eq}$ / cm^2 for Run 4-5. \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- The tracker will be completely replaced.
  - Two technologies under study for inner tracker sensors: traditional planar and 3D pixel.



Further details for planar sensor in M. Antonello's talk. "<u>New test beam results of HPK planar pixel sensors for</u> <u>the CMS Ph2 upgrade</u>". @14:30

## 3D pixel sensors

- 3D pixels: new technology with columnar electrodes etched inside the bulk.
- 3D advantages with respect to planar:
  - Smaller depletion voltage.
  - Same active thickness.
  - Shorter drift distance for carriers:
    - reduced trapping probability when irradiated.



## 3D pixel sensors - technology

- Single side process in which columns are etched only from the front side.
  - $\circ$  P<sup>+</sup> columns in contact with back side of the sensor.
  - $\circ~~N^+$  columns ~ connected to the bump bonding pads for the readout.
    - The gap between n<sup>+</sup> columns and back side electrode is a critical parameter with respect to production yield vs. sensor performance.





#### FBK 3D sensors under test

- Two "Step and Repeat" batches: Stepper-1 and Stepper-2.
  - $\circ$  Stepper-2: increased gap between  $n^+$  columns and backside.
- Bump bonded at IZM with RD53A readout chip.
- Mounted on a single chip card developed at UZH.





Sensors interconnected with RD53A ROC

> CNM 3D sensors discussed in S. Dittmer's talk. "<u>Study of irradiated</u> <u>CNM 3D sensors</u>" . @17:45



#### Irradiated sensor samples

- Modules irradiated with low energy protons, 23 MeV, at Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT).
- Modules irradiated in a wide range of fluences.

module@fluence [n <sub>eq</sub> /cm²]	Bias Voltage @ Leakage Current	Status	<ul> <li>➡ Hard to analyze, high number of noisy channels</li> </ul>
25×100 µm <sup>2</sup> Stepper-1 @1.5×10 <sup>16</sup>	50 V @ 80 μA - 150 V @ 150 μA	$\checkmark$	
25×100 µm <sup>2</sup> Stepper-1 @2.3×10 <sup>16</sup>	50 V @ 150 μA - 150 V @ 440 μA	X	
25×100 µm <sup>2</sup> Stepper-2 @1.4×10 <sup>16</sup>	50 V @ 110 μA - 150 V @ 220 μA	$\checkmark$	
25×100 µm <sup>2</sup> Stepper-2 @1.8×10 <sup>16</sup>	50 V @ 170 μA - 150 V @ 400 μA	$\checkmark$	

#### The Readout chip RD53A



- 65nm CMOS technology;  $50x50 \ \mu m^2$  cell.
- Low threshold (1000 1500 e) and low noise ( < 100 e).
- Radiation resistant: tested up to fluences of  $2.4 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$ .
- Three analog front ends for development purpose.
  - CMS choice: linear FE. All the results obtained with linear FE.

03/03/2022

### Test Beam set-up at DESY

- Beam: 5.2 GeV electrons
- Mimosa telescope:
  - 3 pixel planes before the Device Under Test (DUT) upstream.
  - Cooling Box (Irradiated DUT must be cooled to mitigate radiation damage effects).
  - $\circ$  3 pixel planes after the DUT downstream.





DUT inside cooling box



#### Data taking

- Data collected in October and in December 2021
- Operating conditions.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Sensor Temperature around -20  $\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$
  - $\circ$  ~ The DUT can be rotated with respect to the beam axis.
- DUT tuning.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Channel thresholds trimmed to average values ranging from 1400 e- to 1600 e-.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Noisy and dead channels are masked during analysis.
    - Channel with high occupancy (typ  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  per sampling cycle) tagged as noisy
- Test procedure
  - Hit efficiency as a function of bias voltage:
    - **–** Perpendicular track incidence
    - Incidence around  $10^{\circ}$  with DUT rotated.
  - $\circ$  ~ Spatial Resolution (and Cluster Size) as a function of the turn angle.



#### 3D sensors - Hit efficiency

- Orthogonal beam incidence.
  - 97% hit efficiency for all sensors.
  - $\circ \quad \mbox{Hit efficiency plateau with} \\ V_{\rm bias} \mbox{ from 110 V up to 140 V} \\ \mbox{for all sensors.}$
  - Reduced hit efficiency due to passive material (columns).
- DUT turned of  $10^\circ$ :
  - 99% hit efficiency for less irradiated sensors.



### Hit efficiency map

- Orthogonal beam incidence.
- Superposition of all 4×1 pixel regions
- Hit Efficiency increases with effective bias voltage.
- Hit Efficiency around 97% at  $V_{bias}$  = 130 Volt.





#### Procedure for Spatial Resolution

- Angle scan with respect to pixel short side at the hit efficiency plateau (140 V for Stepper-2@ $1.4 \times 10^{16}$ ).
- Intrinsic DUT resolution
- Only the upstream telescope is used.
- Minimum spatial resolution 5.4  $\mu$ m at around 9° for Stepper-2@1.4×10<sup>16</sup>.
- Average Cluster Size for Stepper-2@1.4×10<sup>16</sup> is 1.5 at around 9°.



10

12

14

16

turn angle [°]

Cluster Size [pixels]

esolution [µm]

6.5

5.5

0

resolution pitch/√12



#### **3D** sensors - Spatial Resolution



- $\circ$  Minimum of spatial resolution at 9° turn angle.
  - Stepper-1 6.3 μm.
  - <sup>□</sup> Stepper-2 5.4 μm.
- Two points for Stepper-2 @ 1.8×10<sup>16</sup>
   n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> at plateau efficiency.
  - $\circ \quad {\rm At} \ 13^\circ \ {\rm turn} \ {\rm angle} \ 6 \ \mu {\rm m} \\ {\rm spatial} \ {\rm resolution}.$
- Spatial resolution comparable with the one measured for FBK planar sensors measured in DESY.



## Noisy channels

- Observed quick increase in the number of noisy and dead channels at high bias voltage for Stepper-1 modules.
- Under investigation:
  - sensor: possible correlation with breakdown voltage?
  - ROC: TID for irradiations with low energy protons (1.5 GRad in  $1 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2$ ) is higher than RD53A design tolerance (0.5 GRad)?
- Lower and more stable number of noisy channels in Stepper-2 sensors.



#### Summary and Conclusion

- 3D sensors irradiated up to 1.8×10<sup>16</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> show performances suitable with HL-LHC requirement with lower bias voltage and lower power consumption with respect to planar pixel sensors.
- Minimum spatial resolution around 5.5  $\mu m$  at 9  $^\circ$  turn angle. Comparable with irradiated planar sensors.
- 3D pixels are good candidates for innermost pixel layer of CMS Tracker during HL-LHC.

# Additional Material



#### The Inner Tracker of CMS during HL-LHC

- Single sensor cell size of 2500 μm<sup>2</sup> to keep occupancy below 0.1%. Two cell under study: 25x100 and 50x50 μm<sup>2</sup>.
- Planar sensors baseline choice, but 3D sensors considered for first layer (3 cm from beam).



2736 2x2 pixel modules 1156 1x2 pixel modules

#### **Resolution at DESY**

- Residuals are the difference between DUT measured tracks and reconstructed tracks.
- Fit with student-t distribution from
   -30 μm to 30 μm.
- Range chosen to reach  $\chi^2 \approx 1$ .
- An error of 0.1 µm is associated to total resolution.
- Simulation dependent on the distance between DUT and third plane.
- Simulation computed with 5.2 GeV beam energy.



#### Resolution of a fresh 3D sensor

- Fresh 3D sensor measured a DESY in 2020.
- Threshold suffer from a past conversion (10% higher).
- Best  $\sigma_{\text{DUT}} \sim 2 \ \mu\text{m}$  at  $8^{\circ}$ .
- In the most angular range  $\sigma_{DUT}$  is 3.5  $\mu m$  or better.
- No cooling box needed: track reconstructed with the entire telescope.
  - Decrease of systematic uncertainties.



#### **CERN** Test Beam

- FBK Stepper-1@  $1.5e16 n_{eq}/cm^2$  tested at CERN-SPS with 400 GeV proton beam.
- Hit Efficiency 97% @130 V with orthogonal incidence.
  - $\circ$  ~~99% with 8  $^{\circ}$  turn angle.
- Threshold 1400 e-.

03/03/2022

- Entire telescope used in CERN
- Spatial resolution minimum 5  $\mu$ m.





#### Comparison with planar modules - Hit efficiency

- $25 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$  planar irradiated FBK sensors tested in past test beams (2020-early 2021).
- For planar 99% detection hit efficiency above 500 V for fluences >  $10^{16}n_{eq}/cm^2$ .
- For 3D same hit efficiency reached at lower bias voltage.



#### Comparison with planar modules - cluster size

- $25 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$  planar irradiated FBK sensors tested in past test beams (2020-early 2021). •
  - Threshold of planar suffers from a past electron conversion(real one 10-15 % higher). 0
- Compatible cluster size between the two layout compared.
- Planar 1.8e16  $n_{eq}/cm^2$  1.5 cluster size at 13 ° while 3D 1.8e16  $n_{eq}/cm^2$  1.56 cluster size at 13°.





#### Comparison with planar modules - spatial resolution

- $25 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$  planar irradiated FBK sensors tested in past test beams (2020-early 2021).
- Planar @1.8×10<sup>16</sup>  $n_{eq}$ /cm<sup>2</sup> spatial resolution around 6 µm at 13°.
- 3D @1..8×10<sup>16</sup>  $n_{eq}$ /cm<sup>2</sup> spatial resolution around 6 µm at 13°.
- Minimum resolution for  $3D@1.4 \times 10^{16} n_{eq}/cm^2 5.4 \ \mu m at 9^{\circ}$ .

