# **EMWSD** Electromagnetic and Wake Solver Development

### Meeting #01

Elena de la Fuente, Carlo Zannini, Lorenzo Giacomel, Giovanni Iadarola







### **1. GitHub organization**

2. Wake potential algorithm

3. Box resonator

Conclusions and next steps











#### Impedance Computations

Updated 7 days ago

1 To do	+	•••	2	In pi
E Evaluation of at least one unstructured g	grid •	••	Ξ	) Wak
solver (with FEM/DGFEM ideally)				TOD
Added by Igiacome				— E
				Adde
			Ξ	Com
				🗌 a
				□ ∈
				The the
				Adde

<ul> <li>2 In progress + ····</li> <li>2 Wake potential computations ····</li> <li>TODO:</li> <li>Evaluate the simple method used in Gdfidl</li> <li>Is the Zagodornov method needed?</li> <li>Direct method with macroparticles</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> <li>E Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW ····</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Wake potential computations</li> <li>TODO:</li> <li>Evaluate the simple method used in Gdfidl</li> <li>Is the Zagodornov method needed?</li> <li>Direct method with macroparticles</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> <li>Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	2 In progress +	• • •	2 [
TODO:   Evaluate the simple method used in Gdfidl   Is the Zagodornov method needed?   Direct method with macroparticles   Added by Igiacome     Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW   assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement   evaluation of energy dissipation   The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test   Added by Igiacome	Wake potential computations		
<ul> <li>Evaluate the simple method used in Gdfidl</li> <li>Is the Zagodornov method needed?</li> <li>Direct method with macroparticles</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> <li>Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW ****</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	TODO:		ŀ
<ul> <li>Is the Zagodornov method needed?</li> <li>Direct method with macroparticles</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> <li>Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW ····</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate the simple method used in Gdfidl</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Direct method with macroparticles</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> <li>Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW ····</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	Is the Zagodornov method needed?		1
Added by Igiacome  Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW  Carbon assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement evaluation of energy dissipation The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test Added by Igiacome	Direct method with macroparticles		
<ul> <li>Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW ····</li> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	Added by Igiacome		
<ul> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>evaluation of energy dissipation</li> <li>The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test</li> <li>Added by Igiacome</li> </ul>	🖃 Comparison WarpX - EMCLAW 🛛 👐		
The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test Added by Igiacome	<ul> <li>assessment of staircasing errors when using mesh refinement</li> <li>assessment dissinction</li> </ul>		
Added by Igiacome			
Added by Igiacome	The properties will be evaluated using the cubic resonator test		
	Added by Igiacome	-	

**Q** Filter cards

+ ... Done Installation notes for EMCLAW ... Added by Igiacome Installation notes for WarpX . . . Added by Igiacome

**GitHub projects:** Effective display of tasks to be done, in progress and done

+

https://github.com/orgs/Impeda nCEI/projects/1



### **WarpBasics repository**

ImpedanCEI / WarpBasics (Public)		
<> Code 💿 Issues 🕺 Pull requests	🕑 Actions 🛛 Projects 🖾 Wiki	🛈 Security 🗠 Insights 🔯 Settings
🐉 main 🗸 🐉 1 branch 🛯 🛇 0 tags		Go to file Add file - Code -
elenafuengar cleaned scripts folder		6aa3d59 5 days ago 🔞 24 commits
Scripts/cube_cavity	cleaned scripts folder	5 days ago
🗅 .gitignore	updated the .gitignore	6 days ago
.owncloudsync.log	test commit	7 days ago
C README.md	test commit	7 days ago
README.md		P

#### Warp Basics

Instructions to install Warp.

1. Install miniconda This is a minimal python installation which is very flexible to install, manipulate and remove. You install miniconda by running the following commands

### README.md files with the installation guide and instructions to run in parallel from the server

#### Scripts with the cube cavity simulations in Warp:

- \_mpi: prepared to run on parallel in htcondor
- \_impedance: old algorithm for WP and impedance
- \_poisson: new algorithm [in progress]

મ	main - WarpBasics / Scripts / o	cube_cavity /	Go to file Add fil	e 🔻 🛛 🚥
	elenafuengar cleaned scripts folder		5 days ago	🕑 History
Ľ	README.md	test commit		7 days ago
۵	cube_cavity_impedance.py	test commit		7 days ago
۵	cube_cavity_mpi.py	test commit		7 days ago
ß	cube_cavity_poisson.py	Adding script with PyPIC support		6 days ago

∃ README.md

Run	paral	lel	Warp
-----	-------	-----	------

#### Setting up a python enviroment + openmpi

tutorial modified from: https://github.com/PyCOMPLETE/PyECLOUD/wiki/Setup-python-(including-mpi4py)without-admin-rights The following guide shows how to get a complete python installation without administrator rights:



Ø

### **EMcLAW-test repository**

🖟 ImpedanCEI / EN	AcLAW-test Public	⊙ Unwatch ▼	1 🖧 Star 0	ှိ Fork 0
<> Code	រ៉ា Pull requests 🕟 Actions	III Projects III Wil	ki 😲 Security	
° <sup>29</sup> main →	Go to file A	add file ▼ Code ▼	About	ŝ
elenafuengar first	simulations with resonant field	5 days ago 🕚 13	Cube resonator experim the FVTD EM solver cap	ent to study abilities
Scripts	Update README.md	5 days ago	🛱 Readme	
runs	first simulations with resonant field	5 days ago		
🗅 .gitignore	first simulations with resonant field	5 days ago	Releases	
🗅 README.md	Update README.md	5 days ago	No releases published Create a new release	
i≣ README.md		P	Packages	
Installatio	on		No packages published Publish your first package	
Install AMReX			Languages	
Type in the folder y	ou want to install AMREX:		• Fortran 69.7% • C 16	.5%

### README.md with installation and user guide, with modelling notes is also available

Scripts with the box resonator simulations:

- **Pron.f90:** where initial conditions are defined
- metallic\_material\_3d.f90: defines the metallic region
- inputs: defines the simulation domain, timesteps mesh and AMR refinement

🖵 ImpedanCEI / EMcLAW-test	Public 💿 Unwatch 👻	1 🔂 Star 0 89 Fork 0
<> Code 💿 Issues 🖏 Pull reque	sts 🕑 Actions 🔟 Projects 🖽 Wiki	③ Security
و المعادي معادي مع معادي معادي معادي معادي معادي	/	Go to file Add file • ····
elenafuengar Update README.md		5 days ago 🕚 History
🕒 Backtrace.0	added initial conditions for B	5 days ago
CMakeLists.txt	added initial conditions for B	5 days ago
DEFINES.H	Box_resonator test scripts	7 days ago
🗅 GNUmakefile	Box_resonator test scripts	7 days ago
🗅 Make.package	added initial conditions for B	5 days ago
Prob.f90	added initial conditions for B	5 days ago
C README.md	Update README.md	5 days ago
ep_mu_1d.F90	added initial conditions for B	5 days ago

CERN



1. GitHub organization

2. Wake potential algorithm

3. Box resonator

Conclusions and next steps





## **Algorithm overview**

Deform the **path of integration** to go from the improper integral:

$$W_z(x, y, s) = -\frac{1}{q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x, y, z, t = (z+s)/c) dz$$
 (1)



Source: https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/e06/PA PERS/WEPCH110.PDF

#### To a definite integral + 2 poisson problems

$$\begin{split} qW_z(x,y,s) &= \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x,y=b_1) - \varphi(x,y) \end{bmatrix}_{z=-l_1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_2 \\ -\int_{-l_1}^{l_2} E_z(x,y,z,t=(z+s)/c) dz \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x,y) - \varphi(x,y=b_2) \end{bmatrix}_{z=l_2} . \end{split} \end{split} \tag{15}$$



8

## **Calculation steps (I)**

#### 1) New field component definition:

$$\bar{u}(x, y, z, s) = u(x, y, z, t = (z + s)/c),$$
 (3)

such that

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$
(4)

2) Maxwell eqs for TM/TEM fields yield to an irrotational vector G:

ſ

$$\int_{A} \left( \nabla \times \vec{G} \right) \cdot d\vec{A} = 0 = \oint_{S} \vec{G} \cdot d\vec{s}$$
$$= \int_{l_{2}}^{\infty} G_{z}(y=a)dz + \int_{a}^{b_{2}} G_{y}(z=\infty)dy - \int_{l_{2}}^{\infty} G_{z}(y=b_{2})dz - \int_{a}^{b_{2}} G_{y}(z=l_{2})dy$$

$$0 = \int_{l_2}^{\infty} \bar{E}_z dz - \int_{a}^{b_2} \left( \bar{E}_y - c\bar{B}_x \right) (z = l_2) dy, \quad (10)$$

This proves that one can change an improper integral to a proper integral using the new path of integration

S8=S5 and S1=S2

Source: https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/e06/PA PERS/WEPCH110.PDF

3) Combining the equalities found, the wake potential is defined by:

$$qW_{z}(x, y, s) = \int_{a}^{b_{1}} (E_{y} - cB_{x}) (z = -l_{1}, t = (-l_{1} + s)/c) dy$$
$$- \int_{-l_{1}}^{l_{2}} E_{z}(z, t = (z + s)/c) dz \qquad (11)$$
$$- \int_{a}^{b_{2}} (E_{y} - cB_{x}) (z = l_{2}, t = (l_{2} + s)/c) dy.$$



## **Calculation steps (II)**

4) To extract the TM/TEM fields, we use the defined irrotational vector G since it can be derived from a scalar potential

$$\vec{G} = \vec{e}_x \left( \bar{E}_x + c\bar{B}_y \right) + \vec{e}_y \left( \bar{E}_y - c\bar{B}_x \right) + \vec{e}_z \bar{E}_z$$
$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{G})_z = 0$$
$$G_x \mathbf{e}_x + G_y \mathbf{e}_y = \nabla \varphi.$$

5) Taking the divergence this leads to a Poisson equation. The driving term has to be taken at z=-11and z=12

$$\nabla \cdot (G_{x}\mathbf{e}_{x} + G_{y}\mathbf{e}_{y}) =$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\bar{E}_{x} + c\bar{B}_{y}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\bar{E}_{y} - c\bar{B}_{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}E_{z} = \nabla^{2}\varphi.$$
(13)

Source: https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/e06/PA PERS/WEPCH110.PDF

#### 6) The wake potential becomes:

$$\begin{split} qW_z(x,y,s) &= \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x,y=b_1) - \varphi(x,y) \end{bmatrix}_{z=-l_1} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Poisson in} \\ \substack{\text{z=-l1 surface} \\ \textbf{t=(-l1+s)/c} \end{bmatrix}} \\ &- \int \limits_{-l_1}^{l_2} E_z(x,y,z,t=(z+s)/c) dz & (15) \\ &+ \left[ \varphi(x,y) - \varphi(x,y=b_2) \right]_{z=l_2} . & \begin{array}{c} \text{Poisson in} \\ \substack{\text{z=l2 surface} \\ \textbf{t=(l2+s)/c} \end{bmatrix}} \end{split}$$



# Scripting

### Poisson equations are solved with **PyPIC** for each value of $s_n$ at x=xtest, y=ytest, z=-l1 and x=xtest, y=ytest, z=l2

# solver object

picFD = PIC\_FD.FiniteDifferences\_Staircase\_SquareGrid(chamb = PyPIC\_chamber, Dh = dh, sparse\_solver = 'PyKLU')

# define the left side of the laplacian (driving term rho = 1/c\*dEz/dt-dEz/dz)
# rho = np.ones\_like(picFD.rho) #test rho to check the solver. Rho needs
rho = Ez\_dt[iz\_l1]-Ez\_dt[it\_l1]/picmi.constants.c\*np.ones\_like(picFD.rho) #this rho is a constant evaluated

Ez is interpolated to match the dimensions between t and z so they can be added to obtain rho

# solve the laplacian and obtain phi(0,0)
picFD.solve(rho = rho) #the dimensions are selected by pyPIC solver
phi[n] = picFD.phi.copy()

#### The derivatives are obtained for each value of $z_k$ , $t_{k,n}$

 $qW_z(x, y, s) =$ 

 $= [\varphi(x, y = b_1) - \varphi(x, y)]_{z = -l_1}$ 

 $\int_{l_1}^{l_2} E_z(x, y, z, t = (z+s)/c)dz$ 

 $+ \left[\varphi(x,y) - \varphi(x,y=b_2)\right]_{z=l_2}.$ 

```
#--- obtain the derivatives
for n in range(tot_nsteps-1):
    Ez_dt[k]= (Ez_interp[n+1, :] - Ez_interp[n, :])/dt
    Ez_dz[k]= (Ez_interp[:, n+1] - Ez_interp[:, n])/dz
```



Poisson in

(15)

Poisson in

z=l2 surface t=(l2+s)/c

z=-I1 surface t=(-I1+s)/c

# Scripting

The definite integral is solved for each  $z_k$ ,  $s_n$ . For each pair s, z, the index for the time dimension has to be obtained

##	-
<pre># integral between -l1 and l2 #</pre>	ŧ
##	ŧ

```
#int(Ez(xtest, ytest, z, t=(s+z)/c))
for k in range(iz_l1, iz_l1):
    it=int(((z[k]+s[n])/c-t[0])/dt)
    integral=integral+(Ez_interp[k, it])*dz
```

```
\begin{split} qW_z(x,y,s) &= \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(x,y=b_1) - \varphi(x,y) \end{bmatrix}_{z=-l_1} & \overset{\text{Poisson in}}{\underset{t=(-11+s)/c}{\overset{l_2}{\underset{-l_1}{}}} E_z(x,y,z,t=(z+s)/c) dz & (15) \\ &+ [\varphi(x,y) - \varphi(x,y=b_2)]_{z=l_2} \,. & \overset{\text{Poisson in}}{\underset{z=l2 \text{ surface}}{\overset{z=l_2}{\underset{t=(l2+s)/c}{}}} \\ \end{split}
```

#-----# # Obtain W(s) # #-----#

All is added obtaining  $W(s_k)$ 

# Define phi(x, y=b1)

# Phis indexes go from x(-w\_rect,w\_rect) and y(-h\_rect,h\_rect)
# x direction is gridded with (w\_rect\*2)/dh + 10 ghost cells
# y direction is gridded with (h\_rect\*2)/dh + 8 ghost cells
# +info see: class PyPIC\_Scatter\_Gather(object):
iy\_b1=int((b1-picFD.bias\_y)/dh)
iy\_b2=int((b2-picFD.bias\_y)/dh)
ixtest\_phi=int((xtest-picFD.bias\_y)/dh)
ixtest\_phi=int((ytest-picFD.bias\_y)/dh)

phi\_b1=phi(ixtest\_phi, iy\_b1, n) #phi(x=0, y=b1, s[n])
phi\_b2=phi(ixtest\_phi, iy\_b1, n) #phi(x=0, y=b1, s[n])

Wake\_potential[n]=(phi\_b1-phi[ixtest\_phi, iytest\_phi])-integral-(phi[ixtest\_phi, iytest\_phi]-phi\_b2)



### **S vector?**

- What resolution and length can the s vector have?
- How is the wake length related to the number of timesteps?

$$W_z(x, y, s) = -\frac{1}{q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x, y, z, t = (z+s)/c) dz$$





### CST study of wakelength and nº of timesteps

The aim of these simulations was to obtain the relation between mesh cells, number of timesteps and s vector resolution



### **Cube cavity surrounded by PEC:**

- Total length: 100 mm
- Cavity length: 30 mm
- Pipe width: 15 mm
- Cavity width: 50 mm Nx=55,
- Ny=55,
- Ny=50
- Nz=96

 $(ncells = 277020) --> \Delta h=1 mm$ 

#### LOGFILE Number of mesh cells: 277020 Excitation duration: 6.50460411e-001 ns Calculation time for excitation: 0 s Number of calculated pulse widths: 1.3386 Simulated number of time steps: 450 Maximum number of time steps: 450 Time step width: without subcycles: 1.93490105e-003 ns



Background PEC extended 2.5 mm in x & y Resonance at ~4.3 GHz

#### **Beam excitation:**

Sigmat=¼ ns --> sigmaz=18.73 mm Excitation duration: 6.50460411e-001 ns



## CST study of wavelength and nº of timesteps

 Wakelength=1
 -->
 nsteps=450,

 dt=1.93490105e-003 ns,
 Npulse=1.3386 (t=sigmat\*npulse)

 Length s=279 (277 negative values)

Wakelength = 10 --> nsteps=465, dt=1.93490105e-003 ns, Npulse=1.38322 Length s=294 (277 negative values)

Wakelength = 100 --> nsteps=620, dt=1.93490105e-003 ns, Npulse=1.84429 Length s=450 (277 negative values)





## CST study of wavelength and nº of timesteps

Wakelength = 1000 --> nsteps=2172, dt=1.93490105e-003 ns, Npulse=6.46097 Length s=2001 (277 negative values) Denublybrack Beam/ParticeBeam/

Wakelength = 10000 --> nsteps=17687, dt=1.93490105e-003 ns, Npulse=52.6129 Length s=17518 (277 negative values)







- 1. GitHub organization
- 2. Wake potential algorithm
- **3. Box resonator**
- Conclusions and next steps





### **Box resonator (I)**

### **Objective 1:** assess the order of the numerical scheme with a convergence analysis



Source: Lorenzo's presentation

Relative frequency error

$$Err(h) = rac{Freq(h) - Freq^*}{Freq^*}.$$

*Freq*(*h*) is the estimated frequency with  $h = \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z =$  and *Freq*<sup>\*</sup> is the analytic frequency.





### **Box resonator (II)**

Source: <u>Darmstadt thesis</u> *"Investigating Finite Volume Time Domain Methods in Computational Electromagnetics"* 

### **Objective 2:** study the energy dissipation of FVTD

$$E_{x}(x,y,z) = \frac{-1}{\gamma^{2}+k^{2}}\frac{m\pi}{a}\frac{p\pi}{c}E_{0}\cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}y\right)\sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{c}z\right) \qquad H_{x}(x,y,z) = \frac{j\omega\varepsilon}{\gamma^{2}+k^{2}}\frac{n\pi}{b}E_{0}\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}x\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}y\right)\cos\left(\frac{p\pi}{c}z\right) \\ E_{y}(x,y,z) = \frac{-1}{\gamma^{2}+k^{2}}\frac{n\pi}{b}\frac{p\pi}{c}E_{0}\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}x\right)\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}y\right)\sin\left(\frac{p\pi}{c}z\right) \qquad H_{y}(x,y,z) = \frac{-j\omega\varepsilon}{\gamma^{2}+k^{2}}\frac{m\pi}{a}E_{0}\cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}y\right)\cos\left(\frac{p\pi}{c}z\right) \\ E_{z}(x,y,z) = E_{0}\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{b}y\right)\cos\left(\frac{p\pi}{c}z\right) \qquad H_{z}(x,y,z) = 0$$





### **EMcLaw simulations set up**

### inputs: defining domain, nº cells, simulation time

≇ INPUTS TO MAIN PROGRAM nax_step = 2000 stop_time = 10
em.is_D_wave = $\theta$ # 1 for D-wave and $\theta$ for B-wave (2D)
# PROBLEM SIZE & GEOMETRY geometry.is_periodic = 0 0 0 lo_bc = 2 2 2  # 2 or 1 for absorbing boundary conditions
<pre>n1_bC = 2 2 2 https://walls = 1  # 1 if you want metallic walls # select the places with metallic walls (if metallic_walls = 1) # the field parallel to the wall must be 1 and the others -1 to_bcDx = 1 -1 -1 i_bcDx = 1 -1 -1 lo_bcDy = -1 1 -1 hi_bcDy = -1 1 -1 hi_bcDy = -1 1 -1</pre>
k0_bCU2 = -1 -1 1 i bCD2 = -1 -1 1 ≇ the field parallel to the wall must be -1 and the others 1 ko_bcBx = 1 1 1 i bcBcBy = 1 1 1 i bcBy = 1 1 1 i bcBz = 1 1 1 i bcBz = 1 1 1 i bcBz = 1 1 1
geometry.coord_sys = 0
# TIME STEP CONTROL em.cfl = 0.5 # cfl number for hyperbolic system em.do_reflux = 0 # reflux
# VERBOSITY em.v = 1 # verbosity in EM amr.v = 1 # verbosity in Amr #amr.grid_log = grdlog # name of grid logging file
# REFINEMENT / REGRIDDING amr.max_level = 0

### Prob.f90: initializing Bx, By, Bz field

<pre>if ((abs(x).lt.0.5).and.(abs(z).lt.0.5) &amp; .and.(abs(y).lt.0.5)) then</pre>	&
<pre>! resonating magnetic field By, Bx, Bz em(i,j,k,4) = -2.0d0/h_2*(n*PI/Ly)*(p*PI/Lz) &amp; *cos(m*PI/Lx*(x-Lx/2.0d0)) &amp; *sin(n*PI/Ly*(y-Ly/2.0d0)) &amp; *cos(p*PI/Lz*(z-Lz/2.0d0))*MU0 em(i,j,k,3) = -2.0d0/h_2*(m*PI/Ly)*(p*PI/Lz) &amp; *sin(m*PI/Lx*(x-Lx/2.0d0)) &amp; *cos(n*PI/Ly*(y-Ly/2.0d0)) &amp; *cos(p*PI/Lz*(z-Lz/2.0d0))*MU0 em(i,j,k,5) = cos(m*PI/Lx*(x-Lx/2.0d0)) &amp; *cos(n*PI/Ly*(y-Ly/2.0d0)) &amp; *cos(p*PI/Lz*(z-Lz/2.0d0))*MU0</pre>	& & & & & & & & & & &
<pre>! constant magnetic field By, Bx, Bz     em(i,j,k,4) = 1.0d0     em(i,j,k,3) = 1.0d0     em(i,j,k,5) = 1.0d0 else em(i,j,k,0) = 0.d0</pre>	
endif	
CIUT	

#### Same equations used in the WarpX test



20

### **EMcLaw simulations set up**

**Metallic\_materials\_rd.f90:** changing the if sentences to impose material relations (in this case, PEC)

```
fill metallic materials
subroutine fill metallic materials( prob lo, lo, hi, &
             uout, uo lo, uo hi, &
&
             dx, nGhost, nComp, Dwave) bind(C, name="fill metallic materials")
&
integer, intent(in) :: lo(3), hi(3), nGhost, nComp, Dwave
integer, intent(in) :: uo_lo(3), uo_hi(3)
double precision, intent(in) :: prob lo(3), dx(3)
double precision, intent(inout) :: uout(uo lo(1):uo hi(1),uo lo(2):uo hi(2),uo lo(3):uo hi(3),0:nComp-1)
integer :: i, j, k
z = prob lo(3) + (dble(k)+0.5d0) * dx(3)
  y = prob lo(2) + (dble(j)+0.5d0) * dx(2)
   if((y.le.1.0).and.(y.ge.0.5)) then
    do i = lo(1), hi(1)
     x = \text{prob } lo(1) + (dble(i)+0.5d0) * dx(1)
               uout(i, j, k, 0) = 0.000
               uout(i, j, k, 1) = 0.000
               uout(i, j, k, 2) = 0.000
    end do
 end do
 endif
end do
end subroutine fill metallic materials
```

Seems to be some issue with the material properties defined in the DEFINES.H, and the routines in ep\_mu\_3d.f90

Already contacted Eduardo to look at this



### **First simulations**



Material definition is not working correctly. Also, initial conditions need to be checked



22



- 1. GitHub organization
- 2. Wake potential algorithm
- 3. Box resonator
- **Conclusions and next steps**





### **Next steps**

- Debug and try the implemented algorithm
- Define the relation between time and the s vector
- Fix the problems with the Box resonator test and continue with the convergence analysis and the energy dissipation test
- Is the <u>Napoly alogorithm</u> needed?





### Plots of the wakelength vs timestep study

#### **Timesteps vs wakelength**

#### s (source-test particle distance)



