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## Introduction

- RP for ATLAS @ HL-LHC are discussed internally.
- despite interest on its own, it is very good to have a possibility of independent cross-check of results.
- CT-PPS expressed interest in having Roman pots at HL-LHC.
- ARP would double the dataset size as we can combine the results from ATLAS and CMS-TOTEM.
- Potentially, presence of Roman pots can enhance measurement capabilities of 'central' detector.
- At high pile-up environment main focus is on photon induced processes and Beyond Standard Model searches:
- exclusive $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W W$,
- exclusive $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow Z Z$,
- exclusive $t \bar{t}$,
- ALP searches,
- ...
- The key factor, and a starting point, is acceptance of forward detectors.
- In this talk, acceptance for few possible locations in vicinity of ATLAS collision point will be discussed.


## Optics

## Optics

- HL-LHC ver. 1.5 is used for studies.
- $\sqrt{s}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta^{*}=15 \mathrm{~cm}$ and crossing angle of $250 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ with 4 phases: $\phi=0(+x)$, $\phi=90(+y), \phi=180(-x), \phi=270(-y)$.
- Emittance $\varepsilon=2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{rad}$ (instead of 3.5 used in Run $1-3$ )
- According to HL-LHC machine layout only few locations are possible:

- Collimators are located at:
- "TCLPX.4": 136.114 m
- "TCL.6": 221.057m
- "TCL.5": 199.518m


## Beam Trajectory




- Beam trajectory between IP1 and 250 m :
- $x_{0}=y_{0}=z_{0}=0$
- $p_{x}=\cos (\phi) \cdot 250 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 7000 \mathrm{GeV}$,
- $p_{x}=\sin (\phi) \cdot 250 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 7000 \mathrm{GeV}$,
- $E=7000 \mathrm{GeV}$.
- Top plot - position wrt. $x$ axis with horizontal crossing angle. Before 127 m reference system is wrt. IP $((x, y)=(0,0))$. At 127 m there is a shift of 97 mm to reflect that beam is going from common beampipe to a separate one.
- The beam in location of TCL5 and TCL6 is in the middle of beampipe, but at TCL4 it is shifted. This shift would make TCL4 jaw closure asymmetric wrt. beam-pipe center and has to be taken into account in acceptance calculations.
- Bottom - position wrt. y axis with vertical crossing angle.


## Proton Positions

- Phase (direction) of crossing angle has certain impact of protons that lost energy:




- $\phi=0$ moves protons outside the ring center $\rightarrow$ it can be imagined that they are "more distant",
- oppositely, $\phi=180$ moves protons towards the ring center $\rightarrow$ it can be imagined that they are "more packed",
- $\phi=90$ and $\phi=270$ are symmetric wrt. each other $\rightarrow$ they move diffractive protons "down" or "up".


## Collimators

## TCL4 - Default

- TCL4 has impact on acceptance of pots in all considered locations.
- By default, TCL4 jaws will be at $14.2 \sigma$, symmetrically around beam center:

| crossing angle, $\phi$ | beam center, $x_{\text {beam }}[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.304 |
| 90 | 8.986 |
| 180 | 6.668 |
| 270 | 8.986 |

- Acceptances for RP1A:

$$
\phi=0
$$




$$
\phi=90
$$

HLLLCC V1. $5 \sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{O}=00$ Det.pos. 1955


$$
\phi=180
$$

HL.LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{9}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180$ Detpos. $=195.5$

$\phi=270$
HLLHC V1.5 F= $=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=270$ Og1p00. $=195.5$


- For all phases the limitations on acceptance with TCL4 closed to $14.2 \sigma$ are acceptable $\rightarrow$ upper limit on acceptance is of about $\xi$ of 0.13 .


## TCL5 - Default

- TCL5 has impact on acceptance of RP2X and RP3X.
- By default, TCL5 jaws will be at $14.2 \sigma$ (beam center is practically at 0 ).
- Situation for RP2A: (TCL4 closed to $14.2 \sigma$ ):

$$
\phi=0
$$

HLLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{15}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{o}=0$ Det.pos. 2217


$$
\phi=90
$$

HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\mathrm{G}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{\beta}^{\prime}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{q}=90 \mathrm{Detpos} .=217$

$\phi=180$



$$
\phi=270
$$

HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{9}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=270 \mathrm{Dg}$. pos. $=217$


- Except for $\phi=180$, acceptance is very limited.
- Note that during Run2/3 35-42 $\sigma$ was used.


## TCL5 Opened to 30 and $35 \sigma$

- TCL5 at $30 \sigma$ seems to be acceptable for the vertical crossing angle:
$\phi=0$
$\phi=90$
$\phi=180$
HLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TEV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{c}=180 \mathrm{Dat}$.pos. $=217$


$$
\phi=270
$$



- TCL5 should be opened to at least $35 \sigma$ for $\phi=0$ :

$$
\phi=0
$$

HLLLHC V1.5 $\overline{\mathrm{T}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=0$ Det.pos. .217

$\phi=90$



$$
\phi=180
$$

HLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TVV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, ~ Q=180 \mathrm{Det}$. $006 .=217$

$\phi=270$
HLLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{B}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~B}=270$ Dos.pos. $=217$


## TCL6 - Default

- TCL4 is closed to $14.2 \sigma$, TCL5 is fully opened and TCL6 at $14.2 \sigma$ :





- For the default settings, acceptance is unacceptably small, except for the case of $\phi=180$ (but also here an increase of upper boarder is desired).
- Situation for TCL6 opened to $55 \sigma$ becomes more acceptable for vertical crossing angle, but still not enough for $\phi=0$ :


HLLLHC V1. $5 \sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, q$-90 Det.pos.. 234




HLLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{o}=270$ Dot.pos. -234


## Opened TCL6

- TCL6 opened to $60 \sigma$ :
$\phi=0$


$$
\phi=90
$$

HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{B}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Q}=90$ Detpos. -234


$$
\phi=180
$$

HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TEV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 母=180001$. Pos. $=234$

$\phi=270$



- TCL6 completely opened (limits only due to TCL4 and aperture):

$$
\phi=0
$$

HL-LHC V1.5 $15=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}, 0=0$ Dat.pos. 234

$\phi=90$
HL-LHC V1. $5 \sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, q=90$ Det.pos. $=234$

$\phi=180$


$\phi=270$


## Collimators - Summary

- Each collimator has an impact on acceptance of certain pot (location):
- RP1A/B: TCL4,
- RP2A/B: TCL4 and TCL5,
- RP3A/B/C: TCL4 and TCL5 and TCL6.
- With collimators opened to default values (14.2 $\sigma$ ) having pots located after TCL5 will be pointless $\rightarrow$ expect for the case of $\phi=180$, there will be no acceptance.
- For studied optics case the following conclusions can be drawn:
- TCL4 can be opened to default $14.2 \sigma$,
- TCL5 should be opened to (at least):
- $35 \sigma$ for $\phi=0$,
- $30 \sigma$ for vertical crossing angle,
- $14.2 \sigma$ (default) for $\phi=180$,
- TCL6 should be opened to (at least):
- $>60 \sigma$ (fully opened) for $\phi=0$,
- $55 \sigma$ for vertical crossing angle,
- $14.2 \sigma$ (default) for $\phi=180$ (but profitable will be to open it more, e.g. to $30 \sigma$ ).

Acceptance

## Beam Width

HL-LHC optics ver. 1.5, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$


- Beam width: $\sigma_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon \cdot \beta_{x}}{\gamma}}$, where
- emittance $\varepsilon=2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{rad}$,
- $\gamma \approx 7460$ and
- $\beta_{x}$ is taken from a twiss file.
- In Run 2 and Run 3 the limit on how close pot can move to the beam during nominal run was due to TCT collimator: $d_{\text {min }}=\left[T C T_{\text {setting }}+3\right] \cdot \sigma+0.3 \mathrm{~mm}:$
- usually, minimal possible $T C T_{\text {setting }}$ was around 8-9,
- another limiting factor was reaching a hard limit of 1.5 mm .
- "Optimistic, yet realistic" assumption of $d_{\text {min }}$ computed wrt. $T C T_{\text {setting }}$ results in smaller detector-beam distances in almost all positions w.r.t. " $15 \sigma$ " approach used e.g. in AFP TDR.
- "Hard limit" is reached for RP3A and RP3B.
- In addition, 0.5 mm of "dead material" (pot thin floor + detector-pot gap + detector dead area) will be considered when computing the acceptance.


## Proton Position

RP2A $\phi=0 \mid$ RP2A $\phi=90 \mid \operatorname{RP} 2 A \phi=180$
RP3A $\phi=0 \mid$ RP3A $\phi=90 \mid R P 3 A \phi=180$

- Situation for $\phi=270$ is symmetric to $\phi=90$ $\rightarrow$ diffractive protons are going "up".
- "Ellipses" are for $p_{T}$ of 0.3 and 0.6 GeV .
- "Right" ellipses are for $\xi=0$ (beam), "left" for $\xi=0.06$ (diffractive proton).
- In the following slides, constraint on acceptance will be due to detector-beam distance, LHC aperture and collimators.
- There will be no cut on " $y$ " or "detector size" as this is assumed to be adjusted accordingly to maximize the acceptance.
- Collimators are widely opened.
- Plots represent the following situation:

| RP1A $\phi=0$ | RP1A $\phi=90$ | RP1A $\phi=180$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RP2A $\phi=0$ | RP2A $\phi=90$ | RP2A $\phi=180$ |
| RP3A $\phi=0$ | RP3A $\phi=90$ | $\operatorname{RP} 3 A \phi=180$ |



## Acceptances at RP1A ( 195.5 m ) and RP1B (198 m)

| pot | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RP1A | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{1}=14 \mathrm{TsV}, \vec{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, 0=0$ Det.pos. $=195.5$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{8}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, ~ o=00$ Det.pos $=195.5$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\overline{\mathrm{F}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=180 \mathrm{Det} . \mathrm{pos} .=195.5$ |
| RP1B | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HL-LHC V1. $5 \sqrt{8}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=0$ Det.pcs. $=198$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=90$ Det pos. $=198$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/-/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180$ Dat.pos. $=198$ |

## Acceptances at RP2A ( 217 m ) and RP2B ( 210.5 m )

| pot | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RP2A | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/35/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=0$ Det.pcs. $=217$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/30/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=90$ Det.pos. $=217$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/14.2/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180 \mathrm{Dec} . \mathrm{pos} .=217$ |
| RP2B | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/35/- <br> HLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \vec{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, o=0$ Dat.pos -219.5 | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/30/- <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\text { B }}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=90$ Det.pos. $=219.5$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/14.2/- <br> HLLLHC V1.5 /5 $=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{B}=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{q}=180$ Det.pot.e219.5 |

## Acceptances at RP3A (234 m) and RP3B (237 m)

| pot | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RP3A | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/35/open <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\mathrm{g}}=14 \mathrm{TsV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=0$ Det.pcs. -234 | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/30/55 <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \dot{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=90$ Det.pos. $=234$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/14.2/20 <br> HL-LHC V1. $5 \sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180 \mathrm{Det} . \mathrm{pos} .=234$ |
| RP3B | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/35/open <br> HLLLHC V1.5 $\sqrt{s}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=0$ Det.pcs. $=237$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/30/55 <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \vec{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=90$ Det pos. $=237$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/14.2/20 <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{5}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180$ Det.pos. $\mathbf{2} 237$ |

## Acceptances at RP3C (245 m)

| pot | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RP3C | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/35/open <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{s}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \dot{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=0$ Det.pcs. $=245$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/30/55 <br> HL-LHC V1. $5 \sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \dot{\beta}=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \phi=90$ Det pos. $=245$ | TCL4/5/6: 14.2/14.2/20 <br> HL-LHC V1.5 $\sqrt{3}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \beta=0.15 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}=180$ Det. pos $=245$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Mass Acceptance - Closed Collimators

- Geometric acceptance can be translated into a mass acceptance:
- if both protons are tagged, then from their measured $\xi$ an energy (mass) of "central" system can be computed.
- For collimators closed to $14.2 \sigma$ the acceptance for RP2A/B and RP3A/B/C is very limited:

$$
\phi=0
$$

$$
\phi=90 / 270
$$

$$
\phi=180
$$





## Mass Acceptance - (More) Opened Collimators

- Assuming that collimators can be more opened (as discussed before), the acceptance becomes more reasonable:



- As can be deduced from plots, $10 \%$ acceptance level is for masses in range:

|  | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCL4/5/6 | $14.2 / 35 /$ open | $14.2 / 30 / 55$ | $14.2 / 14.2 / 20$ |
| RP1A | $700<M<1800$ | $1000<M<2000$ | $2200<M<2800$ |
| RP2A | $400<M<1100$ | $550<M<1450$ | $1500<M<2600$ |
| RP3A | $200<M<1050$ | $300<M<1200$ | $1000<M<1700$ |

## Acceptance and Proton Position - Remarks

- For $\phi=0$ protons are "more distant" - crossing angle moves diffractive protons away the beam center:
- this would require TCL5/6 being opened much wider than in default settings,
- with 2 pots located at RP1X and 2 more on RP2X or RP3X this would result with a marvelous acceptance for very wise range of $\xi$.
- For the vertical crossing-angle diffractive protons are moved "up" or "down" depending on the sign:
- very nice acceptance (for collimators opened as in Run2/3) for all considered locations,
- since sign of crossing angle may change, detectors would have to cover very large area in $y$ or have a mechanism to allow movement.
- For $\phi=180$ protons are "packed" - crossing angle moves diffractive protons towards the beam center:
- this is the reason of fair acceptance for TCL4/5/6 being opened to default value of $14.2 \sigma$,
- the drawback is negative impact on proton position (thus kinematics) reconstruction $\rightarrow$ very fine detector granularity will be required.


## Few Thoughts...

- Is it possible to have a vertical crossing angle in IP1?
- TCL5 and TCL6 would have to be open as in Run $2 / 3$ to at least 30 and $55 \sigma$ - would it be possible?
- If 4 pots / side are feasible then a combination of RP1 + RP3 would give a very nice
 acceptance in a mass range between 300 and 2000 GeV .
- If only 2 pots are feasible then where is the most interesting physics: $300<M<1200$, $550<M<1450$ or $1000<M<2000 \mathrm{GeV}$ ?
- Is it possible to have $\phi=0$ (towards the ring center)?
- TCL5 would have to be open to at least $35 \sigma$ and TCL6 almost fully - how wide their gap can be?
- If 4 pots / side are feasible then a combination of RP1 + RP3 would give a very nice acceptance in a mass range between 200 and 1800 GeV .

- If only 2 pots are feasible then where is the most interesting physics: $200<M<1050$ (TCL6 opened), $400<M<1100$ (TCL5 at $35 \sigma$ ) or $700<M<1800 \mathrm{GeV}$ (with TCL5\&6 closed)?
- $\phi=180$ option is certainly the "worst" one:
- In all positions it gives acceptance only for very high masses.
- Still, if this a region of interest from physics point of view, detectors would need a very fine granularity as protons will be quite "packed" (much weaker $\xi\left(x_{R P}\right)$ dependence than for other phases.



## Summary

- ARP community prepares a physics case for HL-LHC $\rightarrow$ exclusive $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{ZZ}$, exclusive tt, ALP, $\ldots$
- HL-LHC optics defines geometric acceptance of detectors.
- Other constraints are coming from elements planned to be installed at HL-LHC - there are more constraints w.r.t. Run 1 - Run 3. Taking these limitations into account, the following positions are considered: R1A at $195.5 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ at $198.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ at $217.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ at 219.5 m R3A at $234.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{~B}$ at 237.0 m and R3C at 245.0 m .
- For studied optics case (V1.5) the following conclusions can be drawn:
- TCL4 can be opened to default $14.2 \sigma$,
- TCL5 should be opened to (at least): $35 \sigma$ for $\phi=0,30 \sigma$ for vertical crossing angle, $14.2 \sigma$ (default) for $\phi=180$,
- TCL6 should be opened to (at least): $>60 \sigma$ (fully opened) for $\phi=0,55 \sigma$ for vertical crossing angle, $14.2 \sigma$ (default) for $\phi=180$ (but profitable will be to open it more, e.g. to $30 \sigma$ ).
- Assuming $11 \sigma+0.3+0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ distance from the beam, the mass acceptance is:

|  | $\phi=0$ | $\phi=90 / 270$ | $\phi=180$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCL4/5/6 | $14.2 / 35 /$ open | $14.2 / 30 / 55$ | $14.2 / 14.2 / 20$ |
| RP1A | $700<M<1800$ | $1000<M<2000$ | $2200<M<2800$ |
| RP2A | $400<M<1100$ | $550<M<1450$ | $1500<M<2600$ |
| RP3A | $200<M<1050$ | $300<M<1200$ | $1000<M<1700$ |

- There are many open questions:
- possible direction (phase) of crossing angle, - preferred detector locations.
- possible opening of collimators,

This work was partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant: 2019/34/E/ST2/00393.

